




Session 2020-21(ODD)
Feedback-1 Analysis Report

Sr. No.	Semester	Subject Name	Theory / Practical	Total number of Student involve in Feedback	Subject Teacher	Feedback Percentage	Rating
1	IIIrd Sem Section A	Applied Mathematics III	Theory	52	Dr. R. Kelkar	84%	Very Good
2		Advanced C Programming and Logic Design			Mr. R. Chaudhary	79%	Very Good
3		Computer Architecture and Organization			Ms. Titiksha Bhagat	76%	Very Good
4		Digital Circuits & Fundamental of Microprocessor			Ms. Ayushi Jaiswal	93%	Excellent
5		Ethics in IT			Dr. Swapnil Karmore	76%	Very Good
6		Advanced C Programming and Logic Design	Practical		Mr. Ratnesh Chaudhary	76%	Very Good
7		Digital Circuits & Fundamental of Microprocessor Lab			Mr. Y. Sharma	82%	Very Good
8		Computer Workshop I			Mr. Mukesh Barapatre	82%	Very Good
9	IIIrd Sem Section B	Applied Mathematics III	Theory	56	Dr. R. Kelkar	75%	Very Good
10		Advanced C Programming and Logic Design			Mr. R. Chaudhary	79%	Very Good
11		Computer Architecture and Organization			Ms. Titiksha Bhagat	77%	Very Good
12		Digital Circuits & Fundamental of Microprocessor			Ms. Ayushi Jaiswal	90%	Excellent
13		Ethics in IT			Dr. Swapnil Karmore	75%	Very Good
14		Advanced C Programming and Logic Design	Practical		Mr. Ratnesh Chaudhary	76%	Very Good
15		Digital Circuits & Fundamental of Microprocessor Lab			Mr. Y. Sharma	77%	Very Good
16		Computer Workshop I			Mr. Mukesh Barapatre	80%	Very Good
17	V th Sem	Data Communication	Theory	50	Mr. D. Gupta	68%	Good
18		Object Oriented Programming			Ms. Titiksha Bhagat	61%	Good
19		Database Management System			Mr. Nisarg Gandhewar	78%	Very Good
20		Computer Graphics			Ms. R. Talmale	71%	Very Good
21		Design & Analysis of Algorithm			Ms. K. Khante	67%	Good
22		Database Management System	Practical		Mr. Nisarg Gandhewar	80%	Very Good
23		Object Oriented Programming			Ms. Titiksha Bhagat	64%	Good
24		Design & Analysis of Algorithm			Ms. K. Khante	63%	Good

25	VII th Sem	Datawarehousing & Mining	Theory	33	Ms. Pushpa Chutel	87%	Excellent
26		Language Processor			Mr. A. Tayal	87%	Excellent
27		Big Data Analysis & Business Intelligence (Elective-1)			Mr. N. Gandhewar	88%	Excellent
28		Tcp/IP(Elective -1)			Mr. Dhiraj Gupta	87%	Excellent
29		Real Time Operating System(Elective-2)			Dr. Swapnili Karmore	91%	Excellent
30		Mobile Computing (Elective-2)			Ms. Pranita Chaudhary	88%	Excellent
31		Datawarehousing & Mining	Ms Pushpa Chutel		89%	Excellent	
32		Language Processor	Practical		Mr. A. Tayal,Mr. R. Chaudhary	82%	Very Good


feedback incharge


Head of Department



S. B. JAIN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, MANAGEMENT & RESEARCH, NAGPUR.

(An Autonomous Institute, Affiliated to RTMNU, Nagpur)

DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE & ENGINEERING

To become a center for quality education in the field of Computer Science & Engineering and to create competent professionals.




Session 2020-21(EVEN)

Feedback-1 Analysis Report

Sr. No.	Semester	Subject Name	Theory / Practical	Total number of Student involve in Feedback	Subject Teacher	Feedback Percentage	Rating
1	IV Sem Section A	Data Structure & Program Design(DSPD)	Theory	48	Mr. Ram Samdekar	85%	Excellent
2		Theoretical Foundation of Computer Science(TFCS)			Mr. Animesh Tayal	77%	Very Good
3		System Programming (SP)			Ms. Pranita Choudhary	86%	Excellent
4		Discrete Maths & Graph Theory(DMGT)			Dr. Rupali Kelkar	87%	Excellent
5		Operating System(OS)			Mr. Ratnesh Chaudhary	83%	Very Good
6		Data Structure & Program Design(DSPD)	Practical		Mrs. Roshni Talmale	87.29%	Excellent
7		Operating System(OS)			Mr. Ratnesh Chaudhary	83%	Very Good
8		Computer Workshop II			Mr. Mukesh Barapatre	88%	Excellent
9	IV th Sem Section B	Data Structure & Program Design(DSPD)	Theory	35	Mrs. Roshni Talmale	85%	Very Good
10		Theoretical Foundation of Computer Science(TFCS)			Dr. Ganesh Regulwar	77%	Very Good
11		System Programming (SP)			Ms. Pranita Choudhary	87%	Excellent
12		Discrete Maths & Graph Theory(DMGT)			Dr. Rupali Kelkar	84%	Very Good
13		Operating System(OS)			Mr. Jitesh Zade	90%	Excellent
14		Data Structure & Program Design(DSPD)	Practical		Mrs. Roshni Talmale	89%	Excellent
15		Operating System(OS)			Mr. Jitesh Zade	89%	Excellent
16		Computer Workshop II			Mrs. Pushpa Chutel	87%	Excellent
17	VI th Sem	Computer Network (CN)	Theory	40	Mr. D. Gupta	77%	Very Good
18		Design Pattern			Mr. Ram Samdekar	81%	Very Good
19		Software Engineering & Project Management(SEPM)			Dr. Ganesh Regulwar	72%	Very Good
20		Artificial Intelligence			Mr. Nisarg Gandhewar	83%	Very Good
21		Functional English (FE)			Ms. Prakta Pendam	86%	Excellent
22		Design Pattern	Practical		Mr. Ram Samdekar	80%	Very Good
23		Computer Network (CN)			Mr. D. Gupta	80%	Very Good
25	VIII th Sem	Clustering & Cloud Computing (CCC)	Theory	33	Ms. Roshani Talmale	77%	Very Good
26		Soft Computing Techniques (SCT)			Mr. Nisarg Gandhewar	91%	Excellent
27		Information cyber Security (ICS)			Ms. Sheetal Arvikar	88%	Excellent
28		Distributed Operating System (DOS)			Ms. Rashmi Dagade	80%	Very Good

29	Digital Forensic(DF)	Practical	Ms.Pushpa Chutel	91%	Excellent
30	Natural Language Processing (NLP)		Snehal Dongare	92%	Excellent
31	Distributed Operating System		Mr. Dhiraj Gupta	83%	Very Good
32	Information Cyber Security		Ms. Sheetal Arvikar	82%	Very Good



Feedback Incharge



Head of Department




S. B. JAIN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, MANAGEMENT & RESEARCH, NAGPUR.

(An Autonomous Institute, Affiliated to RTMNU, Nagpur)

DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE & ENGINEERING

To become a center for quality education in the field of Computer Science & Engineering and to create competent professionals.




Session 2020-21(EVEN)

Feedback-2 Analysis Report

Sr. No.	Semester	Subject Name	Theory / Practical	Total number of Student involve in Feedback	Subject Teacher	Feedback Percentage	Rating
1	IV Sem Section A	Data Structure & Program Design(DSPD)	Theory	52	Mr. Ram Samdekar	83%	Very Good
2		Theoretical Foundation of Computer Science(TFCS)			Mr. Animesh Tayal	80%	Very Good
3		System Programming (SP)			Ms. Pranita Choudhary	84%	Very Good
4		Discrete Maths & Graph Theory(DMGT)			Dr. Rupali Kelkar	86%	Excellent
5		Operating System(OS)			Mr. Ratnesh Chaudhary	81%	Very Good
6		Data Structure & Program Design(DSPD)	Practical		Mrs. Roshni Talmale	84.58%	Very Good
7		Operating System(OS)			Mr. Ratnesh Chaudhary	82%	Very Good
8		Computer Workshop II			Mr. Mukesh Barapatre	85%	Excellent
9	IV th Sem Section B	Data Structure & Program Design(DSPD)	Theory	50	Mrs. Roshni Talmale	86%	Excellent
10		Theoretical Foundation of Computer Science(TFCS)			Dr. Ganesh Regulwar	79%	Very Good
11		System Programming (SP)			Ms. Pranita Choudhary	85%	Very Good
12		Discrete Maths & Graph Theory(DMGT)			Dr. Rupali Kelkar	83%	Very Good
13		Operating System(OS)			Mr. Jitesh Zade	92%	Excellent
14		Data Structure & Program Design(DSPD)	Practical		Mrs. Roshni Talmale	87%	Excellent
15		Operating System(OS)			Mr. Jitesh Zade	91%	Excellent
16		Computer Workshop II			Mrs. Pushpa Chutel	87%	Excellent
17	VI th Sem	Computer Network (CN)	Theory	40	Mr. D. Gupta	84%	Very Good
18		Design Pattern			Mr. Ram Samdekar	83%	Very Good
19		Software Engineering & Project Management(SEPM)			Dr. Ganesh Regulwar	80%	Very Good
20		Artificial Intelligence			Mr. Nisarg Gandhewar	89.69	Excellent
21		Functional English (FE)			Ms. Prakta Pendam	90%	Excellent
22		Design Pattern	Practical		Mr. Ram Samdekar	78%	Very Good
23		Computer Network (CN)			Mr. D. Gupta	87%	Excellent
25	VIII th Sem	Clustering & Cloud Computing (CCC)	Theory	34	Dr. Phabha Nair	81%	Very Good
26		Soft Computing Techniques (SCT)			Mr. Nisarg Gandhewar	89%	Excellent
27		Information cyber Security (ICS)			Ms. Sheetal Arvikar	83%	Very Good
28		Distributed Operating System (DOS)			Ms. Rashmi Dagade	82%	Very Good

29	Digital Forensic(DF)	Practical	Ms.Pushpa Chutel	82%	Very Good
30	Natural Language Processing (NLP)		Snehal Dongare	76%	Very Good
31	Distributed Operating System		Mr. Dhiraj Gupta	85%	Very Good
32	Information Cyber Security		Ms. Sheetal Arvikar	86%	Excellent



