


Survey Details - Detailed & Summary Report

Survey: 23-24 ODD III Sem CN FB-I	Survey Type: Fresh Survey
Survey For: Student Satisfactory	Program: B.Tech.Artificial Intelligence & Data Science in Artificial Intelligence & Data Science

Detailed Report



1. Understanding of fundamental concepts	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	12	60	19.35%
2. Very Good	16	64	25.81%
3. Good	27	81	43.55%
4. Average	6	12	9.68%
5. Poor	1	1	1.61%
Total	62	218	70.32%

2. Your ability to analyze engineering problems and \nprovide/ design solutions wherever possible.	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	14	70	22.58%
2. Very Good	12	48	19.35%
3. Good	28	84	45.16%
4. Average	6	12	9.68%
5. Poor	2	2	3.23%
Total	62	216	69.68%

3. Your applicability of taught concepts in Activity \nbased learning/ skill set training	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	8	40	12.90%
2. Very Good	15	60	24.19%
3. Good	30	90	48.39%
4. Average	7	14	11.29%
5. Poor	2	2	3.23%
Total	62	206	66.45%

4. Exposure to modern tools viz. softwares, \nsimulations, etc. and use of demo models.\n	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	16	80	25.00%
2. Very Good	11	44	17.19%
3. Good	31	93	48.44%
4. Average	2	4	3.13%
5. Poor	4	4	6.25%
Total	64	225	70.31%

5. Involvement in Industry related activity\n	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	9	45	14.06%
2. Very Good	12	48	18.75%
3. Good	29	87	45.31%
4. Average	7	14	10.94%
5. Poor	7	7	10.94%
Total	64	201	62.81%

6. Ability to solve Practice sheets independently	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	12	60	19.05%
2. Very Good	8	32	12.70%
3. Good	35	105	55.56%
4. Average	5	10	7.94%
5. Poor	3	3	4.76%
Total	63	210	66.67%

7. Adequate coverage of syllabus	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	14	70	22.58%
2. Very Good	12	48	19.35%

7. Adequate coverage of syllabus	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
3. Good	28	84	45.16%
4. Average	5	10	8.06%
5. Poor	3	3	4.84%
Total	62	215	69.35%

8. Teacher's Class control & discipline, punctuality \nand regularity in conducting classes	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	17	85	26.98%
2. Very Good	10	40	15.87%
3. Good	30	90	47.62%
4. Average	5	10	7.94%
5. Poor	1	1	1.59%
Total	63	226	71.75%

9. Use of LCD Projector, multimedia, animations, \ngraphics, audio-visual contents etc. in teaching	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	15	75	23.81%
2. Very Good	13	52	20.63%
3. Good	28	84	44.44%
4. Average	5	10	7.94%
5. Poor	2	2	3.17%
Total	63	223	70.79%

10. Teacher's encouragement to interactios	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	18	90	29.03%
2. Very Good	8	32	12.90%
3. Good	29	87	46.77%
4. Average	5	10	8.06%
5. Poor	2	2	3.23%
Total	62	221	71.29%

11. Teacher's accessibility to students for solving \nquiries and problems.	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	11	55	17.74%
2. Very Good	15	60	24.19%
3. Good	29	87	46.77%
4. Average	3	6	4.84%
5. Poor	4	4	6.45%
Total	62	212	68.39%

12. Remarks (if any)\n
No data

Comments:

SI No.	Stakeholder	Remarks
1	Response 1	Good
2	Response 2	excellent
3	Response 3	Excellent
4	Response 4	good
5	Response 5	good
6	Response 6	Overall teaching is good.
7	Response 7	.
8	Response 8	ok
9	Response 9	very good
10	Response 10	Good
11	Response 11	Written notes should be needed
12	Response 12	The explanation is not proper. Do not cover the topics properly
13	Response 13	overall good
14	Response 14	Teach the fundamental of Computer Network smoothly
15	Response 15	good
16	Response 16	No comments
17	Response 17	Ok
18	Response 18	Average
19	Response 19
20	Response 20	average
21	Response 21	Nice
22	Response 22	good
23	Response 23	.
24	Response 24	Very Good
25	Response 25	Average teaching
26	Response 26	good
27	Response 27	good
28	Response 28	good
29	Response 29	good
30	Response 30	Good
31	Response 31	overall good
32	Response 32	Excellent
33	Response 33	No comments
34	Response 34	excellent
35	Response 35	no comment

SI No.	Stakeholder	Remarks
36	Response 36	Good
37	Response 37	NICE
38	Response 38	no comments
39	Response 39	nice sir
40	Response 40	Good
41	Response 41	good
42	Response 42	Very Supportive Sir, He explain all the concept in easy manner using real life examples. Helpful.
43	Response 43	good
44	Response 44	good
45	Response 45	Good
46	Response 46	Need to Change teaching pattern
47	Response 47	Good
48	Response 48	Sir is very supportive and encouraging, encourage to participate in all every activities
49	Response 49	Good
50	Response 50	Good
51	Response 51	supportive faculty clear all the concept of the subject
52	Response 52	No comments
53	Response 53	Very Interactive Leacture
54	Response 54	Good
55	Response 55	good
56	Response 56	good teacher
57	Response 57	We able to understand concept
58	Response 58	Overall things are good!!
59	Response 59	..
60	Response 60	Good
61	Response 61	Nice
62	Response 62	All good

Summary Report


Number of people Responded / Total number of Responders is **62 / 63** People.

Questions	Total Response Count	Weighted Response Percent
Understanding of fundamental concepts	218	70.32%
Your ability to analyze engineering problems and \nprovide/ design solutions wherever possible.	216	69.68%
Your applicability of taught concepts in Activity \nbased learning/ skill set training	206	66.45%
Exposure to modern tools viz. softwares, \nsimulations, etc. and use of demo models.\n	225	70.31%
Involvement in Industry related activity\n	201	62.81%
Ability to solve Practice sheets independently	210	66.67%
Adequate coverage of syllabus	215	69.35%
Teacher's Class control & discipline, punctuality \nand regularity in conducting classes	226	71.75%
Use of LCD Projector, multimedia, animations, \ngraphics, audio-visual contents etc. in teaching	223	70.79%
Teacher's encouragement to interactios	221	71.29%
Teacher's accessibility to students for solving \nqueries and problems.	212	68.39%
Total Feedback	2373	68.88%

Survey Details - Detailed & Summary Report

Survey: 23-24 ODD III Sem CN Lab FB-I	Survey Type: Fresh Survey
Survey For: Student Satisfactory	Program: B.Tech.Artificial Intelligence & Data Science in Artificial Intelligence & Data Science

Detailed Report



1. Effectiveness of Pre-Lab sessions	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	15	75	23.81%
2. Very Good	14	56	22.22%
3. Good	26	78	41.27%
4. Average	7	14	11.11%
5. Poor	1	1	1.59%
Total	63	224	71.11%

2. In depth understanding of \nExperiments/Practicals	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	15	75	23.44%
2. Very Good	14	56	21.88%
3. Good	28	84	43.75%
4. Average	6	12	9.38%
5. Poor	1	1	1.56%
Total	64	228	71.25%

3. Ability to reach conclusion based upon \nobservations and interpret results	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	15	75	24.59%
2. Very Good	14	56	22.95%
3. Good	24	72	39.34%
4. Average	8	16	13.11%
5. Poor	0	0	0.00%
Total	61	219	71.80%

4. Ability to co-relate theory with the practical \nand realization of its applicability in real \nworld.	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	14	70	22.95%
2. Very Good	15	60	24.59%
3. Good	25	75	40.98%
4. Average	5	10	8.20%
5. Poor	2	2	3.28%
Total	61	217	71.15%

5. Teachers' control & discipline in Lab, \npunctuality and regularity in conducting \npracticals	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	15	75	24.19%
2. Very Good	13	52	20.97%
3. Good	28	84	45.16%
4. Average	6	12	9.68%
5. Poor	0	0	0.00%
Total	62	223	71.94%

6. Adequacy and clarity in illustration	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	13	65	20.97%
2. Very Good	11	44	17.74%
3. Good	30	90	48.39%
4. Average	5	10	8.06%
5. Poor	3	3	4.84%
Total	62	212	68.39%

7. Experimental set-ups are operational & well \nmaintained	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	12	60	19.67%
2. Very Good	17	68	27.87%

7. Experimental set-ups are operational & well maintained	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
3. Good	26	78	42.62%
4. Average	5	10	8.20%
5. Poor	1	1	1.64%
Total	61	217	71.15%

8. Explaining the difficulties raised by the students	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	13	65	21.31%
2. Very Good	13	52	21.31%
3. Good	30	90	49.18%
4. Average	4	8	6.56%
5. Poor	1	1	1.64%
Total	61	216	70.82%

9. Promptness in assessment of submissions	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	9	45	14.52%
2. Very Good	19	76	30.65%
3. Good	28	84	45.16%
4. Average	6	12	9.68%
5. Poor	0	0	0.00%
Total	62	217	70.00%

10. Use of modern tools, hardware & simulation software etc.	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	17	85	26.98%
2. Very Good	15	60	23.81%
3. Good	25	75	39.68%
4. Average	4	8	6.35%
5. Poor	2	2	3.17%
Total	63	230	73.02%

11. Motivation to explore, apply and develop new applications	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	11	55	18.03%
2. Very Good	16	64	26.23%
3. Good	27	81	44.26%
4. Average	6	12	9.84%
5. Poor	1	1	1.64%
Total	61	213	69.84%

12. Remarks (If any)
No data

Comments:

Sl No.	Stakeholder	Remarks
1	Response 1	Better

SI No.	Stakeholder	Remarks
2	Response 2	excellent
3	Response 3	very good
4	Response 4	Excellent
5	Response 5	good
6	Response 6	
7	Response 7	very good
8	Response 8	good
9	Response 9	good
10	Response 10	require proper monitoring
11	Response 11	Very good
12	Response 12	Very good
13	Response 13	Ok
14	Response 14	excellent
15	Response 15	Good
16	Response 16	
17	Response 17	Fun to explore about CN in lab
18	Response 18	overall good
19	Response 19	Ok
20	Response 20	good
21	Response 21	teaching is too fast
22	Response 22	All good
23	Response 23	average
24	Response 24	good
25	Response 25	Good
26	Response 26	
27	Response 27	good
28	Response 28	good
29	Response 29	good
30	Response 30	good
31	Response 31	Good
32	Response 32	.
33	Response 33	No
34	Response 34	Bad
35	Response 35	Excellent
36	Response 36	no comment
37	Response 37	Good

SI No.	Stakeholder	Remarks
38	Response 38	NICE
39	Response 39	Good
40	Response 40	good
41	Response 41	Very supportive Sir, He clears all the concept very easily!
42	Response 42	Good
43	Response 43	Teaching is very good and understanding, help in solving problems
44	Response 44	Good
45	Response 45	Good
46	Response 46	supportive faculty
47	Response 47	No comments
48	Response 48	No comments
49	Response 49	Solves All The Queries
50	Response 50	Good
51	Response 51	No comments
52	Response 52	good
53	Response 53	Computer Lab sessions are effective.
54	Response 54	good
55	Response 55	nice!!
56	Response 56	Good
57	Response 57	..
58	Response 58	..
59	Response 59	Good
60	Response 60	no comment
61	Response 61	Average

Summary Report


Number of people Responded / Total number of Responders is **60 / 63** People.

Questions	Total Response Count	Weighted Response Percent
Effectiveness of Pre-Lab sessions	224	71.11%
In depth understanding of \nExperiments/Practicals	228	71.25%
Ability to reach conclusion based upon \nobservations and interpret results	219	71.80%
Ability to co-relate theory with the practical \nand realization of its applicability in real \nworld.	217	71.15%
Teachers' control & discipline in Lab, \npunctuality and regularity in conducting \npracticals	223	71.94%
Adequacy and clarity in illustration	212	68.39%
Experimental set-ups are operational & well \nmaintained	217	71.15%
Explaining the difficulties raised by the \nstudents	216	70.82%
Promptness in assessment of submissions	217	70.00%
Use of modern tools, hardware & simulation \nsoftware etc.	230	73.02%
Motivation to explore, apply and develop \nnew applications	213	69.84%
Total Feedback	2416	70.95%

Survey Details - Detailed & Summary Report

Survey: 23-24 ODD III Sem DBMS FB-I	Survey Type: Fresh Survey
Survey For: Student Satisfactory	Program: B.Tech.Artificial Intelligence & Data Science in Artificial Intelligence & Data Science

Detailed Report



1. Understanding of fundamental concepts	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	7	35	11.48%
2. Very Good	10	40	16.39%
3. Good	28	84	45.90%
4. Average	7	14	11.48%
5. Poor	9	9	14.75%
Total	61	182	59.67%

2. Your ability to analyze engineering problems and \nprovide/ design solutions wherever possible.	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	11	55	18.03%
2. Very Good	6	24	9.84%
3. Good	31	93	50.82%
4. Average	7	14	11.48%
5. Poor	6	6	9.84%
Total	61	192	62.95%

3. Your applicability of taught concepts in Activity \nbased learning/ skill set training	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	7	35	11.48%
2. Very Good	10	40	16.39%
3. Good	29	87	47.54%
4. Average	7	14	11.48%
5. Poor	8	8	13.11%
Total	61	184	60.33%

4. Exposure to modern tools viz. softwares, \nsimulations, etc. and use of demo models.\n	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	9	45	14.75%
2. Very Good	8	32	13.11%
3. Good	30	90	49.18%
4. Average	7	14	11.48%
5. Poor	7	7	11.48%
Total	61	188	61.64%

5. Involvement in Industry related activity\n	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	5	25	8.06%
2. Very Good	11	44	17.74%
3. Good	30	90	48.39%
4. Average	8	16	12.90%
5. Poor	8	8	12.90%
Total	62	183	59.03%

6. Ability to solve Practice sheets independently	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	8	40	13.11%
2. Very Good	10	40	16.39%
3. Good	29	87	47.54%
4. Average	8	16	13.11%
5. Poor	6	6	9.84%
Total	61	189	61.97%

7. Adequate coverage of syllabus	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	5	25	8.06%
2. Very Good	13	52	20.97%

7. Adequate coverage of syllabus	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
3. Good	27	81	43.55%
4. Average	10	20	16.13%
5. Poor	7	7	11.29%
Total	62	185	59.68%