Feedback Incharge




Head of Department



Session 2020-21(ODD)
Feedback-2 Analysis Report

Sr. No.	Semester	Subject Name	Theory / Practical	Total number of Student involve in Feedback	Subject Teacher	Feedback Percentage	Rating
1	IIIrd Sem Section A	Applied Mathematics III	Theory	43	Dr. R. Kelkar	83%	Very Good
2		Advanced C Programming and Logic Design			Mr. R. Chaudhary	78%	Very Good
3		Computer Architecture & Design			Mr. Titiksha Bhagat	77%	Very Good
4		Digital Circuits & Fundamental of Microprocessor			Ms. Ayushi Jaiswal	90%	Excellent
5		Ethics in IT	Dr. Swapnil Karmore		77%	Very Good	
6		Advanced C Programming and Logic Design	Practical		Mr. Ratnesh Chaudhary	77%	Very Good
7		Digital Circuits & Fundamental of Microprocessor Lab			Mr. Y. Sharma	80%	Very Good
8		Computer Workshop I			Mr. Mukesh Barapatre	81%	Very Good
9	IIIrd Sem Section B	Applied Mathematics III		Theory	40	Dr. R. Kelkar	74%
10		Advanced C Programming and Logic Design	Mr. R. Chaudhary			76%	Very Good
11		Computer Architecture & Design	Mr. Titiksha Bhagat			77%	Very Good
12		Digital Circuits & Fundamental of Microprocessor	Ms. Ayushi Jaiswal			86%	Excellent
13		Ethics in IT	Dr. Swapnil Karmore	73%		Very Good	
14		Advanced C Programming and Logic Design	Practical	Mr. Ratnesh Chaudhary		73%	Very Good
15		Digital Circuits & Fundamental of Microprocessor Lab		Mr. Y. Sharma		73%	Very Good
16		Computer Workshop I		Mr. Mukesh Barapatre		78%	Very Good
17	V th Sem	Data Communication		Theory	44	Mr. D. Gupta	72%
18		Object Oriented Programming	Ms. Titiksha Bhagat			70%	Very Good
19		Database Management System	Mr. Nisarg Gandhewar			78%	Very Good
20		Computer Graphics	Ms. R. Talmale			72%	Very Good
21		Design & Analysis of Algorithm	Practical	Ms. K. Khante		70%	Very Good
22		Database Management System		Mr. Nisarg Gandhewar		77%	Very Good
23		Object Oriented Programming		Ms. Titiksha Bhagat		70%	Very Good
24		Design & Analysis of Algorithm		Ms. K. Khante		70%	Very Good

25	VII th Sem	Datawarehousing & Mining	Theory	51	Ms. Pushpa Chutel	84%	Very Good
26		Language Processor			Mr. A. Tayal	79%	Very Good
27		Big Data & Hadoop(Elective-1)			Mr. N. Gandhewar	90%	Excellent
28		Tcp/IP(Elective -1)			Mr. Dhiraj Gupta	87%	Excellent
29		Real Time Operating System (Elective-2)			Dr. Swapnili Karmore	88%	Excellent
30		Mobile Computing (Elective-2)			Ms. Pranita Chaudhary	87%	Excellent
31		Datawarehousing & Mining	Ms Pushpa Chutel		87%	Excellent	
32		Language Processor	Practical		Mr. A. Tayal,Mr. R. Chaudhary	82%	Very Good



Feedback Incharge



Head of Department

	<p>S. B. JAIN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, MANAGEMENT & RESEARCH, NAGPUR. (An Autonomous Institute, Affiliated to RTMNU, Nagpur) DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE & ENGINEERING <i>To become a center for quality education in the field of Computer Science & Engineering and to create competent professionals.</i></p>	
---	--	---

Session: 2020-21(EVEN)

Fourth Semester (Sec-A) Theory Feedback 2

Total no. of Students : 69


Number of students participated in Feedback : 52

Percentage of students participated in Feedback : 75.36%

Subject Name : Data Structure & Program Design(DSPD)

Faculty Name : Prof. Ram Samdekar

Sr. No.	Questions	Question wise % Rating	Overall Rating
1	Class control & Discipline	85.00%	Excellent
2	Punctuality and regularity in conducting classes	85.00%	Excellent
3	Confidence in teaching	84.23%	Very Good
4	Voice Clarity, Communication & Presentation skill	84.62%	Very Good
5	Encouragement to interaction	83.08%	Very Good
6	Simplified explanation of subject matter	83.85%	Very Good
7	Promptness in assesment of Assignments, test etc.	83.08%	Very Good
8	Adequate coverage of syllabus	81.54%	Very Good
9	Use of Multimedia, Animations, Graphics, Audio-Visual Contents etc. in teaching	80.38%	Very Good
10	Teacher's accessibility to students for solving queries and problems	83.46%	Very Good
Average Feedback		83.42%	Very Good



Feedback Co-ordinator



Head of Department



**S. B. JAIN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, MANAGEMENT
& RESEARCH, NAGPUR.**

(An Autonomous Institute, Affiliated to RTMNU, Nagpur)

DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE & ENGINEERING

To become a center for quality education in the field of Computer Science & Engineering and to create competent professionals.



Session: 2020-21(EVEN)

Fourth Semester (Sec-A) Practical Feedback 2

Total no. of Students : 69

Number of students participated in Feedback : 52

Percentage of students participated in Feedback : 75.36%

Subject Name : Data Structure & Program Design(DSPD)

Faculty Name : Prof. Roshni Talmale

Sr. No.	Questions	Question wise % Rating	Overall Rating
1	Explanation of Experiment/Practical	85.77%	Excellent
2	Functionality of software / Simulation tools for performance of practicals	85.00%	Excellent
3	Adequacy and clarity in illustration	85.77%	Excellent
4	Understanding about output for various test	83.46%	Very Good
5	Explaining the difficulties raised by the students	84.62%	Very Good
6	Control & Discipline in Lab	85.38%	Excellent
7	Punctuality and regularity in conducting practicals	84.23%	Very Good
8	Promptness in practical evaluation	85.00%	Excellent
9	Use of modern tools, Open source tools, hardware & simulation software etc	83.08%	Very Good
10	Motivation for creating new applications	83.46%	Very Good
Average Feedback		84.58%	Very Good

Feedback Co-ordinator

Head of Department



Session:2020-21(ODD)
Course End Survey Summary

Sr No	Semester	Theory/Practical	Name of Faculty	Subject	Course End Survey	% Course Satisfaction	Remark
1	3rd Sem Section A	Theory	Dr. Rupali Kelkar	Applied Mathematics III	91.18%	97.06%	Excellent
2			Mr. Ratnesh Chaudhary	Advanced C programming and Logic Design	92.16%	88.24%	Excellent
3			Ms. Ayushi Jaiswal	Digital Circuits and Fundamentals of Microprocessor	97.94%	100.00%	Excellent
4			Ms. Titiksha Bhagat	Computer Architecture and Organization	97.59%	97.06%	Excellent
5			Dr. Swapnili Karmore	Ethics in Information Technology	97.33%	97.06%	Excellent
6			Mr. N. Jhalake	Environmental Engineering (Audit Course)	91.18%	97.06%	Excellent
7		Practical	Mr. Ratnesh Chaudhary	Advanced C programming and Logic Design	96.22%	100.00%	Excellent
8			Mr. Yogesh Sharma	Digital Circuits and Fundamentals of Microprocessor	96.22%	100.00%	Excellent
9			Mr. Mukesh Barapatre	Computer Workshop Lab-1	95.80%	88.24%	Excellent
10	3rd Sem Section B	Theory	Dr. Rupali Kelkar	Applied Mathematics III	81.71%	77.14%	Excellent
11			Mr. Ratnesh Chaudhary	Advanced C programming and Logic Design	78.57%	82.86%	Excellent
12			Ms. Ayushi Jaiswal	Digital Circuits and Fundamentals of Microprocessor	87.14%	82.86%	Excellent
13			Dr. Swapnili Karmore	Ethics in Information Technology	82.86%	74.29%	Excellent
14			Ms. Titiksha Bhagat	Computer Architecture and Organization	85.71%	82.86%	Excellent
15			Dr. Sunil Kemdeo	Environmental Engineering (Audit Course)	81.43%	82.86%	Excellent
16		Practical	Mr. Ratnesh Chaudhary	Advanced C programming and Logic Design	81.63%	85.71%	Excellent
17			Mr. Yogesh Sharma	Digital Circuits and Fundamentals of Microprocessor	84.49%	80.00%	Excellent
18			Mr. Mukesh Barapatre	Computer Workshop Lab-1	84.49%	80.00%	Excellent
19	5th Sem	Theory	Ms. D. Gupta	Data Communication	92.14%	89.29%	Excellent
20			Ms. Titiksha Bhagat	Object Oriented Programming	79.76%	92.86%	Excellent
21			Prof. Nisarg Gandhewar	Database Management Systems	92.26%	92.86%	Excellent
22			Ms Roshani Talmale	Computer Graphics	94.29%	96.43%	Excellent
23			Ms. Ketki Khante	Design and Analysis of Algorithms	89.76%	89.29%	Excellent



Session:2020-21(ODD)
Course End Survey Summary

24		Practical	Ms. Titiksha Bhagat	Object Oriented Programming Lab	86.16%	92.86%	Excellent
25			Prof. Nisarg Gandhewar	Database Management Systems Lab	94.05%	89.29%	Excellent
26			Ms. Ketki Khante	Design and Analysis of Algorithms Lab	85.71%	92.86%	Excellent
27	7th Sem	Theory	Ms. Pushpa Chutel	Data Warehousing and Mining	96.30%	100.00%	Excellent
28			Mr. Animesh Tayal	Language Processor	97.53%	100.00%	Excellent
29			Mr. Dhiraj Gupta	TCP/IP	92.31%	92.31%	Excellent
30			Mr. N. Gandhewar	Big Data Analysis and Business Intelligence	100.00%	100.00%	Excellent
31			Dr. Swapnil Karmore	Real Time Operating System	89.26%	96.30%	Excellent
32		Practical	Ms. Pushpa Chutel	Data Warehousing and Mining	97.35%	100.00%	Excellent
33			Mr. Animesh Tayal, Mr. Ratnesh Chaudhary	Language Processor	92.06%	100.00%	Excellent
34			Mr. Nisarg Gandhewar	Project and Seminars	98.61%	100.00%	Excellent

Feedback Co-ordinator

Head of Department



S. B. JAIN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, MANAGEMENT & RESEARCH, NAGPUR.

(An Autonomous Institute, Affiliated to RTMNU, Nagpur)

DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE & ENGINEERING

To become a center for quality education in the field of Computer Science & Engineering and to create competent professionals.