8. Teacher's Class control & discipline, punctuality \nand regularity in conducting classes	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	11	55	18.03%
2. Very Good	9	36	14.75%
3. Good	30	90	49.18%
4. Average	6	12	9.84%
5. Poor	5	5	8.20%
Total	61	198	64.92%

9. Use of LCD Projector, multimedia, animations, \ngraphics, audio-visual contents etc. in teaching	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	8	40	13.11%
2. Very Good	12	48	19.67%
3. Good	32	96	52.46%
4. Average	5	10	8.20%
5. Poor	4	4	6.56%
Total	61	198	64.92%

10. Teacher's encouragement to interactios	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	10	50	16.39%
2. Very Good	8	32	13.11%
3. Good	30	90	49.18%
4. Average	6	12	9.84%
5. Poor	7	7	11.48%
Total	61	191	62.62%

11. Teacher's accessibility to students for solving \nquiries and problems.	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	7	35	11.48%
2. Very Good	12	48	19.67%
3. Good	28	84	45.90%
4. Average	7	14	11.48%
5. Poor	7	7	11.48%
Total	61	188	61.64%

12. Remarks (if any)\n
No data

Comments:

SI No.	Stakeholder	Remarks
1	Response 1	no comments
2	Response 2	Excellent
3	Response 3	Good
4	Response 4	average
5	Response 5	no comment
6	Response 6	very good
7	Response 7	Ok
8	Response 8	average
9	Response 9	good
10	Response 10	good
11	Response 11	Good
12	Response 12	good
13	Response 13	
14	Response 14	Good
15	Response 15	Good
16	Response 16	good
17	Response 17	very good
18	Response 18	excellent
19	Response 19	Good
20	Response 20	Good
21	Response 21	concepts are not clearly understand.
22	Response 22	good
23	Response 23	Teaching is Good
24	Response 24	good
25	Response 25	good
26	Response 26
27	Response 27	understand the copncept of subject
28	Response 28	good
29	Response 29	Good
30	Response 30	NICE
31	Response 31	Good
32	Response 32	????????
33	Response 33	good
34	Response 34	overall good
35	Response 35	Poor
36	Response 36	no comments

Sl No.	Stakeholder	Remarks
37	Response 37	good
38	Response 38	Good
39	Response 39	Need improvement
40	Response 40	Ma'am clears all the concept very easily!
41	Response 41	teaching is very good and understandable,help in clearing concept
42	Response 42	Good
43	Response 43	No comments
44	Response 44	No comments
45	Response 45	Good
46	Response 46	Good
47	Response 47	good
48	Response 48	good
49	Response 49	ok!!
50	Response 50	Understandable
51	Response 51
52	Response 52	..
53	Response 53	Nice
54	Response 54	no
55	Response 55	average
56	Response 56	Good
57	Response 57	No comments
58	Response 58	Good
59	Response 59	.

Summary Report


Number of people Responded / Total number of Responders is **59 / 63** People.

Questions	Total Response Count	Weighted Response Percent
Understanding of fundamental concepts	182	59.67%
Your ability to analyze engineering problems and \nprovide/ design solutions wherever possible.	192	62.95%
Your applicability of taught concepts in Activity \nbased learning/ skill set training	184	60.33%
Exposure to modern tools viz. softwares, \nsimulations, etc. and use of demo models.\n	188	61.64%
Involvement in Industry related activity\n	183	59.03%
Ability to solve Practice sheets independently	189	61.97%
Adequate coverage of syllabus	185	59.68%
Teacher's Class control & discipline, punctuality \nand regularity in conducting classes	198	64.92%
Use of LCD Projector, multimedia, animations, \ngraphics, audio-visual contents etc. in teaching	198	64.92%
Teacher's encouragement to interactios	191	62.62%
Teacher's accessibility to students for solving \nqueries and problems.	188	61.64%
Total Feedback	2078	61.75%

Survey Details - Detailed & Summary Report

Survey: 23-24 ODD III Sem DBMS Lab FB-I	Survey Type: Fresh Survey
Survey For: Student Satisfactory	Program: B.Tech.Artificial Intelligence & Data Science in Artificial Intelligence & Data Science

Detailed Report



1. Effectiveness of Pre-Lab sessions	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	8	40	13.56%
2. Very Good	13	52	22.03%
3. Good	26	78	44.07%
4. Average	7	14	11.86%
5. Poor	5	5	8.47%
Total	59	189	64.07%

2. In depth understanding of \nExperiments/Practicals	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	11	55	18.33%
2. Very Good	9	36	15.00%
3. Good	26	78	43.33%
4. Average	6	12	10.00%
5. Poor	8	8	13.33%
Total	60	189	63.00%

3. Ability to reach conclusion based upon \nobservations and interpret results	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	7	35	11.86%
2. Very Good	9	36	15.25%
3. Good	30	90	50.85%
4. Average	7	14	11.86%
5. Poor	6	6	10.17%
Total	59	181	61.36%

4. Ability to co-relate theory with the practical \nand realization of its applicability in real \nworld.	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	11	55	18.33%
2. Very Good	5	20	8.33%
3. Good	27	81	45.00%
4. Average	10	20	16.67%
5. Poor	7	7	11.67%
Total	60	183	61.00%

5. Teachers' control & discipline in Lab, \npunctuality and regularity in conducting \npracticals	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	9	45	15.00%
2. Very Good	12	48	20.00%
3. Good	24	72	40.00%
4. Average	10	20	16.67%
5. Poor	5	5	8.33%
Total	60	190	63.33%

6. Adequacy and clarity in illustration	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	8	40	13.33%
2. Very Good	7	28	11.67%
3. Good	28	84	46.67%
4. Average	7	14	11.67%
5. Poor	10	10	16.67%
Total	60	176	58.67%

7. Experimental set-ups are operational & well \nmaintained	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	6	30	10.00%
2. Very Good	11	44	18.33%

7. Experimental set-ups are operational & well maintained	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
3. Good	26	78	43.33%
4. Average	10	20	16.67%
5. Poor	7	7	11.67%
Total	60	179	59.67%

8. Explaining the difficulties raised by the students	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	10	50	16.67%
2. Very Good	6	24	10.00%
3. Good	27	81	45.00%
4. Average	8	16	13.33%
5. Poor	9	9	15.00%
Total	60	180	60.00%

9. Promptness in assessment of submissions	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	5	25	8.47%
2. Very Good	12	48	20.34%
3. Good	25	75	42.37%
4. Average	10	20	16.95%
5. Poor	7	7	11.86%
Total	59	175	59.32%

10. Use of modern tools, hardware & simulation software etc.	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	10	50	16.67%
2. Very Good	8	32	13.33%
3. Good	27	81	45.00%
4. Average	8	16	13.33%
5. Poor	7	7	11.67%
Total	60	186	62.00%

11. Motivation to explore, apply and develop new applications	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	8	40	13.56%
2. Very Good	11	44	18.64%
3. Good	25	75	42.37%
4. Average	10	20	16.95%
5. Poor	5	5	8.47%
Total	59	184	62.37%

12. Remarks (If any)
No data

Comments:

Sl No.	Stakeholder	Remarks
1	Response 1	very good

SI No.	Stakeholder	Remarks
2	Response 2	Excellent
3	Response 3	good
4	Response 4	Nohting
5	Response 5	good
6	Response 6	good
7	Response 7	avg
8	Response 8	Ok
9	Response 9	no comments
10	Response 10	remove leena mam and provide some experienced teacher
11	Response 11	Good
12	Response 12	excellent
13	Response 13	Bad
14	Response 14	Good
15	Response 15	Good
16	Response 16	pc does not work ,.
17	Response 17	understand the copncept of subject
18	Response 18	Good
19	Response 19	good
20	Response 20	average
21	Response 21	Good
22	Response 22	Good
23	Response 23	good
24	Response 24	Good
25	Response 25	good
26	Response 26	no comments
27	Response 27	good
28	Response 28	good
29	Response 29	good
30	Response 30	?????????
31	Response 31	Good
32	Response 32	overall good
33	Response 33	good
34	Response 34	good
35	Response 35	Okay
36	Response 36	good
37	Response 37	Ma'am clears all the concept very easily!

SI No.	Stakeholder	Remarks
38	Response 38	Good
39	Response 39	Poor
40	Response 40	Teaching is good and understanding
41	Response 41	Good
42	Response 42	No comments
43	Response 43	No comments
44	Response 44	Nice
45	Response 45	Good
46	Response 46	good
47	Response 47	good
48	Response 48	nice!!!
49	Response 49	Able to understand
50	Response 50	..
51	Response 51
52	Response 52	Nice
53	Response 53	no
54	Response 54	very good
55	Response 55	.
56	Response 56	No comments
57	Response 57	Good
58	Response 58	.

Summary Report


Number of people Responded / Total number of Responders is **58 / 63** People.

Questions	Total Response Count	Weighted Response Percent
Effectiveness of Pre-Lab sessions	189	64.07%
In depth understanding of \nExperiments/Practicals	189	63.00%
Ability to reach conclusion based upon \nobservations and interpret results	181	61.36%
Ability to co-relate theory with the practical \nand realization of its applicability in real \nworld.	183	61.00%
Teachers' control & discipline in Lab, \npunctuality and regularity in conducting \npracticals	190	63.33%
Adequacy and clarity in illustration	176	58.67%
Experimental set-ups are operational & well \nmaintained	179	59.67%
Explaining the difficulties raised by the \nstudents	180	60.00%
Promptness in assessment of submissions	175	59.32%
Use of modern tools, hardware & simulation \nsoftware etc.	186	62.00%
Motivation to explore, apply and develop \nnew applications	184	62.37%
Total Feedback	2012	61.34%

Survey Details - Detailed & Summary Report

Survey: 23-24 ODD III Sem DSA FB-I	Survey Type: Fresh Survey
Survey For: Student Satisfactory	Program: B.Tech.Artificial Intelligence & Data Science in Artificial Intelligence & Data Science

Detailed Report



1. Understanding of fundamental concepts	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	11	55	16.67%
2. Very Good	21	84	31.82%
3. Good	28	84	42.42%
4. Average	5	10	7.58%
5. Poor	1	1	1.52%
Total	66	234	70.91%

2. Your ability to analyze engineering problems and \nprovide/ design solutions wherever possible.	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	15	75	24.19%
2. Very Good	17	68	27.42%
3. Good	26	78	41.94%
4. Average	3	6	4.84%
5. Poor	1	1	1.61%
Total	62	228	73.55%

3. Your applicability of taught concepts in Activity \nbased learning/ skill set training	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	8	40	12.70%
2. Very Good	23	92	36.51%
3. Good	28	84	44.44%
4. Average	2	4	3.17%
5. Poor	2	2	3.17%
Total	63	222	70.48%

4. Exposure to modern tools viz. softwares, \nsimulations, etc. and use of demo models.\n	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	17	85	27.42%
2. Very Good	15	60	24.19%
3. Good	28	84	45.16%
4. Average	1	2	1.61%
5. Poor	1	1	1.61%
Total	62	232	74.84%

5. Involvement in Industry related activity\n	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	8	40	12.70%
2. Very Good	15	60	23.81%
3. Good	31	93	49.21%
4. Average	4	8	6.35%
5. Poor	5	5	7.94%
Total	63	206	65.40%

6. Ability to solve Practice sheets independently	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	13	65	20.63%
2. Very Good	16	64	25.40%
3. Good	28	84	44.44%
4. Average	5	10	7.94%
5. Poor	1	1	1.59%
Total	63	224	71.11%

7. Adequate coverage of syllabus	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	11	55	17.74%
2. Very Good	17	68	27.42%

7. Adequate coverage of syllabus	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
3. Good	30	90	48.39%
4. Average	3	6	4.84%
5. Poor	1	1	1.61%
Total	62	220	70.97%

8. Teacher's Class control & discipline, punctuality \nand regularity in conducting classes	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	17	85	26.56%
2. Very Good	14	56	21.88%
3. Good	28	84	43.75%
4. Average	4	8	6.25%
5. Poor	1	1	1.56%
Total	64	234	73.13%

9. Use of LCD Projector, multimedia, animations, \ngraphics, audio-visual contents etc. in teaching	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	15	75	24.19%
2. Very Good	22	88	35.48%
3. Good	23	69	37.10%
4. Average	1	2	1.61%
5. Poor	1	1	1.61%
Total	62	235	75.81%

10. Teacher's encouragement to interactios	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	16	80	25.81%
2. Very Good	14	56	22.58%
3. Good	28	84	45.16%
4. Average	4	8	6.45%
5. Poor	0	0	0.00%
Total	62	228	73.55%

11. Teacher's accessibility to students for solving \nquiries and problems.	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	11	55	17.74%
2. Very Good	22	88	35.48%
3. Good	24	72	38.71%
4. Average	5	10	8.06%
5. Poor	0	0	0.00%
Total	62	225	72.58%

12. Remarks (if any)\n
No data

Comments:

SI No.	Stakeholder	Remarks
1	Response 1	Good
2	Response 2	very good
3	Response 3	excellent
4	Response 4	overall good
5	Response 5	good
6	Response 6	excellent
7	Response 7	no comments
8	Response 8	good
9	Response 9	well behaved teacher
10	Response 10	Excellent
11	Response 11	Excellent
12	Response 12	Good
13	Response 13	Ok
14	Response 14	good
15	Response 15	NICE
16	Response 16	Good
17	Response 17	Good
18	Response 18	Good
19	Response 19	The sir was good
20	Response 20	Good
21	Response 21	need improvment
22	Response 22	good
23	Response 23	Good
24	Response 24	good
25	Response 25	Teaching is okay.
26	Response 26	good
27	Response 27	good
28	Response 28	All is okay
29	Response 29	Good
30	Response 30	good
31	Response 31	good
32	Response 32	Excellent
33	Response 33	No comments
34	Response 34	.
35	Response 35	overall good
36	Response 36	good

SI No.	Stakeholder	Remarks
37	Response 37	no comment
38	Response 38	Good
39	Response 39	Good????
40	Response 40	no comments
41	Response 41	good
42	Response 42	Very supportive Sir, He clears all the concept very easily!
43	Response 43	Sir teaching is very good and understandable,help in clearing problem
44	Response 44	Good
45	Response 45	Good
46	Response 46	understand the concept of the subject
47	Response 47	No comments
48	Response 48	No comments
49	Response 49	Nice
50	Response 50	No comments
51	Response 51	v good
52	Response 52	excellent teacher
53	Response 53	Perfect explanation
54	Response 54	Good
55	Response 55	..
56	Response 56	...
57	Response 57	Good
58	Response 58	no
59	Response 59	.
60	Response 60	Good
61	Response 61	.