Session 2020-21(EVEN)

Course End Survey Summary

Sr. No.	Semester	Theory / Practical	Subject Teacher	Subject Name	Course End Survey	% Course Satisfaction
1	IV Sem Section A	Theory	Dr. Rupali Kelkar	Discrete Mathematics & Graph Theory	95.66%	95.45%
2			Mr. Ramanand Samdekar	Data Structures & Program Design	97.35%	97.73%
3			Mr. R. Chaudhari	Operating System	94.77%	95.45%
4			Mr. Animesh Tayal	Theoretical Foundations of Computer Sciences	95.13%	93.18%
5			Ms. P. Chaudhari	System Programming	96.40%	97.73%
6		Practical	Mrs. R. Talmale	Data Structure & Program Design Lab	96.72%	100.00%
7			Mr. Ratnesh Chaudhary	Operating System Lab	94.16%	97.73%
8			Mr. Mukesh Barapatre	Computer Workshop II	96.59%	95.45%
9	IV Sem Section B	Theory	Dr. Rupali Kelkar	Discrete Mathematics & Graph Theory	95.08%	95.83%
10			Mrs. R. Talmale	Data Structures & Program Design	98.96%	97.92%
11			Mr. J. Zade	Operating System	98.96%	97.92%
12			Dr.G. Regulwar	Theoretical Foundations of Computer Sciences	89.43%	97.92%
13			Ms. P. Chaudhari	System Programming	94.97%	97.92%
14		Practical	Mrs. R. Talmale	Data Structure & Program Design Lab	97.69%	97.92%
15			Mr. J. Zade	Operating System Lab	99.11%	97.92%
16			Ms. P. Chutel	Computer Workshop II	95.05%	95.83%
17			Mr. N. Gandhewar	Artificial Intelligence	97.22%	97.22%

18	VI Sem	Theory	Mr. R. Samdekar	Design Pattern	88.64%	88.89%
19			Dr. G. Regulwar	Software Engineering	95.99%	100.00%
20			Mr. Dhiraj Gupta	Computer Network	97.69%	100.00%
21			Ms. Prajka Pendam	Functional English	99.65%	100.00%
22		Practical	Mr. R. Samdekar	Design Pattern Lab	78.47%	86.11%
23			Mr. Dhiraj Gupta	Computer Network Lab	97.22%	100.00%
24			Mr. N. Gandhewar	Mini Project	94.05%	94.44%
25	VIII Sem	Theory	Mrs. Rashmi Dagde	Distributed Operating System	89.39%	100.00%
26			Mrs.S. Arvikar	Information & Cyber Security	96.67%	100.00%
27			Dr.Prabha Nair	Clustering and Cloud Computing	93.05%	100.00%
28			Mr.Nisarg Gandhewar	Soft Computing	100.00%	100.00%
29			Ms.Pushpa Chutel	Digital Forensics	100.00%	100.00%
30			Ms.Snehal Dongre	Natural Language Processing	100.00%	100.00%
31		Practical	Mr.Dhiraj Gupta	Distributed Operating System	95.83%	100.00%
32			Mrs.S. Arvikar	Information & Cyber Security	94.76%	100.00%
33			Mr. Nisarg Gandhewar	Project & Seminar	98.52%	100.00%



Feedback Incharge



Head of Department



Session:2020-21(ODD)

Co Curricular Activities Feedback

Sr. No.	Semester	Name of Faculty	Subject	% Feedback	Remark
1	V	Prof. Nikesh Aote	Hands-on Skill Development Workshop on Data Structure	97.81%	Excellent
2	III, V, VII	Mr. Rofit Ghosh	"Live Online - Information + Awareness" session on Data Analytics (Data Science)	96.75%	Excellent
3	V, VII	Mr. Rofit Ghosh Mr. Pranbir Sarkar	"Live Online - Information + Awareness" session on Full stack Development	99.05%	Excellent
4	III	Prof. Mukesh Barapatre	Webinar on ICT as a Teaching Learning Feedback Analysis	98.90%	Excellent

Feedback Co-ordinator

Head of Department



**S. B. JAIN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, MANAGEMENT
& RESEARCH, NAGPUR.**

(An Autonomous Institute, Affiliated to RTMNU, Nagpur)

DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE & ENGINEERING

To become a center for quality education in the field of Computer Science & Engineering and to create competent professionals.



Session:2020-2021(EVEN)

Co-Curricular Activities Feedback Analysis

Sr. No	Name of Activity	Date of Activity	Semester	Expert Faculty	% Feedback
1	Webinar on "Trends and Opportunity in Cloud Technology and Information Security"	18th Feb, 2021	3rd and 4th Year CSE IT	Mr. Anil Tatode, Eminent Speaker, DRCT, RTMNU	97.78
2	Workshop on "Python"	22-Feb, 2021 To 06-March, 2021	2nd Year CSE	1. Mr. Arpit Yadav Artificial Intelligence & Machine Learning Researcher at tensorBrew, Hyderabad. 2. Mr. Ratnesh Choudhary 3. Ms. Pushpa Chutel 4. Ms. Pranita Chaudhary	89.52
3	Workshop on "Programming in JAVA"	22-Feb, 2021 To 06-March, 2021	3rd Year CSE & IT	1. Mr. Anup Warhade CTO, Smart Digital Webnmobile, Nagpur 2. Mr. Ramanand Samdhekar 3. Mr. Mukesh Barapatre 4. Ms. Mayuri Kawalkar 5. Ms. Ketki Khante	94.56
4	Workshop on "Salesforce"	22-Feb, 2021 To 06-March, 2021	3rd Year CSE & IT	1. Mr. Gandhar Patwardhan Trainer & CEO, S2P Edutech 2. Mr. Jitesh Zade 3. Mr. Dhirajkumar Gupta	94.86
5	Webinar on "Opportunities in Entrepreneurship- Self Employment-Govt Schemes and Subsidies"	26th Feb, 2021	2nd Year, 3rd Year and 4th Year Student of CSE & IT	MCED, Hingna Centre, Nagpur	94.2
7	Skill Development Workshop on "SQL"	26th April to 28th April, 2021	3rd Year CSE & IT	1. Mr. Nisarg Gandhewar 2. Mr. Tarang Bahurupi	96.57
8	Webinar on "IPR"	5th May, 2021	4th, 6th & 8th Sem CSE	Miss. Pooja Menon Chief Operating Officer of MYCRAV Consultancy & Services, Vadodara, Gujarat .	76.25
9	A webinar on "Filtering based Recommender System using Python" in collaboration with IEEE CS Chapter	26th May 2021	6th Sem CSE & IT	Mr. Chetan Gode and Mr. Sandip Desai, Yashwantrao Chavan College of Engineering, Nagpur.	97.35

10	Two Days National Level workshop on " Programming with Python: Hands-on for Beginners"	5th June & 6th June, 2021	Polytechnic and Engineering students of all disciplines	Mr. Ratnesh Chaudhary and Ms. Pushpa Chutel, Assistant Professor, CSE Department.	94.34
11	Two Days National Level workshop on " AI Exploring tools for Machine Learning"	12th June & 13th June, 2021	Polytechnic and Engineering students of all disciplines	Mr. Nisarg Gandhewar, Assistant Professor, CSE Department.	96.42



Feedback Incharge



Head of Department



Session:2020-21(ODD)


Student Satisfaction Survey Part A (Teaching Learning) Feedback Analysis

Semester: 3rd Semester Section A

Number of Students participated: 36

1. How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?


% of Syllabus	% of Students
85 to 100%	55.56%
70 to 84%	33.33%
55 to 69%	5.56%
30 to 54%	2.78%
Below 30%	2.78%



% Satisfaction
88.89%
Remark
Excellent

2. How well did the teachers prepare for the classes?


Ratings	% of Students
Thoroughly	44.44%
Satisfactorily	55.56%
Poorly	0.00%
Indifferently	0.00%
Won't teach at all	0.00%



% Satisfaction
100.00%
Remark
Excellent

3. How well were the teachers able to communicate?


Ratings	% of Students
Always effective	33.33%
Sometimes effective	52.78%
Just satisfactorily	13.89%
Generally ineffective	0.00%
Very poor communication	0.00%



% Satisfaction
86.11%
Remark
Excellent

4. The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as


Ratings	% of Students
Thoroughly	16.67%
Satisfactorily	63.89%
Poorly	19.44%
Indifferently	0.00%
Won't teach at all	0.00%



% Satisfaction
80.56%
Remark
Very Good

5. Fairness of the internal evaluation process by the teachers.


Ratings	% of Students
Always fair	33.33%
Usually fair	52.78%
Sometimes unfair	11.11%
Usually unfair	2.78%
Unfair	0.00%



% Satisfaction
86.11%
Remark
Excellent

6. Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?


Ratings	% of Students
Every time	30.56%
Usually	61.11%
Occasionally/Sometimes	5.56%
Rarely	0.00%
Never	2.78%



% Satisfaction	91.67%
Remark	Excellent

7. The institute takes active interest in promoting internship opportunities for students.


Ratings	% of Students
Regularly	25.00%
Often	50.00%
Sometimes	19.44%
Rarely	0.00%
Never	5.56%



% Satisfaction	75.00%
Remark	Very Good

8 The teaching and mentoring process in your institution facilitates you in cognitive, social and emotional growth.


Ratings	% of Students
Significantly	33.33%
Very well	50.00%
Moderately	13.89%
Marginally	2.78%
Not at all	0.00%



% Satisfaction	83.33%
Remark	Very Good

9. The institution provides multiple opportunities to learn and grow.


Ratings	% of Students
Strongly agree	25.00%
Agree	61.11%
Neutral	13.89%
Disagree	0.00%
Strongly disagree	0.00%



% Satisfaction	86.11%
Remark	Excellent

10. Teachers inform you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes and review the course syllabus in the class.

Ratings	% of Students
Every time	36.11%
Usually	58.33%
Occasionally/Sometimes	5.56%
Rarely	0.00%
Never	0.00%



% Satisfaction	94.44%
Remark	Excellent


11. Your mentor does a necessary follow-up with an assigned task to you.

Ratings	% of Students
Every time	30.56%
Usually	52.78%



% Satisfaction	83.33%
-----------------------	---------------


Occasionally/Sometimes	13.89%
Rarely	2.78%
Never	0.00%



Remark
Very Good

12. The teachers illustrate the concepts through examples and applications.


Ratings	% of Students
Every time	27.78%
Usually	66.67%
Occasionally/Sometimes	5.56%
Rarely	0.00%
Never	0.00%



% Satisfaction
94.44%
Remark
Excellent

13. The teachers identify your strengths and encourage you with providing right level of challenges.


Ratings	% of Students
Fully	25.00%
Reasonably	52.78%
Partially	16.67%
Slightly	0.00%
Unable to	5.56%



% Satisfaction
77.78%
Remark
Very Good

14. Teachers are able to identify your weaknesses and help you to overcome them.


Ratings	% of Students
Every time	30.56%
Usually	50.00%
Occasionally/Sometimes	13.89%
Rarely	5.56%
Never	0.00%



% Satisfaction
80.56%
Remark
Very Good

15. The institution makes effort to engage students in the monitoring, review and continuous quality improvement of the teaching learning process


Ratings	% of Students
Strongly agree	27.78%
Agree	50.00%
Neutral	22.22%
Disagree	0.00%
Strongly disagree	0.00%



% Satisfaction
77.78%
Remark
Very Good

16. The institute/ teachers use student centric methods, such as experiential learning, participative learning and problem solving methodologies for enhancing learning experiences.


Ratings	% of Students
To great extent	27.78%
Moderate	50.00%
Some what	19.44%
Very Little	2.78%
Not at All	0.00%



% Satisfaction
77.78%
Remark
Very Good


17. Teachers encourage you to participate in extracurricular activities

Ratings	% of Students
Strongly agree	22.22%



% Satisfaction


Agree	58.33%
Neutral	19.44%
Disagree	0.00%
Strongly disagree	0.00%



80.56%
Remark
Very Good

18. Efforts are made by the institute/ teachers to inculcate soft skills, life skills and employability skills to make you ready for the world of work.


Ratings	% of Students
To great extent	25.00%
Moderate	61.11%
Some what	11.11%
Very Little	2.78%
Not at All	0.00%



% Satisfaction
86.11%
Remark
Excellent

19. What percentage of teachers uses ICT tools such as PPTs, Multimedia, animations, etc. while teaching.


Ratings	% of Students
Above 90%	36.11%
70 – 89%	58.33%
50 – 69%	2.78%
30 – 49%	2.78%
Below 29%	0.00%



% Satisfaction
94.44%
Remark
Excellent

20. The overall quality of teaching-learning process in your institute is very good.

Ratings	% of Students
Strongly agree	28.57%
Agree	54.29%
Neutral	11.43%
Disagree	2.86%
Strongly disagree	0.00%



% Satisfaction
82.86%
Remark
Very Good

Feedback Incharge

Head of Department



Session:2020-21(ODD)


Student Satisfaction Survey Part A (Teaching Learning) Feedback Analysis

Semester: 3rd Semester Section B

Number of Students participated: 35

1. How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?


% of Syllabus	% of Students
85 to 100%	51.43%
70 to 84%	40.00%
55 to 69%	2.86%
30 to 54%	5.71%
Below 30%	0.00%



% Satisfaction
91.43%
Remark
Excellent

2. How well did the teachers prepare for the classes?


Ratings	% of Students
Thoroughly	42.86%
Satisfactorily	42.86%
Poorly	8.57%
Indifferently	0.00%
Won't teach at all	8.57%



% Satisfaction
85.71%
Remark
Excellent

3. How well were the teachers able to communicate?


Ratings	% of Students
Always effective	31.43%
Sometimes effective	42.86%
Just satisfactorily	17.14%
Generally ineffective	2.86%
Very poor communication	2.86%



% Satisfaction
74.29%
Remark
Very Good

4. The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as


Ratings	% of Students
Thoroughly	20.00%
Satisfactorily	54.29%
Poorly	17.14%
Indifferently	2.86%
Won't teach at all	2.86%



% Satisfaction
74.29%
Remark
Very Good

5. Fairness of the internal evaluation process by the teachers.


Ratings	% of Students
Always fair	45.71%
Usually fair	40.00%
Sometimes unfair	8.57%
Usually unfair	0.00%
Unfair	8.57%



% Satisfaction
85.71%
Remark
Excellent

6. Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?


Ratings	% of Students
Every time	42.86%
Usually	37.14%
Occasionally/Sometimes	8.57%
Rarely	0.00%
Never	11.43%



% Satisfaction	80.00%
Remark	Very Good

7. The institute takes active interest in promoting internship opportunities for students.


Ratings	% of Students
Regularly	17.14%
Often	45.71%
Sometimes	20.00%
Rarely	5.71%
Never	5.71%



% Satisfaction	62.86%
Remark	Good

8 The teaching and mentoring process in your institution facilitates you in cognitive, social and emotional growth.


Ratings	% of Students
Significantly	20.00%
Very well	60.00%
Moderately	14.29%
Marginally	0.00%
Not at all	8.57%



% Satisfaction	80.00%
Remark	Very Good

9. The institution provides multiple opportunities to learn and grow.


Ratings	% of Students
Strongly agree	34.29%
Agree	42.86%
Neutral	11.43%
Disagree	5.71%
Strongly disagree	2.86%



% Satisfaction	77.14%
Remark	Very Good

10. Teachers inform you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes and review the course syllabus in the class.

Ratings	% of Students
Every time	45.71%
Usually	37.14%
Occasionally/Sometimes	11.43%
Rarely	0.00%
Never	8.57%



% Satisfaction	82.86%
Remark	Very Good


11. Your mentor does a necessary follow-up with an assigned task to you.

Ratings	% of Students
Every time	34.29%
Usually	48.57%



% Satisfaction	82.86%
-----------------------	---------------


Occasionally/Sometimes	5.71%
Rarely	5.71%
Never	2.86%



Remark
Very Good

12. The teachers illustrate the concepts through examples and applications.


Ratings	% of Students
Every time	40.00%
Usually	42.86%
Occasionally/Sometimes	11.43%
Rarely	0.00%
Never	8.57%



% Satisfaction
82.86%
Remark
Very Good

13. The teachers identify your strengths and encourage you with providing right level of challenges.


Ratings	% of Students
Fully	25.71%
Reasonably	48.57%
Partially	14.29%
Slightly	2.86%
Unable to	2.86%



% Satisfaction
74.29%
Remark
Very Good

14. Teachers are able to identify your weaknesses and help you to overcome them.


Ratings	% of Students
Every time	34.29%
Usually	45.71%
Occasionally/Sometimes	8.57%
Rarely	0.00%
Never	11.43%



% Satisfaction
80.00%
Remark
Very Good

15. The institution makes effort to engage students in the monitoring, review and continuous quality improvement of the teaching learning process


Ratings	% of Students
Strongly agree	34.29%
Agree	37.14%
Neutral	17.14%
Disagree	5.71%
Strongly disagree	2.86%



% Satisfaction
71.43%
Remark
Very Good

16. The institute/ teachers use student centric methods, such as experiential learning, participative learning and problem solving methodologies for enhancing learning experiences.

Ratings	% of Students
To great extent	34.29%
Moderate	42.86%
Some what	14.29%
Very Little	2.86%
Not at All	2.86%



% Satisfaction
77.14%
Remark
Very Good


17. Teachers encourage you to participate in extracurricular activities

Ratings	% of Students
Strongly agree	34.29%



% Satisfaction


Agree	42.86%
Neutral	14.29%
Disagree	2.86%
Strongly disagree	2.86%



77.14%
Remark
Very Good

18. Efforts are made by the institute/ teachers to inculcate soft skills, life skills and employability skills to make you ready for the world of work.


Ratings	% of Students
To great extent	22.86%
Moderate	57.14%
Some what	14.29%
Very Little	0.00%
Not at All	8.57%



% Satisfaction
80.00%
Remark
Very Good

19. What percentage of teachers uses ICT tools such as PPTs, Multimedia, animations, etc. while teaching.


Ratings	% of Students
Above 90%	25.71%
70 – 89%	51.43%
50 – 69%	14.29%
30 – 49%	5.71%
Below 29%	0.00%



% Satisfaction
77.14%
Remark
Very Good

20. The overall quality of teaching-learning process in your institute is very good.

Ratings	% of Students
Strongly agree	28.57%
Agree	54.29%
Neutral	11.43%
Disagree	2.86%
Strongly disagree	0.00%



% Satisfaction
82.86%
Remark
Very Good

Feedback Incharge

Head of Department



S. B. JAIN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, MANAGEMENT & RESEARCH, NAGPUR.

(An Autonomous Institute, Affiliated to RTMNU, Nagpur)

DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE & ENGINEERING

To become a center for quality education in the field of Computer Science & Engineering and to create competent professionals.



Session:2020-21(EVEN)


Student Satisfaction Survey Part A (Teaching Learning) Feedback Analysis

Semester: 4th Semester Section A

Number of Students participated: 42

1. How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?


% of Syllabus	% of Students
85 to 100%	71.43%
70 to 84%	26.19%
55 to 69%	2.38%
30 to 54%	0.00%
Below 30%	0.00%



% Satisfaction
97.62%
Remark
Excellent

2. How well did the teachers prepare for the classes?


Ratings	% of Students
Thoroughly	46.51%
Satisfactorily	46.51%
Poorly	2.33%
Indifferently	2.33%
Won't teach at all	0.00%



% Satisfaction
93.02%
Remark
Excellent

3. How well were the teachers able to communicate?


Ratings	% of Students
Always effective	52.38%
Sometimes effective	30.95%
Just satisfactorily	14.29%
Generally ineffective	2.38%
Very poor communication	0.00%



% Satisfaction
83.33%
Remark
Very Good

4. The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as


Ratings	% of Students
Thoroughly	40.48%
Satisfactorily	38.10%
Poorly	19.05%
Indifferently	2.38%
Won't teach at all	0.00%



% Satisfaction
78.57%
Remark
Very Good

5. Fairness of the internal evaluation process by the teachers.


Ratings	% of Students
Always fair	54.76%
Usually fair	33.33%
Sometimes unfair	11.90%
Usually unfair	0.00%
Unfair	0.00%



% Satisfaction
88.10%
Remark
Excellent

6. Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?


Ratings	% of Students
Every time	42.86%
Usualy	38.10%
Occasionally/Sometimes	14.29%
Rarely	4.76%
Never	0.00%



% Satisfaction
80.95%
Remark
Very Good

7. The institute takes active interest in promoting internship opportunities for students.


Ratings	% of Students
Regularly	33.33%
Often	26.19%
Sometimes	33.33%
Rarely	7.14%
Never	0.00%



% Satisfaction
59.52%
Remark
Good

8 The teaching and mentoring process in your institution facilitates you in cognitive, social and emotional growth.


Ratings	% of Students
Significantly	40.48%
Very well	40.48%
Moderately	16.67%
Marginally	2.38%
Not at all	0.00%



% Satisfaction
80.95%
Remark
Very Good

9. The institution provides multiple opportunities to learn and grow.


Ratings	% of Students
Strongly agree	38.10%
Agree	42.86%
Neutral	19.05%
Disagree	0.00%
Strongly disagree	0.00%



% Satisfaction
80.95%
Remark
Very Good

10. Teachers inform you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes and review the course syllabus in the class.


Ratings	% of Students
Every time	50.00%
Usually	42.86%
Occasionally/Sometimes	7.14%
Rarely	0.00%
Never	0.00%



% Satisfaction
92.86%
Remark
Excellent

11. Your mentor does a necessary follow-up with an assigned task to you.


Ratings	% of Students
Every time	54.76%
Usually	33.33%
Occasionally/Sometimes	9.52%
Rarely	2.38%
Never	0.00%



% Satisfaction
88.10%
Remark
Excellent

12. The teachers illustrate the concepts through examples and applications.


Ratings	% of Students
Every time	50.00%
Usually	42.86%
Occasionally/Sometimes	7.14%
Rarely	0.00%
Never	0.00%



% Satisfaction
92.86%
Remark
Excellent

13. The teachers identify your strengths and encourage you with providing right level of challenges.


Ratings	% of Students
Fully	38.10%
Reasonably	40.48%
Partially	14.29%
Slightly	7.14%
Unable to	0.00%



% Satisfaction
78.57%
Remark
Very Good

14. Teachers are able to identify your weaknesses and help you to overcome them.


Ratings	% of Students
Every time	45.24%
Usually	38.10%
Occasionally/Sometimes	9.52%
Rarely	7.14%
Never	0.00%



% Satisfaction
83.33%
Remark
Very Good

15. The institution makes effort to engage students in the monitoring, review and continuous quality improvement of the teaching learning process


Ratings	% of Students
Strongly agree	47.62%
Agree	42.86%
Neutral	9.52%
Disagree	0.00%
Strongly disagree	0.00%



% Satisfaction	90.48%
Remark	Excellent

16. The institute/ teachers use student centric methods, such as experiential learning, participative learning and problem solving methodologies for enhancing learning experiences.


Ratings	% of Students
To great extent	45.24%
Moderate	38.10%
Some what	14.29%
Very Little	2.38%
Not at All	0.00%



% Satisfaction	83.33%
Remark	Very Good

17. Teachers encourage you to participate in extracurricular activities


Ratings	% of Students
Strongly agree	40.48%
Agree	38.10%
Neutral	19.05%
Disagree	2.38%
Strongly disagree	0.00%



% Satisfaction	78.57%
Remark	Very Good

18. Efforts are made by the institute/ teachers to inculcate soft skills, life skills and employability skills to make you ready for the world of work.