Summary Report


Number of people Responded / Total number of Responders is **61 / 63** People.

Questions	Total Response Count	Weighted Response Percent
Understanding of fundamental concepts	234	70.91%
Your ability to analyze engineering problems and \nprovide/ design solutions wherever possible.	228	73.55%
Your applicability of taught concepts in Activity \nbased learning/ skill set training	222	70.48%
Exposure to modern tools viz. softwares, \nsimulations, etc. and use of demo models.\n	232	74.84%
Involvement in Industry related activity\n	206	65.40%
Ability to solve Practice sheets independently	224	71.11%
Adequate coverage of syllabus	220	70.97%
Teacher's Class control & discipline, punctuality \nand regularity in conducting classes	234	73.13%
Use of LCD Projector, multimedia, animations, \ngraphics, audio-visual contents etc. in teaching	235	75.81%
Teacher's encouragement to interactios	228	73.55%
Teacher's accessibility to students for solving \nqueries and problems.	225	72.58%
Total Feedback	2488	72.01%

Survey Details - Detailed & Summary Report

Survey: 23-24 ODD III Sem DSA Lab FB-I	Survey Type: Fresh Survey
Survey For: Student Satisfactory	Program: B.Tech.Artificial Intelligence & Data Science in Artificial Intelligence & Data Science

Detailed Report



1. Effectiveness of Pre-Lab sessions	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	10	50	16.67%
2. Very Good	15	60	25.00%
3. Good	28	84	46.67%
4. Average	5	10	8.33%
5. Poor	2	2	3.33%
Total	60	206	68.67%

2. In depth understanding of \nExperiments/Practicals	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	12	60	19.67%
2. Very Good	13	52	21.31%
3. Good	27	81	44.26%
4. Average	6	12	9.84%
5. Poor	3	3	4.92%
Total	61	208	68.20%

3. Ability to reach conclusion based upon \nobservations and interpret results	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	8	40	13.33%
2. Very Good	15	60	25.00%
3. Good	28	84	46.67%
4. Average	5	10	8.33%
5. Poor	4	4	6.67%
Total	60	198	66.00%

4. Ability to co-relate theory with the practical \nand realization of its applicability in real \nworld.	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	10	50	16.67%
2. Very Good	14	56	23.33%
3. Good	28	84	46.67%
4. Average	5	10	8.33%
5. Poor	3	3	5.00%
Total	60	203	67.67%

5. Teachers' control & discipline in Lab, \npunctuality and regularity in conducting \npracticals	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	12	60	20.34%
2. Very Good	12	48	20.34%
3. Good	26	78	44.07%
4. Average	6	12	10.17%
5. Poor	3	3	5.08%
Total	59	201	68.14%

6. Adequacy and clarity in illustration	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	9	45	15.25%
2. Very Good	8	32	13.56%
3. Good	33	99	55.93%
4. Average	6	12	10.17%
5. Poor	3	3	5.08%
Total	59	191	64.75%

7. Experimental set-ups are operational & well \nmaintained	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	9	45	14.75%
2. Very Good	15	60	24.59%

7. Experimental set-ups are operational & well maintained	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
3. Good	28	84	45.90%
4. Average	5	10	8.20%
5. Poor	4	4	6.56%
Total	61	203	66.56%

8. Explaining the difficulties raised by the students	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	10	50	16.95%
2. Very Good	11	44	18.64%
3. Good	31	93	52.54%
4. Average	5	10	8.47%
5. Poor	2	2	3.39%
Total	59	199	67.46%

9. Promptness in assessment of submissions	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	12	60	20.00%
2. Very Good	11	44	18.33%
3. Good	30	90	50.00%
4. Average	5	10	8.33%
5. Poor	2	2	3.33%
Total	60	206	68.67%

10. Use of modern tools, hardware & simulation software etc.	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	11	55	18.33%
2. Very Good	12	48	20.00%
3. Good	28	84	46.67%
4. Average	6	12	10.00%
5. Poor	3	3	5.00%
Total	60	202	67.33%

11. Motivation to explore, apply and develop new applications	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	8	40	13.56%
2. Very Good	14	56	23.73%
3. Good	30	90	50.85%
4. Average	4	8	6.78%
5. Poor	3	3	5.08%
Total	59	197	66.78%

12. Remarks (If any)
No data

Comments:

Sl No.	Stakeholder	Remarks
1	Response 1	Good

SI No.	Stakeholder	Remarks
2	Response 2	very good
3	Response 3	
4	Response 4	very good
5	Response 5	good
6	Response 6	good \
7	Response 7	require attention
8	Response 8	Ok
9	Response 9	Average
10	Response 10	Excellent
11	Response 11	good
12	Response 12	NICE
13	Response 13	Good
14	Response 14	Good
15	Response 15	good
16	Response 16	No comments
17	Response 17	Thik hai
18	Response 18	.
19	Response 19	Good
20	Response 20	Good
21	Response 21	good
22	Response 22	excellent
23	Response 23	excellent
24	Response 24	no comments
25	Response 25	Good
26	Response 26	good
27	Response 27	overall good
28	Response 28	Excellent
29	Response 29	Bad
30	Response 30	no comment
31	Response 31	.
32	Response 32	good
33	Response 33	good
34	Response 34	Good
35	Response 35	no comments
36	Response 36	Good
37	Response 37	Good

SI No.	Stakeholder	Remarks
38	Response 38	Very supportive Sir, He clears all the concept very easily!
39	Response 39	Ok
40	Response 40	Good
41	Response 41	Teaching is very good and understanding, help in solving problems
42	Response 42	Good
43	Response 43	Good
44	Response 44	understand the concept of the subject
45	Response 45	No comments
46	Response 46	No comments
47	Response 47	Nice
48	Response 48	Good
49	Response 49	Good
50	Response 50	v good
51	Response 51	good
52	Response 52	ok
53	Response 53	We able to do coding
54	Response 54	...
55	Response 55	Good
56	Response 56	no
57	Response 57	Good
58	Response 58	Good
59	Response 59	.

Summary Report


Number of people Responded / Total number of Responders is **59 / 63** People.

Questions	Total Response Count	Weighted Response Percent
Effectiveness of Pre-Lab sessions	206	68.67%
In depth understanding of \nExperiments/Practicals	208	68.20%
Ability to reach conclusion based upon \nobservations and interpret results	198	66.00%
Ability to co-relate theory with the practical \nand realization of its applicability in real \nworld.	203	67.67%
Teachers' control & discipline in Lab, \npunctuality and regularity in conducting \npracticals	201	68.14%
Adequacy and clarity in illustration	191	64.75%
Experimental set-ups are operational & well \nmaintained	203	66.56%
Explaining the difficulties raised by the \nstudents	199	67.46%
Promptness in assessment of submissions	206	68.67%
Use of modern tools, hardware & simulation \nsoftware etc.	202	67.33%
Motivation to explore, apply and develop \nnew applications	197	66.78%
Total Feedback	2214	67.29%

Survey Details - Detailed & Summary Report

Survey: 23-24 ODD III Sem EIT&IPR FB-I	Survey Type: Fresh Survey
Survey For: Student Satisfactory	Program: B.Tech.Artificial Intelligence & Data Science in Artificial Intelligence & Data Science

Detailed Report



1. Understanding of fundamental concepts	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	12	60	20.00%
2. Very Good	15	60	25.00%
3. Good	27	81	45.00%
4. Average	3	6	5.00%
5. Poor	3	3	5.00%
Total	60	210	70.00%

2. Your ability to analyze engineering problems and \nprovide/ design solutions wherever possible.	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	15	75	23.81%
2. Very Good	10	40	15.87%
3. Good	31	93	49.21%
4. Average	5	10	7.94%
5. Poor	2	2	3.17%
Total	63	220	69.84%

3. Your applicability of taught concepts in Activity \nbased learning/ skill set training	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	15	75	24.59%
2. Very Good	13	52	21.31%
3. Good	25	75	40.98%
4. Average	5	10	8.20%
5. Poor	3	3	4.92%
Total	61	215	70.49%

4. Exposure to modern tools viz. softwares, \nsimulations, etc. and use of demo models.\n	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	16	80	26.23%
2. Very Good	10	40	16.39%
3. Good	28	84	45.90%
4. Average	4	8	6.56%
5. Poor	3	3	4.92%
Total	61	215	70.49%

5. Involvement in Industry related activity\n	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	14	70	22.95%
2. Very Good	13	52	21.31%
3. Good	25	75	40.98%
4. Average	7	14	11.48%
5. Poor	2	2	3.28%
Total	61	213	69.84%

6. Ability to solve Practice sheets independently	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	12	60	19.35%
2. Very Good	7	28	11.29%
3. Good	34	102	54.84%
4. Average	8	16	12.90%
5. Poor	1	1	1.61%
Total	62	207	66.77%

7. Adequate coverage of syllabus	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	12	60	20.00%
2. Very Good	13	52	21.67%

7. Adequate coverage of syllabus	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
3. Good	25	75	41.67%
4. Average	7	14	11.67%
5. Poor	3	3	5.00%
Total	60	204	68.00%

8. Teacher's Class control & discipline, punctuality \nand regularity in conducting classes	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	16	80	26.23%
2. Very Good	8	32	13.11%
3. Good	28	84	45.90%
4. Average	8	16	13.11%
5. Poor	1	1	1.64%
Total	61	213	69.84%

9. Use of LCD Projector, multimedia, animations, \ngraphics, audio-visual contents etc. in teaching	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	12	60	19.67%
2. Very Good	15	60	24.59%
3. Good	26	78	42.62%
4. Average	5	10	8.20%
5. Poor	3	3	4.92%
Total	61	211	69.18%

10. Teacher's encouragement to interactios	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	16	80	26.67%
2. Very Good	8	32	13.33%
3. Good	28	84	46.67%
4. Average	5	10	8.33%
5. Poor	3	3	5.00%
Total	60	209	69.67%

11. Teacher's accessibility to students for solving \nqueries and problems.	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	12	60	20.00%
2. Very Good	12	48	20.00%
3. Good	26	78	43.33%
4. Average	6	12	10.00%
5. Poor	4	4	6.67%
Total	60	202	67.33%

12. Remarks (if any)\n
No data

Comments:

SI No.	Stakeholder	Remarks
1	Response 1	Excellent
2	Response 2	excellent
3	Response 3	Excellent
4	Response 4	very good
5	Response 5	no
6	Response 6	ok
7	Response 7	no comment
8	Response 8	Ok
9	Response 9	NICE
10	Response 10	good
11	Response 11	very good
12	Response 12	good
13	Response 13	no.1
14	Response 14	.
15	Response 15	good
16	Response 16	Good
17	Response 17	good
18	Response 18	good
19	Response 19	Average
20	Response 20	good
21	Response 21	Good
22	Response 22	Good
23	Response 23	good
24	Response 24	Poor
25	Response 25	good
26	Response 26	good
27	Response 27	good
28	Response 28	Good
29	Response 29	Good
30	Response 30	understand the copncept of subject
31	Response 31	good
32	Response 32	????????
33	Response 33	no comments
34	Response 34	Good
35	Response 35	Good
36	Response 36	good

SI No.	Stakeholder	Remarks
37	Response 37	good
38	Response 38	Good
39	Response 39	Good
40	Response 40	Good
41	Response 41	Very supportive Sir, He clears all the concept very easily!
42	Response 42	Good
43	Response 43	.
44	Response 44	Sir teaching is very good and understandable,help in clearing problem
45	Response 45	Good
46	Response 46	No comments
47	Response 47	No comments
48	Response 48	no comments
49	Response 49	Good
50	Response 50	average
51	Response 51	good
52	Response 52	Good
53	Response 53	Good
54	Response 54	...
55	Response 55	Nice
56	Response 56	..
57	Response 57	All Good
58	Response 58	Good
59	Response 59	.
60	Response 60	No comments

Summary Report


Number of people Responded / Total number of Responders is **60 / 63** People.

Questions	Total Response Count	Weighted Response Percent
Understanding of fundamental concepts	210	70.00%
Your ability to analyze engineering problems and \nprovide/ design solutions wherever possible.	220	69.84%
Your applicability of taught concepts in Activity \nbased learning/ skill set training	215	70.49%
Exposure to modern tools viz. softwares, \nsimulations, etc. and use of demo models.\n	215	70.49%
Involvement in Industry related activity\n	213	69.84%
Ability to solve Practice sheets independently	207	66.77%
Adequate coverage of syllabus	204	68.00%
Teacher's Class control & discipline, punctuality \nand regularity in conducting classes	213	69.84%
Use of LCD Projector, multimedia, animations, \ngraphics, audio-visual contents etc. in teaching	211	69.18%
Teacher's encouragement to interactios	209	69.67%
Teacher's accessibility to students for solving \nqueries and problems.	202	67.33%
Total Feedback	2319	69.22%

Survey Details - Detailed & Summary Report

Survey: 23-24 ODD III Sem EITK FB-I	Survey Type: Fresh Survey
Survey For: Student Satisfactory	Program: B.Tech.Artificial Intelligence & Data Science in Artificial Intelligence & Data Science

Detailed Report



1. Understanding of fundamental concepts	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	12	60	19.35%
2. Very Good	11	44	17.74%
3. Good	21	63	33.87%
4. Average	7	14	11.29%
5. Poor	11	11	17.74%
Total	62	192	61.94%

2. Your ability to analyze engineering problems and \nprovide/ design solutions wherever possible.	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	11	55	17.74%
2. Very Good	7	28	11.29%
3. Good	26	78	41.94%
4. Average	8	16	12.90%
5. Poor	10	10	16.13%
Total	62	187	60.32%

3. Your applicability of taught concepts in Activity \nbased learning/ skill set training	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	11	55	18.03%
2. Very Good	11	44	18.03%
3. Good	20	60	32.79%
4. Average	7	14	11.48%
5. Poor	12	12	19.67%
Total	61	185	60.66%

4. Exposure to modern tools viz. softwares, \nsimulations, etc. and use of demo models.\n	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	10	50	16.67%
2. Very Good	9	36	15.00%
3. Good	23	69	38.33%
4. Average	7	14	11.67%
5. Poor	11	11	18.33%
Total	60	180	60.00%