Ratings	% of Students
To great extent	42.86%
Moderate	40.48%
Some what	16.67%
Very Little	0.00%
Not at All	0.00%



% Satisfaction	83.33%
Remark	Very Good

19. What percentage of teachers uses ICT tools such as PPTs, Multimedia, animations, etc. while teaching.


Ratings	% of Students
Above 90%	52.38%
70 – 89%	38.10%
50 – 69%	9.52%
30 – 49%	0.00%
Below 29%	0.00%



% Satisfaction	90.48%
Remark	Excellent

20. The overall quality of teaching-learning process in your institute is very good.

Ratings	% of Students
Strongly agree	42.86%
Agree	45.24%
Neutral	11.90%
Disagree	0.00%
Strongly disagree	0.00%



% Satisfaction
88.10%
Remark
Excellent

Feedback Incharge

Head of Department



S. B. JAIN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, MANAGEMENT & RESEARCH, NAGPUR.

(An Autonomous Institute, Affiliated to RTMNU, Nagpur)

DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE & ENGINEERING

To become a center for quality education in the field of Computer Science & Engineering and to create competent professionals.



Session:2020-21(EVEN)


Student Satisfaction Survey Part A (Teaching Learning) Feedback Analysis

Semester: 4th Semester Section B

Number of Students participated: 46

1. How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?


% of Syllabus	% of Students
85 to 100%	73.91%
70 to 84%	21.74%
55 to 69%	4.35%
30 to 54%	0.00%
Below 30%	0.00%



%
95.65%
Remark
Excellent

2. How well did the teachers prepare for the classes?


Ratings	% of Students
Thoroughly	58.70%
Satisfactorily	39.13%
Poorly	2.17%
Indifferently	0.00%
Won't teach at all	0.00%



%
97.83%
Remark
Excellent

3. How well were the teachers able to communicate?


Ratings	% of Students
Always effective	63.04%
Sometimes effective	32.61%
Just satisfactorily	4.35%
Generally ineffective	0.00%
Very poor communication	0.00%



%
95.65%
Remark
Excellent

4. The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as


Ratings	% of Students
Thoroughly	45.65%
Satisfactorily	50.00%
Poorly	4.35%
Indifferently	0.00%
Won't teach at all	0.00%



% Satisfaction
95.65%
Remark
Excellent

5. Fairness of the internal evaluation process by the teachers.


Ratings	% of Students
Always fair	54.35%
Usually fair	36.96%
Sometimes unfair	8.70%
Usually unfair	0.00%
Unfair	0.00%



% Satisfaction
91.30%
Remark
Excellent

6. Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?


Ratings	% of Students
Every time	43.48%
Usually	41.30%
Occasionally/Sometimes	13.04%
Rarely	2.17%
Never	0.00%



% Satisfaction
84.78%
Remark
Very Good

7. The institute takes active interest in promoting internship opportunities for students.


Ratings	% of Students
Regularly	41.30%
Often	30.43%
Sometimes	13.04%
Rarely	13.04%
Never	2.17%



% Satisfaction
71.74%
Remark
Very Good

8 The teaching and mentoring process in your institution facilitates you in cognitive, social and emotional growth.


Ratings	% of Students
Significantly	47.83%
Very well	36.96%
Moderately	10.87%
Marginally	4.35%
Not at all	0.00%



% Satisfaction
84.78%
Remark
Very Good

9. The institution provides multiple opportunities to learn and grow.


Ratings	% of Students
Strongly agree	45.65%
Agree	45.65%
Neutral	8.70%
Disagree	0.00%
Strongly disagree	0.00%



% Satisfaction
91.30%
Remark
Excellent

10. Teachers inform you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes and review the course syllabus in the class.


Ratings	% of Students
Every time	50.00%
Usually	41.30%
Occasionally/Sometimes	8.70%
Rarely	0.00%
Never	0.00%



% Satisfaction
91.30%
Remark
Excellent

11. Your mentor does a necessary follow-up with an assigned task to you.


Ratings	% of Students
Every time	47.83%
Usually	45.65%
Occasionally/Sometimes	6.52%
Rarely	0.00%
Never	0.00%



% Satisfaction
93.48%
Remark
Excellent

12. The teachers illustrate the concepts through examples and applications.


Ratings	% of Students
Every time	60.87%
Usually	34.78%
Occasionally/Sometimes	4.35%
Rarely	0.00%
Never	0.00%



% Satisfaction
95.65%
Remark
Excellent

13. The teachers identify your strengths and encourage you with providing right level of challenges.


Ratings	% of Students
Fully	41.30%
Reasonably	45.65%
Partially	10.87%
Slightly	2.17%
Unable to	0.00%



% Satisfaction
86.96%
Remark
Excellent

14. Teachers are able to identify your weaknesses and help you to overcome them.


Ratings	% of Students
Every time	47.83%
Usually	39.13%
Occasionally/Sometimes	10.87%
Rarely	2.17%
Never	0.00%



% Satisfaction
86.96%
Remark
Excellent

15. The institution makes effort to engage students in the monitoring, review and continuous quality improvement of the


Ratings	% of Students
Strongly agree	41.30%
Agree	41.30%
Neutral	15.22%
Disagree	2.17%
Strongly disagree	0.00%



% Satisfaction	82.61%
Remark	Very Good

16. The institute/ teachers use student centric methods, such as experiential learning, participative learning and problem solving methodologies for enhancing learning experiences.


Ratings	% of Students
To great extent	52.17%
Moderate	32.61%
Some what	15.22%
Very Little	0.00%
Not at All	0.00%



% Satisfaction	84.78%
Remark	Very Good

17. Teachers encourage you to participate in extracurricular activities


Ratings	% of Students
Strongly agree	50.00%
Agree	36.96%
Neutral	13.04%
Disagree	0.00%
Strongly disagree	0.00%



% Satisfaction	86.96%
Remark	Excellent

18. Efforts are made by the institute/ teachers to inculcate soft skills, life skills and employability skills to make you ready for the world of work.


Ratings	% of Students
To great extent	50.00%
Moderate	34.78%
Some what	15.22%
Very Little	0.00%
Not at All	0.00%



% Satisfaction	84.78%
Remark	Very Good

19. What percentage of teachers uses ICT tools such as PPTs, Multimedia, animations, etc. while teaching.


Ratings	% of Students
Above 90%	65.22%
70 – 89%	26.09%
50 – 69%	8.70%
30 – 49%	0.00%
Below 29%	0.00%



% Satisfaction	91.30%
Remark	Excellent

20. The overall quality of teaching-learning process in your institute is very good.

Ratings	% of Students
Strongly agree	50.00%
Agree	41.30%
Neutral	8.70%
Disagree	0.00%
Strongly disagree	0.00%



% Satisfaction
91.30%
Remark
Excellent

Feedback Incharge

Head of Department



Session:2020-21(ODD)


Student Satisfaction Survey Part A (Teaching Learning) Feedback Analysis

Semester: 5th Semester

Number of Students participated: 28

1. How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?


% of Syllabus	% of Students
85 to 100%	33.33%
70 to 84%	52.38%
55 to 69%	14.29%
30 to 54%	0.00%
Below 30%	0.00%



% Satisfaction
85.71%
Remark
Excellent

2. How well did the teachers prepare for the classes?


Ratings	% of Students
Thoroughly	23.81%
Satisfactorily	61.90%
Poorly	14.29%
Indifferently	0.00%
Won't teach at all	0.00%



% Satisfaction
85.71%
Remark
Excellent

3. How well were the teachers able to communicate?


Ratings	% of Students
Always effective	23.81%
Sometimes effective	52.38%
Just satisfactorily	19.05%
Generally ineffective	4.76%
Very poor communication	0.00%



% Satisfaction
76.19%
Remark
Very Good

4. The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as


Ratings	% of Students
Thoroughly	23.81%
Satisfactorily	52.38%
Poorly	19.05%
Indifferently	4.76%
Won't teach at all	0.00%



% Satisfaction
76.19%
Remark
Very Good

5. Fairness of the internal evaluation process by the teachers.


Ratings	% of Students
Always fair	28.57%
Usually fair	52.38%
Sometimes unfair	19.05%
Usually unfair	0.00%
Unfair	0.00%



% Satisfaction
80.95%
Remark
Very Good

6. Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?


Ratings	% of Students
Every time	28.57%
Usually	42.86%
Occasionally/Sometimes	19.05%
Rarely	9.52%
Never	0.00%



% Satisfaction	71.43%
Remark	Very Good

7. The institute takes active interest in promoting internship opportunities for students.


Ratings	% of Students
Regularly	19.05%
Often	38.10%
Sometimes	28.57%
Rarely	9.52%
Never	4.76%



% Satisfaction	57.14%
Remark	Good

8 The teaching and mentoring process in your institution facilitates you in cognitive, social and emotional growth.


Ratings	% of Students
Significantly	23.81%
Very well	42.86%
Moderately	28.57%
Marginally	4.76%
Not at all	0.00%



% Satisfaction	66.67%
Remark	Good

9. The institution provides multiple opportunities to learn and grow.


Ratings	% of Students
Strongly agree	23.81%
Agree	42.86%
Neutral	28.57%
Disagree	4.76%
Strongly disagree	0.00%



% Satisfaction	66.67%
Remark	Good

10. Teachers inform you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes and review the course syllabus in the class.

Ratings	% of Students
Every time	38.10%
Usually	42.86%
Occasionally/Sometimes	19.05%
Rarely	0.00%
Never	0.00%



% Satisfaction	80.95%
Remark	Very Good


11. Your mentor does a necessary follow-up with an assigned task to you.

Ratings	% of Students
Every time	33.33%
Usually	38.10%



% Satisfaction	71.43%
-----------------------	---------------


Occasionally/Sometimes	23.81%
Rarely	4.76%
Never	0.00%



Remark
Very Good

12. The teachers illustrate the concepts through examples and applications.


Ratings	% of Students
Every time	23.81%
Usually	61.90%
Occasionally/Sometimes	14.29%
Rarely	0.00%
Never	0.00%



% Satisfaction
85.71%
Remark
Excellent

13. The teachers identify your strengths and encourage you with providing right level of challenges.


Ratings	% of Students
Fully	19.05%
Reasonably	47.62%
Partially	28.57%
Slightly	4.76%
Unable to	0.00%



% Satisfaction
66.67%
Remark
Good

14. Teachers are able to identify your weaknesses and help you to overcome them.


Ratings	% of Students
Every time	23.81%
Usually	52.38%
Occasionally/Sometimes	9.52%
Rarely	9.52%
Never	4.76%



% Satisfaction
76.19%
Remark
Very Good

15. The institution makes effort to engage students in the monitoring, review and continuous quality improvement of the teaching learning process


Ratings	% of Students
Strongly agree	23.81%
Agree	47.62%
Neutral	23.81%
Disagree	4.76%
Strongly disagree	0.00%



% Satisfaction
71.43%
Remark
Very Good

16. The institute/ teachers use student centric methods, such as experiential learning, participative learning and problem solving methodologies for enhancing learning experiences.

Ratings	% of Students
To great extent	23.81%
Moderate	47.62%
Some what	23.81%
Very Little	4.76%
Not at All	0.00%



% Satisfaction
71.43%
Remark
Very Good


17. Teachers encourage you to participate in extracurricular activities

Ratings	% of Students
Strongly agree	23.81%



% Satisfaction


Agree	42.86%
Neutral	23.81%
Disagree	4.76%
Strongly disagree	4.76%



66.67%
Remark
Good

18. Efforts are made by the institute/ teachers to inculcate soft skills, life skills and employability skills to make you ready for the world of work.


Ratings	% of Students
To great extent	19.05%
Moderate	57.14%
Some what	14.29%
Very Little	4.76%
Not at All	4.76%



% Satisfaction
76.19%
Remark
Very Good

19. What percentage of teachers uses ICT tools such as PPTs, Multimedia, animations, etc. while teaching.


Ratings	% of Students
Above 90%	33.33%
70 – 89%	42.86%
50 – 69%	14.29%
30 – 49%	4.76%
Below 29%	4.76%



% Satisfaction
76.19%
Remark
Very Good

20. The overall quality of teaching-learning process in your institute is very good.

Ratings	% of Students
Strongly agree	28.57%
Agree	54.29%
Neutral	11.43%
Disagree	2.86%
Strongly disagree	0.00%



% Satisfaction
82.86%
Remark
Very Good

Feedback Incharge

Head of Department



S. B. JAIN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, MANAGEMENT & RESEARCH, NAGPUR.

(An Autonomous Institute, Affiliated to RTMNU, Nagpur)

DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE & ENGINEERING

To become a center for quality education in the field of Computer Science & Engineering and to create competent professionals.



Session:2020-21(EVEN)


Student Satisfaction Survey Part A (Teaching Learning) Feedback Analysis

Semester: 6th Semester

Number of Students participated: 30

1. How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?


% of Syllabus	% of Students
85 to 100%	16.67%
70 to 84%	53.33%
55 to 69%	13.33%
30 to 54%	16.67%
Below 30%	0.00%



%
70.00%
Remark
Very Good

2. How well did the teachers prepare for the classes?


Ratings	% of Students
Thoroughly	16.67%
Satisfactorily	50.00%
Poorly	26.67%
Indifferently	6.67%
Won't teach at all	0.00%



%
66.67%
Remark
Good

3. How well were the teachers able to communicate?


Ratings	% of Students
Always effective	33.33%
Sometimes effective	43.33%
Just satisfactorily	13.33%
Generally ineffective	6.67%
Very poor communication	0.00%



%
76.67%
Remark
Very Good

4. The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as


Ratings	% of Students
Thoroughly	23.33%
Satisfactorily	43.33%
Poorly	16.67%
Indifferently	13.33%
Won't teach at all	3.33%



% Satisfaction
66.67%
Remark
Good

5. Fairness of the internal evaluation process by the teachers.


Ratings	% of Students
Always fair	30.00%
Usually fair	46.67%
Sometimes unfair	13.33%
Usually unfair	10.00%
Unfair	0.00%



% Satisfaction
76.67%
Remark
Very Good

6. Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?


Ratings	% of Students
Every time	13.33%
Usually	53.33%
Occasionally/Sometimes	13.33%
Rarely	13.33%
Never	6.67%



% Satisfaction
66.67%
Remark
Good

7. The institute takes active interest in promoting internship opportunities for students.


Ratings	% of Students
Regularly	30.00%
Often	46.67%
Sometimes	16.67%
Rarely	6.67%
Never	0.00%



% Satisfaction
76.67%
Remark
Very Good

8 The teaching and mentoring process in your institution facilitates you in cognitive, social and emotional growth.


Ratings	% of Students
Significantly	10.00%
Very well	60.00%
Moderately	13.33%
Marginally	10.00%
Not at all	6.67%



% Satisfaction
70.00%
Remark
Very Good

9. The institution provides multiple opportunities to learn and grow.


Ratings	% of Students
Strongly agree	20.00%
Agree	56.67%
Neutral	6.67%
Disagree	13.33%
Strongly disagree	3.33%



% Satisfaction
76.67%
Remark
Very Good

10. Teachers inform you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes and review the course syllabus in the class.


Ratings	% of Students
Every time	20.00%
Usually	53.33%
Occasionally/Sometimes	16.67%
Rarely	3.33%
Never	6.67%



% Satisfaction
73.33%
Remark
Very Good

11. Your mentor does a necessary follow-up with an assigned task to you.


Ratings	% of Students
Every time	13.33%
Usually	53.33%
Occasionally/Sometimes	26.67%
Rarely	3.33%
Never	3.33%



% Satisfaction
66.67%
Remark
Good

12. The teachers illustrate the concepts through examples and applications.


Ratings	% of Students
Every time	30.00%
Usually	50.00%
Occasionally/Sometimes	6.67%
Rarely	6.67%
Never	6.67%



% Satisfaction
80.00%
Remark
Very Good

13. The teachers identify your strengths and encourage you with providing right level of challenges.


Ratings	% of Students
Fully	10.00%
Reasonably	56.67%
Partially	16.67%
Slightly	6.67%
Unable to	10.00%



% Satisfaction
66.67%
Remark
Good

14. Teachers are able to identify your weaknesses and help you to overcome them.


Ratings	% of Students
Every time	10.00%
Usually	53.33%
Occasionally/Sometimes	20.00%
Rarely	6.67%
Never	10.00%



% Satisfaction
63.33%
Remark
Good

15. The institution makes effort to engage students in the monitoring, review and continuous quality improvement of the


Ratings	% of Students
Strongly agree	16.67%
Agree	56.67%
Neutral	10.00%
Disagree	10.00%
Strongly disagree	6.67%



% Satisfaction
73.33%
Remark
Very Good

16. The institute/ teachers use student centric methods, such as experiential learning, participative learning and problem solving methodologies for enhancing learning experiences.


Ratings	% of Students
To great extent	16.67%
Moderate	60.00%
Some what	3.33%
Very Little	13.33%
Not at All	6.67%



% Satisfaction
76.67%
Remark
Very Good

17. Teachers encourage you to participate in extracurricular activities


Ratings	% of Students
Strongly agree	23.33%
Agree	50.00%
Neutral	13.33%
Disagree	10.00%
Strongly disagree	3.33%



% Satisfaction
73.33%
Remark
Very Good

18. Efforts are made by the institute/ teachers to inculcate soft skills, life skills and employability skills to make you ready for the world of work.


Ratings	% of Students
To great extent	23.33%
Moderate	50.00%
Some what	10.00%
Very Little	10.00%
Not at All	6.67%



% Satisfaction
73.33%
Remark
Very Good

19. What percentage of teachers uses ICT tools such as PPTs, Multimedia, animations, etc. while teaching.


Ratings	% of Students
Above 90%	30.00%
70 – 89%	43.33%
50 – 69%	16.67%
30 – 49%	10.00%
Below 29%	0.00%



% Satisfaction
73.33%
Remark
Very Good

20. The overall quality of teaching-learning process in your institute is very good.

Ratings	% of Students
Strongly agree	16.67%
Agree	50.00%
Neutral	13.33%
Disagree	6.67%
Strongly disagree	13.33%



% Satisfaction
66.67%
Remark
Good

Feedback Incharge

Head of Department



Session:2020-21(ODD)


Student Satisfaction Survey Part A (Teaching Learning) Feedback Analysis

Semester: 7th Semester

Number of Students participated: 30

1. How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?


% of Syllabus	% of Students
85 to 100%	43.33%
70 to 84%	46.67%
55 to 69%	10.00%
30 to 54%	0.00%
Below 30%	0.00%



% Satisfaction
90.00%
Remark
Excellent

2. How well did the teachers prepare for the classes?


Ratings	% of Students
Thoroughly	36.67%
Satisfactorily	63.33%
Poorly	0.00%
Indifferently	0.00%
Won't teach at all	0.00%



% Satisfaction
100.00%
Remark
Excellent

3. How well were the teachers able to communicate?


Ratings	% of Students
Always effective	30.00%
Sometimes effective	63.33%
Just satisfactorily	6.67%
Generally ineffective	0.00%
Very poor communication	0.00%



% Satisfaction
93.33%
Remark
Excellent

4. The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as


Ratings	% of Students
Thoroughly	30.00%
Satisfactorily	56.67%
Poorly	13.33%
Indifferently	0.00%
Won't teach at all	0.00%



% Satisfaction
86.67%
Remark
Excellent

5. Fairness of the internal evaluation process by the teachers.


Ratings	% of Students
Always fair	43.33%
Usually fair	46.67%
Sometimes unfair	6.67%
Usually unfair	0.00%
Unfair	3.33%



% Satisfaction
90.00%
Remark
Excellent

6. Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?


Ratings	% of Students
Every time	36.67%
Usually	43.33%
Occasionally/Sometimes	3.33%
Rarely	10.00%
Never	6.67%



% Satisfaction	80.00%
Remark	Very Good

7. The institute takes active interest in promoting internship opportunities for students.


Ratings	% of Students
Regularly	26.67%
Often	53.33%
Sometimes	13.33%
Rarely	0.00%
Never	6.67%



% Satisfaction	80.00%
Remark	Very Good

8 The teaching and mentoring process in your institution facilitates you in cognitive, social and emotional growth.


Ratings	% of Students
Significantly	26.67%
Very well	46.67%
Moderately	13.33%
Marginally	3.33%
Not at all	10.00%



% Satisfaction	73.33%
Remark	Very Good

9. The institution provides multiple opportunities to learn and grow.


Ratings	% of Students
Strongly agree	30.00%
Agree	46.67%
Neutral	16.67%
Disagree	0.00%
Strongly disagree	6.67%



% Satisfaction	76.67%
Remark	Very Good

10. Teachers inform you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes and review the course syllabus in the class.

Ratings	% of Students
Every time	36.67%
Usually	50.00%
Occasionally/Sometimes	3.33%
Rarely	3.33%
Never	6.67%



% Satisfaction	86.67%
Remark	Excellent


11. Your mentor does a necessary follow-up with an assigned task to you.

Ratings	% of Students
Every time	36.67%
Usually	50.00%



% Satisfaction	86.67%
-----------------------	---------------


Occasionally/Sometimes	3.33%
Rarely	0.00%
Never	10.00%



Remark
Excellent

12. The teachers illustrate the concepts through examples and applications.


Ratings	% of Students
Every time	33.33%
Usually	63.33%
Occasionally/Sometimes	0.00%
Rarely	0.00%
Never	3.33%



% Satisfaction
96.67%
Remark
Excellent

13. The teachers identify your strengths and encourage you with providing right level of challenges.


Ratings	% of Students
Fully	26.67%
Reasonably	50.00%
Partially	10.00%
Slightly	3.33%
Unable to	10.00%



% Satisfaction
76.67%
Remark
Very Good

14. Teachers are able to identify your weaknesses and help you to overcome them.


Ratings	% of Students
Every time	26.67%
Usually	56.67%
Occasionally/Sometimes	6.67%
Rarely	6.67%
Never	3.33%



% Satisfaction
83.33%
Remark
Very Good

15. The institution makes effort to engage students in the monitoring, review and continuous quality improvement of the teaching learning process


Ratings	% of Students
Strongly agree	33.33%
Agree	40.00%
Neutral	23.33%
Disagree	0.00%
Strongly disagree	3.33%



% Satisfaction
73.33%
Remark
Very Good

16. The institute/ teachers use student centric methods, such as experiential learning, participative learning and problem solving methodologies for enhancing learning experiences.