5. Involvement in Industry related activity\n	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	7	35	11.67%
2. Very Good	8	32	13.33%
3. Good	24	72	40.00%
4. Average	7	14	11.67%
5. Poor	14	14	23.33%
Total	60	167	55.67%

6. Ability to solve Practice sheets independently	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	7	35	11.67%
2. Very Good	8	32	13.33%
3. Good	23	69	38.33%
4. Average	9	18	15.00%
5. Poor	13	13	21.67%
Total	60	167	55.67%

7. Adequate coverage of syllabus	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	8	40	13.11%
2. Very Good	9	36	14.75%

7. Adequate coverage of syllabus	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
3. Good	23	69	37.70%
4. Average	8	16	13.11%
5. Poor	13	13	21.31%
Total	61	174	57.05%

8. Teacher's Class control & discipline, punctuality \nand regularity in conducting classes	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	12	60	20.00%
2. Very Good	7	28	11.67%
3. Good	24	72	40.00%
4. Average	7	14	11.67%
5. Poor	10	10	16.67%
Total	60	184	61.33%

9. Use of LCD Projector, multimedia, animations, \ngraphics, audio-visual contents etc. in teaching	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	9	45	14.75%
2. Very Good	8	32	13.11%
3. Good	25	75	40.98%
4. Average	7	14	11.48%
5. Poor	12	12	19.67%
Total	61	178	58.36%

10. Teacher's encouragement to interactios	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	11	55	18.33%
2. Very Good	5	20	8.33%
3. Good	23	69	38.33%
4. Average	8	16	13.33%
5. Poor	13	13	21.67%
Total	60	173	57.67%

11. Teacher's accessibility to students for solving \nqueries and problems.	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	10	50	16.95%
2. Very Good	7	28	11.86%
3. Good	22	66	37.29%
4. Average	7	14	11.86%
5. Poor	13	13	22.03%
Total	59	171	57.97%

12. Remarks (if any)\n
No data

Comments:

SI No.	Stakeholder	Remarks
1	Response 1	Excellent
2	Response 2	excellent
3	Response 3	very good
4	Response 4	only few leacture was taken
5	Response 5	good
6	Response 6	good
7	Response 7	Good
8	Response 8	no comment
9	Response 9	good
10	Response 10	Ok
11	Response 11	good
12	Response 12	good
13	Response 13	1
14	Response 14	Average
15	Response 15	no comments
16	Response 16	Good
17	Response 17	Not good
18	Response 18	never learned this course
19	Response 19	Good
20	Response 20	Good
21	Response 21	Poor
22	Response 22	lectures are not been conducted by teacher
23	Response 23	no comments
24	Response 24	overall good
25	Response 25	understand the copncept of subject
26	Response 26	good
27	Response 27	Bad
28	Response 28	no
29	Response 29	no faculty
30	Response 30	very good
31	Response 31	Good
32	Response 32	good
33	Response 33	Poor
34	Response 34	good
35	Response 35	Good
36	Response 36	good

SI No.	Stakeholder	Remarks
37	Response 37	Good!
38	Response 38	Good
39	Response 39	Poor
40	Response 40	Teaching is good
41	Response 41	Good
42	Response 42	Good
43	Response 43	No comments
44	Response 44	No comments
45	Response 45	Good
46	Response 46	Good
47	Response 47	average
48	Response 48	very bad teaching experience. left student in middle of semester. not a good sign of teacher. bad teacher
49	Response 49	ok
50	Response 50	Not understanding
51	Response 51
52	Response 52	..
53	Response 53	Good
54	Response 54	All Good
55	Response 55	poor
56	Response 56	Good
57	Response 57	No comments
58	Response 58	Good
59	Response 59	.

Summary Report


Number of people Responded / Total number of Responders is **59 / 63** People.

Questions	Total Response Count	Weighted Response Percent
Understanding of fundamental concepts	192	61.94%
Your ability to analyze engineering problems and \nprovide/ design solutions wherever possible.	187	60.32%
Your applicability of taught concepts in Activity \nbased learning/ skill set training	185	60.66%
Exposure to modern tools viz. softwares, \nsimulations, etc. and use of demo models.\n	180	60.00%
Involvement in Industry related activity\n	167	55.67%
Ability to solve Practice sheets independently	167	55.67%
Adequate coverage of syllabus	174	57.05%
Teacher's Class control & discipline, punctuality \nand regularity in conducting classes	184	61.33%
Use of LCD Projector, multimedia, animations, \ngraphics, audio-visual contents etc. in teaching	178	58.36%
Teacher's encouragement to interactios	173	57.67%
Teacher's accessibility to students for solving \nqueries and problems.	171	57.97%
Total Feedback	1958	58.80%

Survey Details - Detailed & Summary Report

Survey: 23-24 ODD III Sem FDECA FB-I	Survey Type: Fresh Survey
Survey For: Student Satisfactory	Program: B.Tech.Artificial Intelligence & Data Science in Artificial Intelligence & Data Science

Detailed Report



1. Understanding of fundamental concepts	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	17	85	28.33%
2. Very Good	23	92	38.33%
3. Good	17	51	28.33%
4. Average	2	4	3.33%
5. Poor	1	1	1.67%
Total	60	233	77.67%

2. Your ability to analyze engineering problems and \nprovide/ design solutions wherever possible.	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	19	95	31.67%
2. Very Good	17	68	28.33%
3. Good	21	63	35.00%
4. Average	3	6	5.00%
5. Poor	0	0	0.00%
Total	60	232	77.33%

3. Your applicability of taught concepts in Activity \nbased learning/ skill set training	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	14	70	23.33%
2. Very Good	26	104	43.33%
3. Good	18	54	30.00%
4. Average	1	2	1.67%
5. Poor	1	1	1.67%
Total	60	231	77.00%

4. Exposure to modern tools viz. softwares, \nsimulations, etc. and use of demo models.\n	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	21	105	35.00%
2. Very Good	16	64	26.67%
3. Good	20	60	33.33%
4. Average	3	6	5.00%
5. Poor	0	0	0.00%
Total	60	235	78.33%

5. Involvement in Industry related activity\n	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	15	75	24.59%
2. Very Good	22	88	36.07%
3. Good	19	57	31.15%
4. Average	2	4	3.28%
5. Poor	3	3	4.92%
Total	61	227	74.43%

6. Ability to solve Practice sheets independently	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	13	65	21.67%
2. Very Good	21	84	35.00%
3. Good	23	69	38.33%
4. Average	3	6	5.00%
5. Poor	0	0	0.00%
Total	60	224	74.67%

7. Adequate coverage of syllabus	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	10	50	16.67%
2. Very Good	26	104	43.33%

7. Adequate coverage of syllabus	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
3. Good	21	63	35.00%
4. Average	2	4	3.33%
5. Poor	1	1	1.67%
Total	60	222	74.00%

8. Teacher's Class control & discipline, punctuality \nand regularity in conducting classes	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	16	80	26.67%
2. Very Good	18	72	30.00%
3. Good	22	66	36.67%
4. Average	1	2	1.67%
5. Poor	3	3	5.00%
Total	60	223	74.33%

9. Use of LCD Projector, multimedia, animations, \ngraphics, audio-visual contents etc. in teaching	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	12	60	20.00%
2. Very Good	25	100	41.67%
3. Good	18	54	30.00%
4. Average	4	8	6.67%
5. Poor	1	1	1.67%
Total	60	223	74.33%

10. Teacher's encouragement to interactios	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	16	80	26.67%
2. Very Good	17	68	28.33%
3. Good	23	69	38.33%
4. Average	2	4	3.33%
5. Poor	2	2	3.33%
Total	60	223	74.33%

11. Teacher's accessibility to students for solving \nqueries and problems.	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	10	50	16.67%
2. Very Good	25	100	41.67%
3. Good	22	66	36.67%
4. Average	3	6	5.00%
5. Poor	0	0	0.00%
Total	60	222	74.00%

12. Remarks (if any)\n
No data

Comments:

SI No.	Stakeholder	Remarks
1	Response 1	Excellent
2	Response 2	excellent
3	Response 3	good
4	Response 4	no
5	Response 5	good
6	Response 6	very good
7	Response 7	good
8	Response 8	OK
9	Response 9	good
10	Response 10	no comment
11	Response 11	no comments
12	Response 12	overall good
13	Response 13	Ok
14	Response 14	Not good
15	Response 15	NICE
16	Response 16	sweet sir.
17	Response 17	good
18	Response 18	sweet sir
19	Response 19	perfect
20	Response 20	good
21	Response 21	Good
22	Response 22	good
23	Response 23	Very Good
24	Response 24	Very Good
25	Response 25	Good
26	Response 26	good
27	Response 27	Good
28	Response 28	understand the copncept of subject
29	Response 29	very nice
30	Response 30	V good
31	Response 31	Good
32	Response 32	Good
33	Response 33	Good
34	Response 34	Good
35	Response 35	very good
36	Response 36	Excellent

SI No.	Stakeholder	Remarks
37	Response 37	Excellent
38	Response 38	?????????????
39	Response 39	Good
40	Response 40	Good
41	Response 41	Very supportive Sir, He clears all the concept very easily!
42	Response 42	.
43	Response 43	Sir teaching is very good and understandable,help in clearing problem
44	Response 44	Good
45	Response 45	No comments
46	Response 46	No comments
47	Response 47	Good
48	Response 48	Good
49	Response 49	good
50	Response 50	excellent teaching
51	Response 51	Nice!!!
52	Response 52	Very good
53	Response 53
54	Response 54	Good
55	Response 55	..
56	Response 56	All Good
57	Response 57	.
58	Response 58	Good
59	Response 59	No comments
60	Response 60	.

Summary Report

Number of people Responded / Total number of Responders is **60 / 63** People.

Questions	Total Response Count	Weighted Response Percent
Understanding of fundamental concepts	233	77.67%
Your ability to analyze engineering problems and \nprovide/ design solutions wherever possible.	232	77.33%
Your applicability of taught concepts in Activity \nbased learning/ skill set training	231	77.00%
Exposure to modern tools viz. softwares, \nsimulations, etc. and use of demo models.\n	235	78.33%
Involvement in Industry related activity\n	227	74.43%
Ability to solve Practice sheets independently	224	74.67%
Adequate coverage of syllabus	222	74.00%
Teacher's Class control & discipline, punctuality \nand regularity in conducting classes	223	74.33%
Use of LCD Projector, multimedia, animations, \ngraphics, audio-visual contents etc. in teaching	223	74.33%
Teacher's encouragement to interactios	223	74.33%
Teacher's accessibility to students for solving \nqueries and problems.	222	74.00%
Total Feedback	2495	75.49%

Survey Details - Detailed & Summary Report

Survey: 23-24 ODD III Sem M-III FB-I	Survey Type: Fresh Survey
Survey For: Student Satisfactory	Program: B.Tech.Artificial Intelligence & Data Science in Artificial Intelligence & Data Science

Detailed Report



1. Understanding of fundamental concepts	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	27	135	43.55%
2. Very Good	15	60	24.19%
3. Good	18	54	29.03%
4. Average	1	2	1.61%
5. Poor	1	1	1.61%
Total	62	252	81.29%

2. Your ability to analyze engineering problems and \nprovide/ design solutions wherever possible.	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	32	160	51.61%
2. Very Good	9	36	14.52%
3. Good	18	54	29.03%
4. Average	2	4	3.23%
5. Poor	1	1	1.61%
Total	62	255	82.26%

3. Your applicability of taught concepts in Activity \nbased learning/ skill set training	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	24	120	39.34%
2. Very Good	20	80	32.79%
3. Good	15	45	24.59%
4. Average	1	2	1.64%
5. Poor	1	1	1.64%
Total	61	248	81.31%

4. Exposure to modern tools viz. softwares, \nsimulations, etc. and use of demo models.\n	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	25	125	40.32%
2. Very Good	13	52	20.97%
3. Good	21	63	33.87%
4. Average	1	2	1.61%
5. Poor	2	2	3.23%
Total	62	244	78.71%

5. Involvement in Industry related activity\n	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	24	120	40.00%
2. Very Good	14	56	23.33%
3. Good	19	57	31.67%
4. Average	0	0	0.00%
5. Poor	3	3	5.00%
Total	60	236	78.67%

6. Ability to solve Practice sheets independently	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	28	140	46.67%
2. Very Good	13	52	21.67%
3. Good	15	45	25.00%
4. Average	1	2	1.67%
5. Poor	3	3	5.00%
Total	60	242	80.67%

7. Adequate coverage of syllabus	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	24	120	40.00%
2. Very Good	13	52	21.67%

7. Adequate coverage of syllabus	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
3. Good	17	51	28.33%
4. Average	3	6	5.00%
5. Poor	3	3	5.00%
Total	60	232	77.33%

8. Teacher's Class control & discipline, punctuality \nand regularity in conducting classes	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	29	145	48.33%
2. Very Good	9	36	15.00%
3. Good	20	60	33.33%
4. Average	0	0	0.00%
5. Poor	2	2	3.33%
Total	60	243	81.00%

9. Use of LCD Projector, multimedia, animations, \ngraphics, audio-visual contents etc. in teaching	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	25	125	41.67%
2. Very Good	14	56	23.33%
3. Good	17	51	28.33%
4. Average	0	0	0.00%
5. Poor	4	4	6.67%
Total	60	236	78.67%

10. Teacher's encouragement to interactios	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	29	145	47.54%
2. Very Good	9	36	14.75%
3. Good	19	57	31.15%
4. Average	2	4	3.28%
5. Poor	2	2	3.28%
Total	61	244	80.00%

11. Teacher's accessibility to students for solving \nquiries and problems.	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	24	120	40.00%
2. Very Good	14	56	23.33%
3. Good	19	57	31.67%
4. Average	1	2	1.67%
5. Poor	2	2	3.33%
Total	60	237	79.00%

12. Remarks (if any)\n
No data

Comments:

SI No.	Stakeholder	Remarks
1	Response 1	Excellent
2	Response 2	excellent
3	Response 3	overall very good
4	Response 4	very very poor teacher
5	Response 5	good
6	Response 6	Good
7	Response 7	no comment
8	Response 8	excellent.
9	Response 9	Ok
10	Response 10	NICE
11	Response 11	teaching skill of sir is good
12	Response 12	no
13	Response 13	good
14	Response 14	Bad
15	Response 15	Good
16	Response 16	Excellent
17	Response 17	Good
18	Response 18	Sir's teaching was good
19	Response 19	good
20	Response 20	good
21	Response 21	Good
22	Response 22	good
23	Response 23	Excellent
24	Response 24	good
25	Response 25	good
26	Response 26	no comments
27	Response 27	Excellent
28	Response 28	understand the copncept of subject
29	Response 29	good
30	Response 30	Good
31	Response 31	Excellent
32	Response 32	good
33	Response 33	Overall Teaching is best.
34	Response 34	good
35	Response 35	overall good
36	Response 36	????????