Ratings	% of Students
To great extent	26.67%
Moderate	56.67%
Some what	16.67%
Very Little	0.00%
Not at All	0.00%



% Satisfaction
83.33%
Remark
Very Good


17. Teachers encourage you to participate in extracurricular activities

Ratings	% of Students
Strongly agree	33.33%



% Satisfaction


Agree	40.00%
Neutral	23.33%
Disagree	0.00%
Strongly disagree	3.33%



73.33%
Remark
Very Good

18. Efforts are made by the institute/ teachers to inculcate soft skills, life skills and employability skills to make you ready for the world of work.


Ratings	% of Students
To great extent	40.00%
Moderate	46.67%
Some what	13.33%
Very Little	0.00%
Not at All	0.00%



% Satisfaction
86.67%
Remark
Excellent

19. What percentage of teachers uses ICT tools such as PPTs, Multimedia, animations, etc. while teaching.


Ratings	% of Students
Above 90%	43.33%
70 – 89%	40.00%
50 – 69%	16.67%
30 – 49%	0.00%
Below 29%	0.00%



% Satisfaction
83.33%
Remark
Very Good

20. The overall quality of teaching-learning process in your institute is very good.

Ratings	% of Students
Strongly agree	28.57%
Agree	54.29%
Neutral	11.43%
Disagree	2.86%
Strongly disagree	0.00%



% Satisfaction
82.86%
Remark
Very Good

Feedback Incharge

Head of Department



S. B. JAIN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, MANAGEMENT & RESEARCH, NAGPUR.

(An Autonomous Institute, Affiliated to RTMNU, Nagpur)

DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE & ENGINEERING

To become a center for quality education in the field of Computer Science & Engineering and to create competent professionals.



Session:2020-21(EVEN)


Student Satisfaction Survey Part A (Teaching Learning) Feedback Analysis

Semester: 8th Semester

Number of Students participated: 28

1. How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?


% of Syllabus	% of Students
85 to 100%	50.00%
70 to 84%	32.14%
55 to 69%	17.86%
30 to 54%	0.00%
Below 30%	0.00%



% Satisfaction
82.14%
Remark
Very Good

2. How well did the teachers prepare for the classes?


Ratings	% of Students
Thoroughly	60.71%
Satisfactorily	21.43%
Poorly	17.86%
Indifferently	0.00%
Won't teach at all	0.00%



% Satisfaction
82.14%
Remark
Very Good

3. How well were the teachers able to communicate?


Ratings	% of Students
Always effective	53.57%
Sometimes effective	35.71%
Just satisfactorily	10.71%
Generally ineffective	0.00%
Very poor communication	0.00%



% Satisfaction
89.29%
Remark
Excellent

4. The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as


Ratings	% of Students
Thoroughly	53.57%
Satisfactorily	28.57%
Poorly	17.86%
Indifferently	0.00%
Won't teach at all	0.00%



% Satisfaction
82.14%
Remark
Very Good

5. Fairness of the internal evaluation process by the teachers.


Ratings	% of Students
Always fair	50.00%
Usually fair	39.29%
Sometimes unfair	7.14%
Usually unfair	3.57%
Unfair	0.00%



% Satisfaction
89.29%
Remark
Excellent

6. Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?


Ratings	% of Students
Every time	50.00%
Usually	35.71%
Occasionally/Sometimes	10.71%
Rarely	3.57%
Never	0.00%



% Satisfaction
85.71%
Remark
Excellent

7. The institute takes active interest in promoting internship opportunities for students.


Ratings	% of Students
Regularly	50.00%
Often	32.14%
Sometimes	10.71%
Rarely	7.14%
Never	0.00%



% Satisfaction
82.14%
Remark
Very Good

8 The teaching and mentoring process in your institution facilitates you in cognitive, social and emotional growth.


Ratings	% of Students
Significantly	46.43%
Very well	32.14%
Moderately	17.86%
Marginally	3.57%
Not at all	0.00%



% Satisfaction
78.57%
Remark
Very Good

9. The institution provides multiple opportunities to learn and grow.


Ratings	% of Students
Strongly agree	50.00%
Agree	28.57%
Neutral	14.29%
Disagree	7.14%
Strongly disagree	0.00%



% Satisfaction
78.57%
Remark
Very Good

10. Teachers inform you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes and review the course syllabus in the class.


Ratings	% of Students
Every time	53.57%
Usually	39.29%
Occasionally/Sometimes	7.14%
Rarely	0.00%
Never	0.00%



% Satisfaction
92.86%
Remark
Excellent

11. Your mentor does a necessary follow-up with an assigned task to you.


Ratings	% of Students
Every time	60.71%
Usually	28.57%
Occasionally/Sometimes	7.14%
Rarely	3.57%
Never	0.00%



% Satisfaction
89.29%
Remark
Excellent

12. The teachers illustrate the concepts through examples and applications.


Ratings	% of Students
Every time	60.71%
Usually	28.57%
Occasionally/Sometimes	10.71%
Rarely	0.00%
Never	0.00%



% Satisfaction
89.29%
Remark
Excellent

13. The teachers identify your strengths and encourage you with providing right level of challenges.


Ratings	% of Students
Fully	50.00%
Reasonably	32.14%
Partially	14.29%
Slightly	0.00%
Unable to	3.57%



% Satisfaction
82.14%
Remark
Very Good

14. Teachers are able to identify your weaknesses and help you to overcome them.


Ratings	% of Students
Every time	50.00%
Usually	35.71%
Occasionally/Sometimes	10.71%
Rarely	3.57%
Never	0.00%



% Satisfaction
85.71%
Remark
Excellent

15. The institution makes effort to engage students in the monitoring, review and continuous quality improvement of the teaching learning process


Ratings	% of Students
Strongly agree	53.57%
Agree	25.00%
Neutral	14.29%
Disagree	7.14%
Strongly disagree	0.00%



% Satisfaction	78.57%
Remark	Very Good

16. The institute/ teachers use student centric methods, such as experiential learning, participative learning and problem solving methodologies for enhancing learning experiences.


Ratings	% of Students
To great extent	53.57%
Moderate	28.57%
Some what	10.71%
Very Little	7.14%
Not at All	0.00%



% Satisfaction	82.14%
Remark	Very Good

17. Teachers encourage you to participate in extracurricular activities


Ratings	% of Students
Strongly agree	50.00%
Agree	25.00%
Neutral	17.86%
Disagree	7.14%
Strongly disagree	0.00%



% Satisfaction	75.00%
Remark	Very Good

18. Efforts are made by the institute/ teachers to inculcate soft skills, life skills and employability skills to make you ready for the world of work.


Ratings	% of Students
To great extent	50.00%
Moderate	35.71%
Some what	3.57%
Very Little	10.71%
Not at All	0.00%



% Satisfaction	85.71%
Remark	Excellent

19. What percentage of teachers uses ICT tools such as PPTs, Multimedia, animations, etc. while teaching.


Ratings	% of Students
Above 90%	57.14%
70 – 89%	32.14%
50 – 69%	10.71%
30 – 49%	0.00%
Below 29%	0.00%



% Satisfaction	89.29%
Remark	Excellent

20. The overall quality of teaching-learning process in your institute is very good.

Ratings	% of Students
Strongly agree	46.43%
Agree	39.29%
Neutral	10.71%
Disagree	3.57%
Strongly disagree	0.00%



% Satisfaction
85.71%
Remark
Excellent

Feedback Incharge

Head of Department



Session:2020-21(ODD)

Teacher's Suggestions for Departmental/Institutional growth and improvement

Sr. No.	Name of faculty	At least two suggestions for Departmental/Institutional growth/improvement
1	Dr. Rupali K. Kelkar
2	Ramanand Samdekar	----
3	Mr.Dhirajkumar Gupta	----
4	Ratnesh Kumar Choudhary	1. We should go for NBA Accreditation. 2. We should go for Autonomy as well.
5	Nisarg Gandhewar	Introduction of new courses like AIML, cyber security to meet current requirement and Advanced Hands on workshop on latest technology to improve technical skill.
6	Animesh Tayal	Department / Institute must be ready for online teaching chalanges. Also we should focus on Project based learning.
7	Pranita Chaudhary	----

Feedback Incharge

Head of Department



S. B. JAIN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, MANAGEMENT & RESEARCH, NAGPUR.

(An Autonomous Institute, Affiliated to RTMNU, Nagpur)

DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE & ENGINEERING

To become a center for quality education in the field of Computer Science & Engineering and to create competent professionals.



Session:2020-21(Even)

Teacher's Suggestions for Departmental/Institutional Growth and Development

Sr. No	Name of faculty:	Subject Taught (Theory)/Semester	Subject Taught (Practical)/Semester	Atleast two suggestions for Departmental/Institutional Growth and Development
1	Ms. Pranita Chaudhary	System Programming / 4th Sem - CSE (Sec A/B)	Distributed Systems/ 8th Sem -IT	-
2	Dr. Rupali K. Kelkar	Discrete Mathematics & Graph Theory
3	Mr. Nisarg Gandhewar	Soft computing (VIII CSE), AI(VI CSE)		obtain Certification from standard platform like coursera.
4	Mr. Dhirajkumar Gupta	Computer Networks/6th	Computer Networks/6th	For online classes college have their own platform...
5	Dr. Ganesh B. Regulwar	TFCS/IV Sem	NA	Use latest tools for teaching aids so that students can understand easily.
6	Ms. Pushpa Chutel	Digital Forensics/8thSem	Computer Workshop Lab-2/ 4th Sem	1. Institute should do proper reimbursement if faculty do publication in Scopus in Journal 2. Make a transparent policy for TO, it should not be Given to Old Faculties, and if it should be given to all.
7	Ms.Rashmi Dagde	Distrusted operating system		Availability of more books
8	Ms. Snehal Dongre	Natural Language Processing (NLP) /VIII Semester	No Practical	Overall institution is good.

9	Mr. Ratnesh K. Choudh	Operating System	Operating System Lab	Improve the infrastructure & facility and add more specialization.
10	Mrs. Roshani Talmale	DSPD	DSPD	1. Practical hours should me more 2. Some topic should be taught by industry experts.
11	Mr. Ram Samdekar	Design Pattern	Design Pattern	focus on project based learning
12	Dr Prabha S Nair Nair	CCC VIII		1.PRACTICAL OF CLOUD CAN BE INCLUDED 2. The global public cloud infrastructure market analysis must be done
13	Mr. Jitesh Zade	Operating System/4th Sem	Sperating System/4th Sem	Can implement virtual lab concept



Feedback Incharge



Head of Department



Session:2020-21(ODD)

Teacher's Suggestion for Content Beyond Syllabus

Sr. No.	Name of faculty	Subject Taught (Theory) /Semester	Subject Taught (Practical) /Semester	Your valuable suggestion towards review of syllabus/course contents taught by you:
1	Dr. Rupali K. Kelkar	Applied Mathematics	NA	...
2	Ramanand Samdekar	JAVA Programming/ V	JAVA Programming/ V	Syllabus is ok
3	Mr. Dhirajkumar Gupta	Data Communication/5th Semester	NA	Add basic networking then start syllabus
4	Ratnesh Kumar Choudhary	Advanced C Programming & Logic Design	Advanced C Programming & Logic Design Lab, Language Processor Lab	We should upgrade the syllabus time to time so that we can add latest topics & technology.
5	Nisarg Gandhewar	BDABI (VII CSE) and DBMS (V CSE)	DBMS (V CSE)	Students missing classroom lecture.
6	Animesh Tayal	Language Processor	Language Processor	It should include some example of latest Compiler also
7	Pranita Chaudhary	Mobile Computing - 7th Sem	---	---

Feedback Incharge

Head of Department



S. B. JAIN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, MANAGEMENT & RESEARCH, NAGPUR.

(An Autonomous Institute, Affiliated to RTMNU, Nagpur)

DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE & ENGINEERING

To become a center for quality education in the field of Computer Science & Engineering and to create competent professionals.



Session:2020-21(Even)

Teacher's Suggestions for Content Beyond Syllabus

Sr. No.	Name of faculty:	Subject Taught (Theory)/Semester	Subject Taught (Practical)/Semester	Scope of content beyond syllabus/contemporary topics that could be included in the next semester:
1	Ms. Pranita Chaudhary	System Programming / 4th Sem - CSE (Sec A/B)	Distributed Systems/ 8th Sem - IT	No required as such.
2	Dr. Rupali K. Kelkar	Discrete Mathematics & Graph Theory
3	Mr. Nisarg Gandhewar	Soft computing (VIII CSE), AI(VI CSE)		will include advanced topic as per latest trend.
4	Mr. Dhirajkumar Gupta	Computer Networks/6th	Computer Networks/6th	More wireless technology
5	Dr. Ganesh B. Regulwar	TFCS/IV Sem	NA	Design of Automata through tool must include
6	Ms. Pushpa Chutel	Digital Forensics/8thSem	Computer Workshop Lab-2/ 4th Sem	Will try to add more real crime based case studies in Digital Forensics
7	Ms.Rashmi Dagde	Distrusted operating system		Real time operating system
8	Ms. Snehal Dongre	Natural Language Processing (NLP) /VIII Semester	No Practical	Topic include - Dialogue and Interactive System.
9	Mr. Ratnesh K. Choudhary	Operating System	Operating System Lab	we can give more topics from latest operating system as content beyond syllabus such as: Pixel. Ubuntu 16.10 or 16.04.
10	Mrs. Roshani Talmale	DSPD	DSPD	Advance search techniques, sorting techniques and case study will be taken

11	Mr. Ram Samdekar	Design Pattern	Design Pattern	no
12	Dr Prabha S Nair Nair	CCC VIII		AWS COMPLETE APPROACH CAN BE INCLUDED
13	Mr. Jitesh Zade	Operating System/4th Sem	Sperating System/4th Sem	IOS and recent processor like I3, I5.



Feedback Incharge



Head of Department



Session:2020-21(ODD)

Teacher's Feedback Syllabus Coverage & Use of ICT

Sr. No.	Name of faculty:	Subject Taught (Theory) /Semester	Subject Taught (Practical)/Semester	Adequate coverage of syllabus (All theory)	Adequate coverage of syllabus (All Practical)	Use of ICT viz. LCD projector, audio and video aids. (All Theory)	Use of ICT viz. LCD projector, audio and video aids, etc. (All Practical)
1	Dr. Rupali K. Kelkar	Applied Mathematics	NA	100%	NA	85%-100%	85%-100%
2	Ramanand Samdekar	JAVA Programming/ Vth sem IT	JAVA Programming/ Vth sem IT	100%	100%	70-85%	70-85%
3	Mr.Dhirajkumar Gupta	Data Communication/5th Semester	NA	100%	NA	85%-100%	85%-100%
4	Ratnesh Kumar Choudhary	Advanced C Programming & Logic Design	Advanced C Programming & Logic	100%	100%	85%-100%	85%-100%
5	Nisarg Gandhewar	BDABI (VII CSE) and DBMS (V CSE)	DBMS (V CSE)	100%	100%	85%-100%	85%-100%
6	Animesh Tayal	Language Processor	Language Processor	100%	100%	85%-100%	85%-100%
7	Pranita Chaudhary	Mobile Computing - 7th Sem		100%	<75%	85%-100%	85%-100%

Feedback Incharge

Head of Department



S. B. JAIN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, MANAGEMENT & RESEARCH, NAGPUR.

(An Autonomous Institute, Affiliated to RTMNU, Nagpur)

DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE & ENGINEERING

To become a center for quality education in the field of Computer Science & Engineering and to create competent professionals.



Session:2020-21(Even)

Teacher's Feedback Syllabus Coverage & Use of ICT

Sr. No.	Name of faculty:	Subject Taught (Theory)/Semester	Subject Taught (Practical)/Semester	Adequate coverage of syllabus (All theory)	Adequate coverage of syllabus (All Practical)	Use of ICT viz. LCD projector, audio and video aids. (All Theory)	Use of ICT viz. LCD projector, audio and video aids, etc. (All Practical)
1	Ms. Pranita Chaudhary	System Programming / 4th Sem - CSE (Sec A/B)	Distributed Systems/ 8th Sem -IT	100.00%	100.00%	85%-100%	85%-100%
2	Dr. Rupali K. Kelkar	Discrete Mathematics & Graph Theory	100.00%	100.00%	85%-100%	85%-100%
3	Mr. Nisarg Gandhewar	Soft computing (VIII CSE), AI(VI CSE)		100.00%	100.00%	85%-100%	85%-100%
4	Mr. Dhirajkumar Gupta	Computer Networks/6th	Computer Networks/6th	100.00%	100.00%	85%-100%	70-85%
5	Dr. Ganesh B. Regulwar	TFCS/IV Sem	NA	100.00%	100.00%	85%-100%	85%-100%
6	Ms. Pushpa Chutel	Digital Forensics/8thSem	Computer Workshop Lab-2/ 4th Sem	100.00%	100.00%	85%-100%	85%-100%
7	Ms.Rashmi Dagde	Distrusted operating system		100.00%	100.00%	70-85%	70-85%

8	Ms. Snehal Dongre	Natural Language Processing (NLP) /VIII Semester.	No Practical	100.00%	<75%	85%-100%	<40%
9	Mr. Ratnesh K. Choudh	Operating System	Operating System Lab	100.00%	100.00%	85%-100%	85%-100%
10	Mrs. Roshani Talmale	DSPD	DSPD	100.00%	100.00%	85%-100%	85%-100%
11	Mr. Ram Samdekar	Design Pattern	Design Pattern	100.00%	100.00%	85%-100%	85%-100%
12	Dr Prabha S Nair Nair	CCC VIII		100.00%	100.00%	85%-100%	85%-100%
13	Mr. Jitesh Zade	Operating System/4th Sem	Sperating System/4th Sem	100.00%	100.00%	85%-100%	85%-100%



Feedback Incharge



Head of Department



Session: 2020-21 (ODD)

Parent's Feedback Analysis

No. of Parents Attended PTM : 55

Sem: III, V & VII

Sr. No	Questions	Question wise % Rating	Overall % Rating
1	Rate your observation about your ward regarding familiarized with program curriculum provided by teachers.	98.18	87.56
2	Rate your observation about your ward feeling safe & secure at institutes's premises.	96.36	
3	Please rate about Academic Standard of institute.	98.18	
4	Please rate about Teaching Methodology of institute.	94.55	
5	Please rate about Personal Attention to your ward from institute.	100.00	
6	Please rate about the Library Facility of institute.	94.55	
7	Please rate about Transportation Facility of institute.	90.91	
8	Please rate about Canteen Facility of institute.	90.91	
9	Please rate about Sports Facility of institute.	63.64	
10	Rate your observation about your ward making constructing progress in building up his/her carrier.	94.55	
11	Please rate the opportunities(Value added courses, extracurricular activities, exposure to the industries etc..) provided by institute to enhance your ward's professional	87.27	
12	Rate your observation to encourage your ward for his/her social development by	94.55	
13	Rate your observation for enhancement in your ward's communication skill.	94.55	
14	Rate your observation about the grievance/complaint attended in reasonable time by the institute authorities.	92.73	
15	How much this Parent-Teacher meet was beneficial in understanding your ward's growth and progress in the institute?	96.36	
16	Does your child regularly inform you about his/her performance in the institute?	100.00	
17	Is your ward treated impartially and with esteem by the faculties of the college?	52.73	
18	Have you informed from class in-charge about your child's misconduct/poor attendance/ ill health time to time (if any)?	38.18	
19	Will you recommend the institute to your close relatives/acquaintance?	85.45	

Mr. Ratnesh Choudhary
PTM Coordinator

Mr. Animesh Tayal
Head of Department



S. B. JAIN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, MANAGEMENT & RESEARCH, NAGPUR.

(An Autonomous Institute, Affiliated to RTMNU, Nagpur)

DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE & ENGINEERING

To become a center for quality education in the field of Computer Science & Engineering and to create competent professionals.



Session: 2020-21 (EVEN)

Parent's Feedback Analysis

No. of Parents Attended PTM online :74

Sem: IV, VI & VIII

Sr. No	Questions	Question wise % Rating	Overall % Rating
1	Rate your observation about your ward regarding familiarized with program curriculum provided by teachers.	97.30	89.40
2	Rate your observation about your ward feeling safe & secure at institutes's premises.	97.30	
3	Please rate about Academic Standard of institute.	98.65	
4	Please rate about Teaching Methodology of institute.	97.30	
5	Please rate about Personal Attention to your ward from institute.	98.65	
6	Please rate about the Library Facility of institute.	93.24	
7	Please rate about Transportation Facility of institute.	91.89	
8	Please rate about Canteen Facility of institute.	87.84	
9	Please rate about Sports Facility of institute.	82.43	
10	Rate your observation about your ward making constructing progress in building up his/her carrier.	93.24	
11	Please rate the opportunities(Value added courses, extracurricular activities, exposure to the industries etc..) provided by institute to enhance your ward's professional	94.59	
12	Rate your observation to encourage your ward for his/her social development by	94.59	
13	Rate your observation for enhancement in your ward's communication skill.	94.59	
14	Rate your observation about the grievance/complaint attended in reasonable time by the institute authorities.	95.95	
15	How much this Parent-Teacher meet was beneficial in understanding your ward's growth and progress in the institute?	95.95	
16	Does your child regularly inform you about his/her performance in the institute?	100.00	
17	Is your ward treated impartially and with esteem by the faculties of the college?	55.41	
18	Have you informed from class in-charge about your child's misconduct/poor attendance/ ill health time to time (if any)?	32.43	
19	Will you recommend the institute to your close relatives/acquaintance?	97.30	

Mr. Ratnesh Choudhary
PTM Coordinator

Mr. Animesh Tayal
Head of Department