SI No.	Stakeholder	Remarks
37	Response 37	good
38	Response 38	good
39	Response 39	Very supportive Sir, He clears all the concept very easily!
40	Response 40	Good
41	Response 41	Excellent
42	Response 42	Best faculty
43	Response 43	Sir teaching is very good and understandable,help in solving problem
44	Response 44	Good
45	Response 45	No comments
46	Response 46	No comments
47	Response 47	Ver Good Leacture
48	Response 48	excellent
49	Response 49	very good teacher
50	Response 50	Amazing Teacher
51	Response 51	Able to solve problems
52	Response 52	Nice
53	Response 53	..
54	Response 54
55	Response 55	All Good
56	Response 56	Excellent teaching skills and problem solving
57	Response 57	No comments
58	Response 58	.
59	Response 59	Good

Summary Report


Number of people Responded / Total number of Responders is **59 / 63** People.

Questions	Total Response Count	Weighted Response Percent
Understanding of fundamental concepts	252	81.29%
Your ability to analyze engineering problems and \nprovide/ design solutions wherever possible.	255	82.26%
Your applicability of taught concepts in Activity \nbased learning/ skill set training	248	81.31%
Exposure to modern tools viz. softwares, \nsimulations, etc. and use of demo models.\n	244	78.71%
Involvement in Industry related activity\n	236	78.67%
Ability to solve Practice sheets independently	242	80.67%
Adequate coverage of syllabus	232	77.33%
Teacher's Class control & discipline, punctuality \nand regularity in conducting classes	243	81.00%
Use of LCD Projector, multimedia, animations, \ngraphics, audio-visual contents etc. in teaching	236	78.67%
Teacher's encouragement to interactios	244	80.00%
Teacher's accessibility to students for solving \nqueries and problems.	237	79.00%
Total Feedback	2669	79.91%

Survey Details - Detailed & Summary Report

Survey: 23-24 ODD III Sem PP Lab FB-I	Survey Type: Fresh Survey
Survey For: Student Satisfactory	Program: B.Tech.Artificial Intelligence & Data Science in Artificial Intelligence & Data Science

Detailed Report



1. Effectiveness of Pre-Lab sessions	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	21	105	33.87%
2. Very Good	17	68	27.42%
3. Good	21	63	33.87%
4. Average	1	2	1.61%
5. Poor	2	2	3.23%
Total	62	240	77.42%

2. In depth understanding of \nExperiments/Practicals	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	21	105	34.43%
2. Very Good	15	60	24.59%
3. Good	22	66	36.07%
4. Average	1	2	1.64%
5. Poor	2	2	3.28%
Total	61	235	77.05%

3. Ability to reach conclusion based upon \nobservations and interpret results	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	17	85	27.42%
2. Very Good	22	88	35.48%
3. Good	19	57	30.65%
4. Average	2	4	3.23%
5. Poor	2	2	3.23%
Total	62	236	76.13%

4. Ability to co-relate theory with the practical \nand realization of its applicability in real \nworld.	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	21	105	33.87%
2. Very Good	16	64	25.81%
3. Good	22	66	35.48%
4. Average	0	0	0.00%
5. Poor	3	3	4.84%
Total	62	238	76.77%

5. Teachers' control & discipline in Lab, \npunctuality and regularity in conducting \npracticals	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	17	85	27.87%
2. Very Good	19	76	31.15%
3. Good	20	60	32.79%
4. Average	2	4	3.28%
5. Poor	3	3	4.92%
Total	61	228	74.75%

6. Adequacy and clarity in illustration	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	20	100	32.79%
2. Very Good	16	64	26.23%
3. Good	21	63	34.43%
4. Average	2	4	3.28%
5. Poor	2	2	3.28%
Total	61	233	76.39%

7. Experimental set-ups are operational & well \nmaintained	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	16	80	26.67%
2. Very Good	20	80	33.33%

7. Experimental set-ups are operational & well maintained	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
3. Good	17	51	28.33%
4. Average	1	2	1.67%
5. Poor	6	6	10.00%
Total	60	219	73.00%

8. Explaining the difficulties raised by the students	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	19	95	31.67%
2. Very Good	15	60	25.00%
3. Good	21	63	35.00%
4. Average	3	6	5.00%
5. Poor	2	2	3.33%
Total	60	226	75.33%

9. Promptness in assessment of submissions	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	15	75	25.00%
2. Very Good	22	88	36.67%
3. Good	18	54	30.00%
4. Average	3	6	5.00%
5. Poor	2	2	3.33%
Total	60	225	75.00%

10. Use of modern tools, hardware & simulation software etc.	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	16	80	26.67%
2. Very Good	17	68	28.33%
3. Good	22	66	36.67%
4. Average	2	4	3.33%
5. Poor	3	3	5.00%
Total	60	221	73.67%

11. Motivation to explore, apply and develop new applications	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	18	90	30.00%
2. Very Good	18	72	30.00%
3. Good	18	54	30.00%
4. Average	3	6	5.00%
5. Poor	3	3	5.00%
Total	60	225	75.00%

12. Remarks (If any)
No data

Comments:

Sl No.	Stakeholder	Remarks
1	Response 1	teaching is very good

SI No.	Stakeholder	Remarks
2	Response 2	excellent
3	Response 3	no
4	Response 4	very good
5	Response 5	Excellent
6	Response 6	Best Lab session is python lab.
7	Response 7	overall good
8	Response 8	Very good
9	Response 9	excellent
10	Response 10	good
11	Response 11	OK
12	Response 12	????????
13	Response 13	Good
14	Response 14	Good
15	Response 15	good
16	Response 16	Ok
17	Response 17	lab is amazing
18	Response 18	.
19	Response 19	EXCELLENT TEACHING
20	Response 20	good
21	Response 21	aggressive teachers
22	Response 22	good
23	Response 23	no comments
24	Response 24	no comment
25	Response 25	good
26	Response 26	good
27	Response 27	Good teaching pattern
28	Response 28	Good
29	Response 29	Good
30	Response 30	Good
31	Response 31	understand the copncept of subject
32	Response 32	Excellent
33	Response 33	Good
34	Response 34	extra work load
35	Response 35	No comments
36	Response 36	Good
37	Response 37	very good

SI No.	Stakeholder	Remarks
38	Response 38	Good????
39	Response 39	Need improvement
40	Response 40	Very supportive maam, He clears all the concept very easily!
41	Response 41	.
42	Response 42	Teaching is very good and understanding, help in solving problems
43	Response 43	Good
44	Response 44	Good
45	Response 45	No comments
46	Response 46	No comments
47	Response 47	Excellent Lecture
48	Response 48	very good
49	Response 49	good
50	Response 50	ok
51	Response 51	We can do coding in faster way
52	Response 52	...
53	Response 53	Good
54	Response 54	..
55	Response 55	All Good
56	Response 56	Excellent
57	Response 57	Best
58	Response 58	Good
59	Response 59	Good
60	Response 60	Good

Summary Report


Number of people Responded / Total number of Responders is **60 / 63** People.

Questions	Total Response Count	Weighted Response Percent
Effectiveness of Pre-Lab sessions	240	77.42%
In depth understanding of \nExperiments/Practicals	235	77.05%
Ability to reach conclusion based upon \nobservations and interpret results	236	76.13%
Ability to co-relate theory with the practical \nand realization of its applicability in real \nworld.	238	76.77%
Teachers' control & discipline in Lab, \npunctuality and regularity in conducting \npracticals	228	74.75%
Adequacy and clarity in illustration	233	76.39%
Experimental set-ups are operational & well \nmaintained	219	73.00%
Explaining the difficulties raised by the \nstudents	226	75.33%
Promptness in assessment of submissions	225	75.00%
Use of modern tools, hardware & simulation \nsoftware etc.	221	73.67%
Motivation to explore, apply and develop \nnew applications	225	75.00%
Total Feedback	2526	75.52%

Survey Details - Detailed & Summary Report

Survey: 23-24 ODD III Sem CN FB-II	Survey Type: Fresh Survey
Survey For: Student Satisfactory	Program: B.Tech.Artificial Intelligence & Data Science in Artificial Intelligence & Data Science

Detailed Report



1. Understanding of fundamental concepts	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	13	65	20.63%
2. Very Good	17	68	26.98%
3. Good	32	96	50.79%
4. Average	1	2	1.59%
5. Poor	0	0	0.00%
Total	63	231	73.33%

2. Your ability to analyze engineering problems and \nprovide/ design solutions wherever possible.	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	13	65	20.97%
2. Very Good	16	64	25.81%
3. Good	28	84	45.16%
4. Average	3	6	4.84%
5. Poor	2	2	3.23%
Total	62	221	71.29%

3. Your applicability of taught concepts in Activity \nbased learning/ skill set training	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	17	85	26.98%
2. Very Good	13	52	20.63%
3. Good	26	78	41.27%
4. Average	4	8	6.35%
5. Poor	3	3	4.76%
Total	63	226	71.75%

4. Exposure to modern tools viz. softwares, \nsimulations, etc. and use of demo models.\n	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	14	70	22.22%
2. Very Good	14	56	22.22%
3. Good	27	81	42.86%
4. Average	6	12	9.52%
5. Poor	2	2	3.17%
Total	63	221	70.16%

5. Involvement in Industry related activity\n	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	15	75	23.81%
2. Very Good	11	44	17.46%
3. Good	28	84	44.44%
4. Average	8	16	12.70%
5. Poor	1	1	1.59%
Total	63	220	69.84%

6. Ability to solve Practice sheets independently	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	13	65	20.63%
2. Very Good	13	52	20.63%
3. Good	34	102	53.97%
4. Average	3	6	4.76%
5. Poor	0	0	0.00%
Total	63	225	71.43%

7. Adequate coverage of syllabus	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	15	75	23.81%
2. Very Good	16	64	25.40%

7. Adequate coverage of syllabus	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
3. Good	24	72	38.10%
4. Average	8	16	12.70%
5. Poor	0	0	0.00%
Total	63	227	72.06%

8. Teacher's Class control & discipline, punctuality \nand regularity in conducting classes	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	17	85	26.56%
2. Very Good	15	60	23.44%
3. Good	26	78	40.63%
4. Average	6	12	9.38%
5. Poor	0	0	0.00%
Total	64	235	73.44%

9. Use of LCD Projector, multimedia, animations, \ngraphics, audio-visual contents etc. in teaching	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	16	80	25.00%
2. Very Good	13	52	20.31%
3. Good	28	84	43.75%
4. Average	5	10	7.81%
5. Poor	2	2	3.13%
Total	64	228	71.25%

10. Teacher's encouragement to interactios	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	18	90	28.57%
2. Very Good	13	52	20.63%
3. Good	29	87	46.03%
4. Average	3	6	4.76%
5. Poor	0	0	0.00%
Total	63	235	74.60%

11. Teacher's accessibility to students for solving \nqueries and problems.	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	15	75	24.19%
2. Very Good	15	60	24.19%
3. Good	25	75	40.32%
4. Average	6	12	9.68%
5. Poor	1	1	1.61%
Total	62	223	71.94%

12. Remarks (if any)\n
No data

Comments:

SI No.	Stakeholder	Remarks
1	Response 1	Good
2	Response 2	.
3	Response 3	Good
4	Response 4	No comments
5	Response 5	Good
6	Response 6	Good
7	Response 7	Good.
8	Response 8	Overall good
9	Response 9	good
10	Response 10	Good
11	Response 11	Good
12	Response 12	V good
13	Response 13	Good
14	Response 14	Good
15	Response 15	Good
16	Response 16	Good
17	Response 17	Bad
18	Response 18	good
19	Response 19	good
20	Response 20	Very good
21	Response 21	Good subject
22	Response 22	Sir explain the topics very easily and clear all the concepts
23	Response 23	Explanation is very good. Clear all the concepts and solve every queries, motivates to participate in every activity
24	Response 24	Sir is helpful by nature. He clears all the concepts in a simple way by taking daily life examples. His teaching concept is easy and understandable. He motivates to participate in every activities held at department level
25	Response 25	Good
26	Response 26	Good
27	Response 27	good
28	Response 28	Excellent
29	Response 29	no comments
30	Response 30	Good
31	Response 31	.
32	Response 32	Good

SI No.	Stakeholder	Remarks
33	Response 33	No comments
34	Response 34	good
35	Response 35	Good
36	Response 36	Very good
37	Response 37	Good
38	Response 38	Good
39	Response 39	Good
40	Response 40	Good
41	Response 41	All good
42	Response 42	...
43	Response 43	Ok
44	Response 44	good
45	Response 45	no
46	Response 46	.
47	Response 47	very good
48	Response 48	good
49	Response 49	.
50	Response 50	good
51	Response 51	Good
52	Response 52	Good
53	Response 53	good
54	Response 54	ok
55	Response 55	Good
56	Response 56	Good
57	Response 57	Overall things are good!!
58	Response 58	good
59	Response 59	Very good ????
60	Response 60	Ok
61	Response 61	Very supportive teacher and humble person
62	Response 62	Ok

Summary Report


Number of people Responded / Total number of Responders is **62 / 69** People.

Questions	Total Response Count	Weighted Response Percent
Understanding of fundamental concepts	231	73.33%
Your ability to analyze engineering problems and \nprovide/ design solutions wherever possible.	221	71.29%
Your applicability of taught concepts in Activity \nbased learning/ skill set training	226	71.75%
Exposure to modern tools viz. softwares, \nsimulations, etc. and use of demo models.\n	221	70.16%
Involvement in Industry related activity\n	220	69.84%
Ability to solve Practice sheets independently	225	71.43%
Adequate coverage of syllabus	227	72.06%
Teacher's Class control & discipline, punctuality \nand regularity in conducting classes	235	73.44%
Use of LCD Projector, multimedia, animations, \ngraphics, audio-visual contents etc. in teaching	228	71.25%
Teacher's encouragement to interactios	235	74.60%
Teacher's accessibility to students for solving \nqueries and problems.	223	71.94%
Total Feedback	2492	71.92%

Survey Details - Detailed & Summary Report

Survey: 23-24 ODD III Sem CN LAB FB-II	Survey Type: Fresh Survey
Survey For: Student Satisfactory	Program: B.Tech.Artificial Intelligence & Data Science in Artificial Intelligence & Data Science

Detailed Report



1. Effectiveness of Pre-Lab sessions	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	13	65	21.31%
2. Very Good	13	52	21.31%
3. Good	31	93	50.82%
4. Average	3	6	4.92%
5. Poor	1	1	1.64%
Total	61	217	71.15%

2. In depth understanding of nExperiments/Practicals	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	12	60	19.67%
2. Very Good	16	64	26.23%
3. Good	27	81	44.26%
4. Average	4	8	6.56%
5. Poor	2	2	3.28%
Total	61	215	70.49%

3. Ability to reach conclusion based upon nobservations and interpret results	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	11	55	18.03%
2. Very Good	16	64	26.23%
3. Good	28	84	45.90%
4. Average	5	10	8.20%
5. Poor	1	1	1.64%
Total	61	214	70.16%

4. Ability to co-relate theory with the practical nand realization of its applicability in real nworld.	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	16	80	26.23%
2. Very Good	12	48	19.67%
3. Good	29	87	47.54%
4. Average	3	6	4.92%
5. Poor	1	1	1.64%
Total	61	222	72.79%

5. Teachers' control & discipline in Lab, npunctuality and regularity in conducting npracticals	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	14	70	23.33%
2. Very Good	16	64	26.67%
3. Good	26	78	43.33%
4. Average	4	8	6.67%
5. Poor	0	0	0.00%
Total	60	220	73.33%

6. Adequacy and clarity in illustration	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	15	75	23.81%
2. Very Good	15	60	23.81%
3. Good	27	81	42.86%
4. Average	5	10	7.94%
5. Poor	1	1	1.59%
Total	63	227	72.06%

7. Experimental set-ups are operational & well nmaintained	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	13	65	21.67%
2. Very Good	16	64	26.67%

7. Experimental set-ups are operational & well maintained	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
3. Good	28	84	46.67%
4. Average	3	6	5.00%
5. Poor	0	0	0.00%
Total	60	219	73.00%

8. Explaining the difficulties raised by the students	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	13	65	21.67%
2. Very Good	13	52	21.67%
3. Good	30	90	50.00%
4. Average	3	6	5.00%
5. Poor	1	1	1.67%
Total	60	214	71.33%

9. Promptness in assessment of submissions	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	14	70	23.33%
2. Very Good	13	52	21.67%
3. Good	28	84	46.67%
4. Average	5	10	8.33%
5. Poor	0	0	0.00%
Total	60	216	72.00%

10. Use of modern tools, hardware & simulation software etc.	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	14	70	22.95%
2. Very Good	11	44	18.03%
3. Good	29	87	47.54%
4. Average	5	10	8.20%
5. Poor	2	2	3.28%
Total	61	213	69.84%

11. Motivation to explore, apply and develop new applications	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	11	55	17.74%
2. Very Good	16	64	25.81%
3. Good	29	87	46.77%
4. Average	5	10	8.06%
5. Poor	1	1	1.61%
Total	62	217	70.00%

12. Remarks (If any)
No data

Comments:

Sl No.	Stakeholder	Remarks
1	Response 1	Good

SI No.	Stakeholder	Remarks
2	Response 2	.
3	Response 3	Good
4	Response 4	No comments
5	Response 5	Good
6	Response 6	Good
7	Response 7	good
8	Response 8	Good.. ! ????
9	Response 9	Good.
10	Response 10	Good
11	Response 11	Good
12	Response 12	Good
13	Response 13	Good
14	Response 14	Good
15	Response 15	Good
16	Response 16	Good
17	Response 17	Good
18	Response 18	Nice
19	Response 19	Sir explain the topics very easily and clear all the concepts
20	Response 20	Good
21	Response 21	Sir, Clear all the concepts and solve every queries, explanation is very good
22	Response 22	ok
23	Response 23	Good
24	Response 24	good
25	Response 25	Excellent
26	Response 26	Good
27	Response 27	No Comments
28	Response 28	.
29	Response 29	Good
30	Response 30	No comments
31	Response 31	Good
32	Response 32	Good
33	Response 33	good
34	Response 34	In practical session, sir explain all the concepts are related theory in a simple way which is easy to understand and practicals are performed very well. Sir clear all the difficulties raised by students.

SI No.	Stakeholder	Remarks
35	Response 35	Good
36	Response 36	Excellent
37	Response 37	Good
38	Response 38	All good
39	Response 39	Excellent
40	Response 40	Ok
41	Response 41
42	Response 42	average
43	Response 43	no
44	Response 44	.1
45	Response 45	very good
46	Response 46	..
47	Response 47	.good
48	Response 48	Good
49	Response 49	able to understand
50	Response 50	good
51	Response 51	PC need upgrade
52	Response 52	Good
53	Response 53	Good
54	Response 54	Overall things are good!!
55	Response 55	good
56	Response 56	Very good ????
57	Response 57	Ok
58	Response 58	Eco friendly environment in lab
59	Response 59	Ok
60	Response 60	very good

Summary Report


Number of people Responded / Total number of Responders is **60 / 69** People.

Questions	Total Response Count	Weighted Response Percent
Effectiveness of Pre-Lab sessions	217	71.15%
In depth understanding of nExperiments/Practicals	215	70.49%
Ability to reach conclusion based upon nobservations and interpret results	214	70.16%
Ability to co-relate theory with the practical nand realization of its applicability in real nworld.	222	72.79%
Teachers' control & discipline in Lab, npunctuality and regularity in conducting npracticals	220	73.33%
Adequacy and clarity in illustration	227	72.06%
Experimental set-ups are operational & well nmaintained	219	73.00%
Explaining the difficulties raised by the nstudents	214	71.33%
Promptness in assessment of submissions	216	72.00%
Use of modern tools, hardware & simulation nsoftware etc.	213	69.84%
Motivation to explore, apply and develop nnew applications	217	70.00%
Total Feedback	2394	71.46%

Survey Details - Detailed & Summary Report

Survey: 23-24 ODD III Sem DBMS FB-II	Survey Type: Fresh Survey
Survey For: Student Satisfactory	Program: B.Tech.Artificial Intelligence & Data Science in Artificial Intelligence & Data Science

Detailed Report



1. Understanding of fundamental concepts	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	10	50	16.13%
2. Very Good	10	40	16.13%
3. Good	27	81	43.55%
4. Average	13	26	20.97%
5. Poor	2	2	3.23%
Total	62	199	64.19%

2. Your ability to analyze engineering problems and \nprovide/ design solutions wherever possible.	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	11	55	18.03%
2. Very Good	8	32	13.11%
3. Good	28	84	45.90%
4. Average	10	20	16.39%
5. Poor	4	4	6.56%
Total	61	195	63.93%

3. Your applicability of taught concepts in Activity \nbased learning/ skill set training	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	11	55	18.03%
2. Very Good	9	36	14.75%
3. Good	26	78	42.62%
4. Average	10	20	16.39%
5. Poor	5	5	8.20%
Total	61	194	63.61%

4. Exposure to modern tools viz. softwares, \nsimulations, etc. and use of demo models.\n	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	8	40	13.11%
2. Very Good	11	44	18.03%
3. Good	28	84	45.90%
4. Average	9	18	14.75%
5. Poor	5	5	8.20%
Total	61	191	62.62%

5. Involvement in Industry related activity\n	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	9	45	14.52%
2. Very Good	7	28	11.29%
3. Good	27	81	43.55%
4. Average	13	26	20.97%
5. Poor	6	6	9.68%
Total	62	186	60.00%

6. Ability to solve Practice sheets independently	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	8	40	13.11%
2. Very Good	5	20	8.20%
3. Good	33	99	54.10%
4. Average	10	20	16.39%
5. Poor	5	5	8.20%
Total	61	184	60.33%

7. Adequate coverage of syllabus	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	8	40	12.70%
2. Very Good	9	36	14.29%

7. Adequate coverage of syllabus	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
3. Good	30	90	47.62%
4. Average	12	24	19.05%
5. Poor	4	4	6.35%
Total	63	194	61.59%

8. Teacher's Class control & discipline, punctuality \nand regularity in conducting classes	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	9	45	14.52%
2. Very Good	8	32	12.90%
3. Good	27	81	43.55%
4. Average	13	26	20.97%
5. Poor	5	5	8.06%
Total	62	189	60.97%

9. Use of LCD Projector, multimedia, animations, \ngraphics, audio-visual contents etc. in teaching	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	13	65	20.97%
2. Very Good	7	28	11.29%
3. Good	29	87	46.77%
4. Average	10	20	16.13%
5. Poor	3	3	4.84%
Total	62	203	65.48%

10. Teacher's encouragement to interactios	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	11	55	17.74%
2. Very Good	6	24	9.68%
3. Good	26	78	41.94%
4. Average	15	30	24.19%
5. Poor	4	4	6.45%
Total	62	191	61.61%

11. Teacher's accessibility to students for solving \nqueries and problems.	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	10	50	16.13%
2. Very Good	6	24	9.68%
3. Good	32	96	51.61%
4. Average	11	22	17.74%
5. Poor	3	3	4.84%
Total	62	195	62.90%

12. Remarks (if any)\n
No data

Comments:

SI No.	Stakeholder	Remarks
1	Response 1	Nice
2	Response 2	.
3	Response 3	Good
4	Response 4	Good
5	Response 5	No comments
6	Response 6	Good
7	Response 7	good
8	Response 8	Overall good! ????
9	Response 9	Good
10	Response 10	Good
11	Response 11	Good
12	Response 12	Good
13	Response 13	Good
14	Response 14	Good
15	Response 15	good
16	Response 16	?????????
17	Response 17	Good
18	Response 18	ok
19	Response 19	Need improvement
20	Response 20	Good
21	Response 21	good
22	Response 22	Excellent
23	Response 23	Good
24	Response 24	No Comments
25	Response 25	.
26	Response 26	Good
27	Response 27	?????????
28	Response 28	No comments
29	Response 29	Ma'am teaching is good.
30	Response 30	Average
31	Response 31	don't understand anything at all
32	Response 32	Good
33	Response 33	Good
34	Response 34	Good
35	Response 35	Good
36	Response 36	All good

SI No.	Stakeholder	Remarks
37	Response 37	????
38	Response 38	Excellent
39	Response 39	Ok
40	Response 40
41	Response 41	poor
42	Response 42	no
43	Response 43
44	Response 44	very good
45	Response 45	..
46	Response 46	good
47	Response 47	,
48	Response 48	good
49	Response 49	good
50	Response 50	ok
51	Response 51	Good
52	Response 52	Good
53	Response 53	Good!!
54	Response 54	good
55	Response 55	Good
56	Response 56	Ok
57	Response 57	.
58	Response 58	Ok
59	Response 59	All concepts are clear and understandable
60	Response 60	cover all syllabus
61	Response 61	average

Summary Report


Number of people Responded / Total number of Responders is **61 / 69** People.

Questions	Total Response Count	Weighted Response Percent
Understanding of fundamental concepts	199	64.19%
Your ability to analyze engineering problems and \nprovide/ design solutions wherever possible.	195	63.93%
Your applicability of taught concepts in Activity \nbased learning/ skill set training	194	63.61%
Exposure to modern tools viz. softwares, \nsimulations, etc. and use of demo models.\n	191	62.62%
Involvement in Industry related activity\n	186	60.00%
Ability to solve Practice sheets independently	184	60.33%
Adequate coverage of syllabus	194	61.59%
Teacher's Class control & discipline, punctuality \nand regularity in conducting classes	189	60.97%
Use of LCD Projector, multimedia, animations, \ngraphics, audio-visual contents etc. in teaching	203	65.48%
Teacher's encouragement to interactios	191	61.61%
Teacher's accessibility to students for solving \nqueries and problems.	195	62.90%
Total Feedback	2121	62.47%

Survey Details - Detailed & Summary Report

Survey: 23-24 ODD III Sem DBMS-LAB FB-II	Survey Type: Fresh Survey
Survey For: Student Satisfactory	Program: B.Tech.Artificial Intelligence & Data Science in Artificial Intelligence & Data Science

Detailed Report



1. Effectiveness of Pre-Lab sessions	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	10	50	16.13%
2. Very Good	12	48	19.35%
3. Good	27	81	43.55%
4. Average	9	18	14.52%
5. Poor	4	4	6.45%
Total	62	201	64.84%

2. In depth understanding of \nExperiments/Practicals	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	8	40	12.50%
2. Very Good	14	56	21.88%
3. Good	25	75	39.06%
4. Average	12	24	18.75%
5. Poor	5	5	7.81%
Total	64	200	62.50%

3. Ability to reach conclusion based upon \nobservations and interpret results	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	10	50	16.39%
2. Very Good	11	44	18.03%
3. Good	26	78	42.62%
4. Average	13	26	21.31%
5. Poor	1	1	1.64%
Total	61	199	65.25%

4. Ability to co-relate theory with the practical \nand realization of its applicability in real \nworld.	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	10	50	15.87%
2. Very Good	13	52	20.63%
3. Good	25	75	39.68%
4. Average	11	22	17.46%
5. Poor	4	4	6.35%
Total	63	203	64.44%

5. Teachers' control & discipline in Lab, \npunctuality and regularity in conducting \npracticals	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	11	55	18.03%
2. Very Good	10	40	16.39%
3. Good	24	72	39.34%
4. Average	13	26	21.31%
5. Poor	3	3	4.92%
Total	61	196	64.26%

6. Adequacy and clarity in illustration	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	9	45	14.75%
2. Very Good	8	32	13.11%
3. Good	31	93	50.82%
4. Average	9	18	14.75%
5. Poor	4	4	6.56%
Total	61	192	62.95%

7. Experimental set-ups are operational & well \nmaintained	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	10	50	16.13%
2. Very Good	10	40	16.13%

7. Experimental set-ups are operational & well maintained	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
3. Good	26	78	41.94%
4. Average	14	28	22.58%
5. Poor	2	2	3.23%
Total	62	198	63.87%

8. Explaining the difficulties raised by the students	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	9	45	14.29%
2. Very Good	11	44	17.46%
3. Good	28	84	44.44%
4. Average	12	24	19.05%
5. Poor	3	3	4.76%
Total	63	200	63.49%

9. Promptness in assessment of submissions	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	9	45	14.75%
2. Very Good	10	40	16.39%
3. Good	26	78	42.62%
4. Average	11	22	18.03%
5. Poor	5	5	8.20%
Total	61	190	62.30%

10. Use of modern tools, hardware & simulation software etc.	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	10	50	16.13%
2. Very Good	10	40	16.13%
3. Good	28	84	45.16%
4. Average	13	26	20.97%
5. Poor	1	1	1.61%
Total	62	201	64.84%

11. Motivation to explore, apply and develop new applications	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	11	55	17.74%
2. Very Good	9	36	14.52%
3. Good	30	90	48.39%
4. Average	9	18	14.52%
5. Poor	3	3	4.84%
Total	62	202	65.16%

12. Remarks (If any)
No data

Comments:

Sl No.	Stakeholder	Remarks
1	Response 1	Good

SI No.	Stakeholder	Remarks
2	Response 2	.
3	Response 3	Good
4	Response 4	Good
5	Response 5	No comments
6	Response 6	Good
7	Response 7	good
8	Response 8	Good
9	Response 9	Good
10	Response 10	Bad
11	Response 11	Good
12	Response 12	Good
13	Response 13	good
14	Response 14	Good
15	Response 15	????????
16	Response 16	Good
17	Response 17	ok
18	Response 18	Good
19	Response 19	Ok
20	Response 20	Good
21	Response 21	good
22	Response 22	Excellent
23	Response 23	Good
24	Response 24	No Comments
25	Response 25	.
26	Response 26	Good
27	Response 27	No comments
28	Response 28	Good
29	Response 29	Ma'am teaching is understandable, clear all the concept and doubts
30	Response 30	avg.
31	Response 31	Good
32	Response 32	Good
33	Response 33	Avenger
34	Response 34	Not take submission and viva from first practical and now taking it at a time.
35	Response 35	Good
36	Response 36	All good

SI No.	Stakeholder	Remarks
37	Response 37	Good
38	Response 38	Ok
39	Response 39
40	Response 40	good
41	Response 41	no
42	Response 42
43	Response 43	very good
44	Response 44	good
45	Response 45	good
46	Response 46	Excellent
47	Response 47	-
48	Response 48	Able to solve queries
49	Response 49	good
50	Response 50	system need to be change
51	Response 51	Good
52	Response 52	Good
53	Response 53	Overall Ok!!
54	Response 54	good
55	Response 55	Remove leena mam
56	Response 56	Ok
57	Response 57	.
58	Response 58	Ok
59	Response 59	Every concept query and clause is clear in this lab
60	Response 60	good
61	Response 61	clear all concept very effectively

Summary Report

Number of people Responded / Total number of Responders is **61 / 69** People.

Questions	Total Response Count	Weighted Response Percent
Effectiveness of Pre-Lab sessions	201	64.84%
In depth understanding of \nExperiments/Practicals	200	62.50%
Ability to reach conclusion based upon \nobservations and interpret results	199	65.25%
Ability to co-relate theory with the practical \nand realization of its applicability in real \nworld.	203	64.44%
Teachers' control & discipline in Lab, \npunctuality and regularity in conducting \npracticals	196	64.26%
Adequacy and clarity in illustration	192	62.95%
Experimental set-ups are operational & well \nmaintained	198	63.87%
Explaining the difficulties raised by the \nstudents	200	63.49%
Promptness in assessment of submissions	190	62.30%
Use of modern tools, hardware & simulation \nsoftware etc.	201	64.84%
Motivation to explore, apply and develop \nnew applications	202	65.16%
Total Feedback	2182	63.99%

Survey Details - Detailed & Summary Report

Survey: 23-24 ODD III Sem DSA FB-II	Survey Type: Fresh Survey
Survey For: Student Satisfactory	Program: B.Tech.Artificial Intelligence & Data Science in Artificial Intelligence & Data Science

Detailed Report



1. Understanding of fundamental concepts	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	16	80	26.23%
2. Very Good	10	40	16.39%
3. Good	32	96	52.46%
4. Average	2	4	3.28%
5. Poor	1	1	1.64%
Total	61	221	72.46%

2. Your ability to analyze engineering problems and \nprovide/ design solutions wherever possible.	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	16	80	26.23%
2. Very Good	10	40	16.39%
3. Good	32	96	52.46%
4. Average	2	4	3.28%
5. Poor	1	1	1.64%
Total	61	221	72.46%

3. Your applicability of taught concepts in Activity \nbased learning/ skill set training	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	19	95	31.67%
2. Very Good	9	36	15.00%
3. Good	31	93	51.67%
4. Average	1	2	1.67%
5. Poor	0	0	0.00%
Total	60	226	75.33%

4. Exposure to modern tools viz. softwares, \nsimulations, etc. and use of demo models.\n	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	19	95	31.67%
2. Very Good	10	40	16.67%
3. Good	27	81	45.00%
4. Average	3	6	5.00%
5. Poor	1	1	1.67%
Total	60	223	74.33%

5. Involvement in Industry related activity\n	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	17	85	28.33%
2. Very Good	8	32	13.33%
3. Good	27	81	45.00%
4. Average	6	12	10.00%
5. Poor	2	2	3.33%
Total	60	212	70.67%

6. Ability to solve Practice sheets independently	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	17	85	26.98%
2. Very Good	9	36	14.29%
3. Good	33	99	52.38%
4. Average	3	6	4.76%
5. Poor	1	1	1.59%
Total	63	227	72.06%

7. Adequate coverage of syllabus	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	16	80	26.23%
2. Very Good	12	48	19.67%

7. Adequate coverage of syllabus	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
3. Good	32	96	52.46%
4. Average	1	2	1.64%
5. Poor	0	0	0.00%
Total	61	226	74.10%

8. Teacher's Class control & discipline, punctuality \nand regularity in conducting classes	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	18	90	30.00%
2. Very Good	7	28	11.67%
3. Good	31	93	51.67%
4. Average	4	8	6.67%
5. Poor	0	0	0.00%
Total	60	219	73.00%

9. Use of LCD Projector, multimedia, animations, \ngraphics, audio-visual contents etc. in teaching	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	20	100	33.33%
2. Very Good	8	32	13.33%
3. Good	27	81	45.00%
4. Average	5	10	8.33%
5. Poor	0	0	0.00%
Total	60	223	74.33%

10. Teacher's encouragement to interactios	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	16	80	26.23%
2. Very Good	8	32	13.11%
3. Good	32	96	52.46%
4. Average	5	10	8.20%
5. Poor	0	0	0.00%
Total	61	218	71.48%

11. Teacher's accessibility to students for solving \nquiries and problems.	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	17	85	28.33%
2. Very Good	8	32	13.33%
3. Good	32	96	53.33%
4. Average	3	6	5.00%
5. Poor	0	0	0.00%
Total	60	219	73.00%

12. Remarks (if any)\n
No data

Comments:

SI No.	Stakeholder	Remarks
1	Response 1	Good
2	Response 2	.
3	Response 3	Good
4	Response 4	No comments
5	Response 5	Very good
6	Response 6	Good
7	Response 7	good
8	Response 8	Good..! ????
9	Response 9	Good
10	Response 10	Good
11	Response 11	Good
12	Response 12	Not good
13	Response 13	Good
14	Response 14	Teaching is good
15	Response 15	good
16	Response 16	Good
17	Response 17	Good
18	Response 18	Good
19	Response 19	Good
20	Response 20	om
21	Response 21	Good
22	Response 22	good
23	Response 23	Excellent
24	Response 24	Good
25	Response 25	No comments
26	Response 26	.
27	Response 27	Good
28	Response 28	?????????
29	Response 29	Sir teaching is easy and understandable, clear all the concept and doubts
30	Response 30	Good
31	Response 31	good
32	Response 32	No comments
33	Response 33	Good
34	Response 34	Excellent
35	Response 35	Sir clears all the concept in a simple way which is easy to understand.

SI No.	Stakeholder	Remarks
36	Response 36	Good
37	Response 37	All good
38	Response 38	Excellent
39	Response 39	Ok
40	Response 40
41	Response 41	good
42	Response 42	no
43	Response 43	.
44	Response 44	very good
45	Response 45	good
46	Response 46	good
47	Response 47	Good
48	Response 48	Good
49	Response 49	good
50	Response 50	Good
51	Response 51	Good
52	Response 52	Good
53	Response 53	ok!!
54	Response 54	good
55	Response 55	Excellent ????
56	Response 56	Ok
57	Response 57	Encouraging teachers
58	Response 58	Ok
59	Response 59	clear all concept very effectively
60	Response 60	excellent

Summary Report


Number of people Responded / Total number of Responders is **60 / 69** People.

Questions	Total Response Count	Weighted Response Percent
Understanding of fundamental concepts	221	72.46%
Your ability to analyze engineering problems and \nprovide/ design solutions wherever possible.	221	72.46%
Your applicability of taught concepts in Activity \nbased learning/ skill set training	226	75.33%
Exposure to modern tools viz. softwares, \nsimulations, etc. and use of demo models.\n	223	74.33%
Involvement in Industry related activity\n	212	70.67%
Ability to solve Practice sheets independently	227	72.06%
Adequate coverage of syllabus	226	74.10%
Teacher's Class control & discipline, punctuality \nand regularity in conducting classes	219	73.00%
Use of LCD Projector, multimedia, animations, \ngraphics, audio-visual contents etc. in teaching	223	74.33%
Teacher's encouragement to interactios	218	71.48%
Teacher's accessibility to students for solving \nqueries and problems.	219	73.00%
Total Feedback	2435	73.01%

Survey Details - Detailed & Summary Report

Survey: 23-24 ODD III Sem DSA-LAB FB-II	Survey Type: Fresh Survey
Survey For: Student Satisfactory	Program: B.Tech.Artificial Intelligence & Data Science in Artificial Intelligence & Data Science

Detailed Report



1. Effectiveness of Pre-Lab sessions	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	15	75	25.00%
2. Very Good	11	44	18.33%
3. Good	28	84	46.67%
4. Average	4	8	6.67%
5. Poor	2	2	3.33%
Total	60	213	71.00%

2. In depth understanding of \nExperiments/Practicals	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	12	60	20.00%
2. Very Good	8	32	13.33%
3. Good	32	96	53.33%
4. Average	6	12	10.00%
5. Poor	2	2	3.33%
Total	60	202	67.33%

3. Ability to reach conclusion based upon \nobservations and interpret results	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	14	70	22.58%
2. Very Good	11	44	17.74%
3. Good	31	93	50.00%
4. Average	6	12	9.68%
5. Poor	0	0	0.00%
Total	62	219	70.65%

4. Ability to co-relate theory with the practical \nand realization of its applicability in real \nworld.	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	15	75	25.00%
2. Very Good	9	36	15.00%
3. Good	32	96	53.33%
4. Average	4	8	6.67%
5. Poor	0	0	0.00%
Total	60	215	71.67%

5. Teachers' control & discipline in Lab, \npunctuality and regularity in conducting \npracticals	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	16	80	26.67%
2. Very Good	12	48	20.00%
3. Good	29	87	48.33%
4. Average	3	6	5.00%
5. Poor	0	0	0.00%
Total	60	221	73.67%

6. Adequacy and clarity in illustration	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	15	75	25.00%
2. Very Good	7	28	11.67%
3. Good	32	96	53.33%
4. Average	4	8	6.67%
5. Poor	2	2	3.33%
Total	60	209	69.67%

7. Experimental set-ups are operational & well \nmaintained	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	14	70	23.33%
2. Very Good	11	44	18.33%

7. Experimental set-ups are operational & well maintained	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
3. Good	28	84	46.67%
4. Average	6	12	10.00%
5. Poor	1	1	1.67%
Total	60	211	70.33%

8. Explaining the difficulties raised by the students	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	16	80	26.23%
2. Very Good	8	32	13.11%
3. Good	30	90	49.18%
4. Average	4	8	6.56%
5. Poor	3	3	4.92%
Total	61	213	69.84%

9. Promptness in assessment of submissions	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	13	65	21.31%
2. Very Good	10	40	16.39%
3. Good	33	99	54.10%
4. Average	4	8	6.56%
5. Poor	1	1	1.64%
Total	61	213	69.84%

10. Use of modern tools, hardware & simulation software etc.	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	18	90	29.51%
2. Very Good	7	28	11.48%
3. Good	31	93	50.82%
4. Average	3	6	4.92%
5. Poor	2	2	3.28%
Total	61	219	71.80%

11. Motivation to explore, apply and develop new applications	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	15	75	25.00%
2. Very Good	13	52	21.67%
3. Good	27	81	45.00%
4. Average	5	10	8.33%
5. Poor	0	0	0.00%
Total	60	218	72.67%

12. Remarks (If any)
No data

Comments:

Sl No.	Stakeholder	Remarks
1	Response 1	Good

SI No.	Stakeholder	Remarks
2	Response 2	.
3	Response 3	Good
4	Response 4	No comments
5	Response 5	Good
6	Response 6	Good
7	Response 7	good
8	Response 8	Overall Good
9	Response 9	Good
10	Response 10	Good.
11	Response 11	Good
12	Response 12	Good
13	Response 13	Good
14	Response 14	Good
15	Response 15	Good
16	Response 16	good
17	Response 17	?????????
18	Response 18	Good
19	Response 19	ok
20	Response 20	Good
21	Response 21	Good
22	Response 22	good
23	Response 23	Excellent
24	Response 24	Good
25	Response 25	No Comments
26	Response 26	.
27	Response 27	Good
28	Response 28	No comments
29	Response 29	Good
30	Response 30	Sir teaching is easy and understandable, clear all the concept and doubts
31	Response 31	good
32	Response 32	Good
33	Response 33	Good
34	Response 34	Good
35	Response 35	Good
36	Response 36	All good
37	Response 37	Excellent

SI No.	Stakeholder	Remarks
38	Response 38	Ok
39	Response 39
40	Response 40	good
41	Response 41	no
42	Response 42
43	Response 43	very good
44	Response 44	very good
45	Response 45	excellent
46	Response 46	Good
47	Response 47	Able to perform
48	Response 48	good
49	Response 49	PC need upgrade
50	Response 50	Good
51	Response 51	Good
52	Response 52	Overall good!!
53	Response 53	good
54	Response 54	Excellent
55	Response 55	Ok
56	Response 56	Just excellent
57	Response 57	Ok
58	Response 58	Sir teaching technique is very good sir clear all concepts in Easy way
59	Response 59	clear all concept very effectively
60	Response 60	good

Summary Report


Number of people Responded / Total number of Responders is **60 / 69** People.

Questions	Total Response Count	Weighted Response Percent
Effectiveness of Pre-Lab sessions	213	71.00%
In depth understanding of \nExperiments/Practicals	202	67.33%
Ability to reach conclusion based upon \nobservations and interpret results	219	70.65%
Ability to co-relate theory with the practical \nand realization of its applicability in real \nworld.	215	71.67%
Teachers' control & discipline in Lab, \npunctuality and regularity in conducting \npracticals	221	73.67%
Adequacy and clarity in illustration	209	69.67%
Experimental set-ups are operational & well \nmaintained	211	70.33%
Explaining the difficulties raised by the \nstudents	213	69.84%
Promptness in assessment of submissions	213	69.84%
Use of modern tools, hardware & simulation \nsoftware etc.	219	71.80%
Motivation to explore, apply and develop \nnew applications	218	72.67%
Total Feedback	2353	70.77%

Survey Details - Detailed & Summary Report

Survey: 23-24 ODD III Sem EIT&IPR FB-II	Survey Type: Fresh Survey
Survey For: Student Satisfactory	Program: B.Tech.Artificial Intelligence & Data Science in Artificial Intelligence & Data Science

Detailed Report



1. Understanding of fundamental concepts	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	13	65	21.67%
2. Very Good	17	68	28.33%
3. Good	22	66	36.67%
4. Average	6	12	10.00%
5. Poor	2	2	3.33%
Total	60	213	71.00%

2. Your ability to analyze engineering problems and nprovide/ design solutions wherever possible.	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	13	65	21.31%
2. Very Good	18	72	29.51%
3. Good	23	69	37.70%
4. Average	5	10	8.20%
5. Poor	2	2	3.28%
Total	61	218	71.48%

3. Your applicability of taught concepts in Activity nbased learning/ skill set training	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	13	65	21.31%
2. Very Good	16	64	26.23%
3. Good	25	75	40.98%
4. Average	5	10	8.20%
5. Poor	2	2	3.28%
Total	61	216	70.82%

4. Exposure to modern tools viz. softwares, nsimulations, etc. and use of demo models.n	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	9	45	14.75%
2. Very Good	13	52	21.31%
3. Good	25	75	40.98%
4. Average	9	18	14.75%
5. Poor	5	5	8.20%
Total	61	195	63.93%

5. Involvement in Industry related activityn	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	11	55	18.03%
2. Very Good	18	72	29.51%
3. Good	20	60	32.79%
4. Average	8	16	13.11%
5. Poor	4	4	6.56%
Total	61	207	67.87%

6. Ability to solve Practice sheets independently	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	11	55	18.03%
2. Very Good	16	64	26.23%
3. Good	25	75	40.98%
4. Average	7	14	11.48%
5. Poor	2	2	3.28%
Total	61	210	68.85%

7. Adequate coverage of syllabus	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	13	65	20.97%
2. Very Good	19	76	30.65%

7. Adequate coverage of syllabus	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
3. Good	21	63	33.87%
4. Average	5	10	8.06%
5. Poor	4	4	6.45%
Total	62	218	70.32%

8. Teacher's Class control & discipline, punctuality and regularity in conducting classes	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	12	60	19.67%
2. Very Good	18	72	29.51%
3. Good	20	60	32.79%
4. Average	7	14	11.48%
5. Poor	4	4	6.56%
Total	61	210	68.85%

9. Use of LCD Projector, multimedia, animations, ngraphics, audio-visual contents etc. in teaching	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	11	55	18.03%
2. Very Good	18	72	29.51%
3. Good	20	60	32.79%
4. Average	9	18	14.75%
5. Poor	3	3	4.92%
Total	61	208	68.20%

10. Teacher's encouragement to interactios	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	13	65	21.31%
2. Very Good	16	64	26.23%
3. Good	24	72	39.34%
4. Average	4	8	6.56%
5. Poor	4	4	6.56%
Total	61	213	69.84%

11. Teacher's accessibility to students for solving nqueries and problems.	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	14	70	22.58%
2. Very Good	18	72	29.03%
3. Good	20	60	32.26%
4. Average	7	14	11.29%
5. Poor	3	3	4.84%
Total	62	219	70.65%

12. Remarks (if any)n
No data

Comments:

SI No.	Stakeholder	Remarks
1	Response 1	Good
2	Response 2	.
3	Response 3	Good
4	Response 4	Good
5	Response 5	Good
6	Response 6	average
7	Response 7	Good
8	Response 8	Good
9	Response 9	No comments
10	Response 10	Excellent
11	Response 11	good
12	Response 12	Good
13	Response 13	Good
14	Response 14	Good
15	Response 15	Good
16	Response 16	????????
17	Response 17	ok
18	Response 18	Okay.. Everything
19	Response 19	Good
20	Response 20	Good
21	Response 21	good
22	Response 22	Excellent
23	Response 23	Good
24	Response 24	No Comments
25	Response 25	????????
26	Response 26	Excellent
27	Response 27	.
28	Response 28	Good
29	Response 29	Good
30	Response 30	No comments
31	Response 31	very good
32	Response 32	Good
33	Response 33	Good
34	Response 34	Sir teaching is understandable, clear all the concept
35	Response 35	????
36	Response 36	Ok

SI No.	Stakeholder	Remarks
37	Response 37	Good
38	Response 38
39	Response 39	good
40	Response 40	no
41	Response 41	very good
42	Response 42	Besttttttttttttttttt
43	Response 43	ok
44	Response 44	...
45	Response 45	Good
46	Response 46	Good
47	Response 47	good
48	Response 48	ok
49	Response 49	Good
50	Response 50	Good
51	Response 51	Overall things are good!!
52	Response 52	good
53	Response 53	Poor
54	Response 54	Ok
55	Response 55	.
56	Response 56	Ok
57	Response 57	...
58	Response 58	Sir clear our concepts about topic by taking real world example which is easy to understand
59	Response 59	good
60	Response 60	clear all concept very effectively

Summary Report


Number of people Responded / Total number of Responders is **60 / 69** People.

Questions	Total Response Count	Weighted Response Percent
Understanding of fundamental concepts	213	71.00%
Your ability to analyze engineering problems and provide/ design solutions wherever possible.	218	71.48%
Your applicability of taught concepts in Activity based learning/ skill set training	216	70.82%
Exposure to modern tools viz. softwares, simulations, etc. and use of demo models.	195	63.93%
Involvement in Industry related activity	207	67.87%
Ability to solve Practice sheets independently	210	68.85%
Adequate coverage of syllabus	218	70.32%
Teacher's Class control & discipline, punctuality and regularity in conducting classes	210	68.85%
Use of LCD Projector, multimedia, animations, graphics, audio-visual contents etc. in teaching	208	68.20%
Teacher's encouragement to interact	213	69.84%
Teacher's accessibility to students for solving queries and problems.	219	70.65%
Total Feedback	2327	69.26%

Survey Details - Detailed & Summary Report

Survey: 23-24 ODD III Sem EITKH FB-II	Survey Type: Fresh Survey
Survey For: Student Satisfactory	Program: B.Tech.Artificial Intelligence & Data Science in Artificial Intelligence & Data Science

Detailed Report



1. Understanding of fundamental concepts	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	13	65	20.63%
2. Very Good	16	64	25.40%
3. Good	21	63	33.33%
4. Average	11	22	17.46%
5. Poor	2	2	3.17%
Total	63	216	68.57%

2. Your ability to analyze engineering problems and \nprovide/ design solutions wherever possible.	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	13	65	20.97%
2. Very Good	15	60	24.19%
3. Good	25	75	40.32%
4. Average	7	14	11.29%
5. Poor	2	2	3.23%
Total	62	216	69.68%

3. Your applicability of taught concepts in Activity \nbased learning/ skill set training	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	9	45	14.75%
2. Very Good	17	68	27.87%
3. Good	26	78	42.62%
4. Average	6	12	9.84%
5. Poor	3	3	4.92%
Total	61	206	67.54%

4. Exposure to modern tools viz. softwares, \nsimulations, etc. and use of demo models.\n	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	12	60	19.35%
2. Very Good	15	60	24.19%
3. Good	24	72	38.71%
4. Average	7	14	11.29%
5. Poor	4	4	6.45%
Total	62	210	67.74%

5. Involvement in Industry related activity\n	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	11	55	17.74%
2. Very Good	14	56	22.58%
3. Good	24	72	38.71%
4. Average	10	20	16.13%
5. Poor	3	3	4.84%
Total	62	206	66.45%

6. Ability to solve Practice sheets independently	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	12	60	19.67%
2. Very Good	17	68	27.87%
3. Good	22	66	36.07%
4. Average	8	16	13.11%
5. Poor	2	2	3.28%
Total	61	212	69.51%

7. Adequate coverage of syllabus	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	10	50	16.39%
2. Very Good	15	60	24.59%

7. Adequate coverage of syllabus	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
3. Good	25	75	40.98%
4. Average	8	16	13.11%
5. Poor	3	3	4.92%
Total	61	204	66.89%

8. Teacher's Class control & discipline, punctuality \nand regularity in conducting classes	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	13	65	20.97%
2. Very Good	18	72	29.03%
3. Good	22	66	35.48%
4. Average	5	10	8.06%
5. Poor	4	4	6.45%
Total	62	217	70.00%

9. Use of LCD Projector, multimedia, animations, \ngraphics, audio-visual contents etc. in teaching	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	9	45	14.75%
2. Very Good	15	60	24.59%
3. Good	25	75	40.98%
4. Average	8	16	13.11%
5. Poor	4	4	6.56%
Total	61	200	65.57%

10. Teacher's encouragement to interactios	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	15	75	24.19%
2. Very Good	17	68	27.42%
3. Good	20	60	32.26%
4. Average	6	12	9.68%
5. Poor	4	4	6.45%
Total	62	219	70.65%

11. Teacher's accessibility to students for solving \nquiries and problems.	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	12	60	19.67%
2. Very Good	15	60	24.59%
3. Good	23	69	37.70%
4. Average	7	14	11.48%
5. Poor	4	4	6.56%
Total	61	207	67.87%

12. Remarks (if any)\n
No data

Comments:

SI No.	Stakeholder	Remarks
1	Response 1	Good
2	Response 2	.
3	Response 3	Good
4	Response 4	Good
5	Response 5	average
6	Response 6	Good
7	Response 7	No comments
8	Response 8	Good
9	Response 9	Good
10	Response 10	Not bad
11	Response 11	Good
12	Response 12	Good
13	Response 13	Good
14	Response 14	good
15	Response 15	ok
16	Response 16	Good
17	Response 17	?????????
18	Response 18	Good
19	Response 19	Good! ????
20	Response 20	Ok
21	Response 21	good
22	Response 22	Excellent
23	Response 23	Good
24	Response 24	No Comments
25	Response 25	Excellent
26	Response 26	Good
27	Response 27	Good
28	Response 28	.
29	Response 29	Good
30	Response 30	No comments
31	Response 31	very good
32	Response 32	Good
33	Response 33	Good
34	Response 34	Good
35	Response 35	Sir teaching is easy and understandable, clear all the concept
36	Response 36	????

SI No.	Stakeholder	Remarks
37	Response 37	Ok
38	Response 38
39	Response 39	good
40	Response 40	no
41	Response 41	..
42	Response 42	very good
43	Response 43	good
44	Response 44	good
45	Response 45	Good
46	Response 46	Good
47	Response 47	good
48	Response 48	awesome
49	Response 49	Excellent
50	Response 50	Good
51	Response 51	Good
52	Response 52	Overall things are good!!
53	Response 53	good
54	Response 54	Poor
55	Response 55	Ok
56	Response 56	Excellent
57	Response 57	Ok
58	Response 58	Good faculty
59	Response 59	Sir guide us to do participate in the events or competition held in the department sir clear concepts by taking real world example which is easy to understand
60	Response 60	good
61	Response 61	clear all concept very effectively

Summary Report


Number of people Responded / Total number of Responders is **61 / 69** People.

Questions	Total Response Count	Weighted Response Percent
Understanding of fundamental concepts	216	68.57%
Your ability to analyze engineering problems and \nprovide/ design solutions wherever possible.	216	69.68%
Your applicability of taught concepts in Activity \nbased learning/ skill set training	206	67.54%
Exposure to modern tools viz. softwares, \nsimulations, etc. and use of demo models.\n	210	67.74%
Involvement in Industry related activity\n	206	66.45%
Ability to solve Practice sheets independently	212	69.51%
Adequate coverage of syllabus	204	66.89%
Teacher's Class control & discipline, punctuality \nand regularity in conducting classes	217	70.00%
Use of LCD Projector, multimedia, animations, \ngraphics, audio-visual contents etc. in teaching	200	65.57%
Teacher's encouragement to interactios	219	70.65%
Teacher's accessibility to students for solving \nqueries and problems.	207	67.87%
Total Feedback	2313	68.23%

Survey Details - Detailed & Summary Report

Survey: 23-24 ODD III Sem FDECA FB-II	Survey Type: Fresh Survey
Survey For: Student Satisfactory	Program: B.Tech.Artificial Intelligence & Data Science in Artificial Intelligence & Data Science

Detailed Report



1. Understanding of fundamental concepts	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	22	110	36.07%
2. Very Good	15	60	24.59%
3. Good	21	63	34.43%
4. Average	2	4	3.28%
5. Poor	1	1	1.64%
Total	61	238	78.03%

2. Your ability to analyze engineering problems and \nprovide/ design solutions wherever possible.	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	20	100	32.79%
2. Very Good	15	60	24.59%
3. Good	23	69	37.70%
4. Average	3	6	4.92%
5. Poor	0	0	0.00%
Total	61	235	77.05%

3. Your applicability of taught concepts in Activity \nbased learning/ skill set training	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	24	120	39.34%
2. Very Good	15	60	24.59%
3. Good	18	54	29.51%
4. Average	2	4	3.28%
5. Poor	2	2	3.28%
Total	61	240	78.69%

4. Exposure to modern tools viz. softwares, \nsimulations, etc. and use of demo models.\n	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	20	100	33.33%
2. Very Good	14	56	23.33%
3. Good	25	75	41.67%
4. Average	1	2	1.67%
5. Poor	0	0	0.00%
Total	60	233	77.67%

5. Involvement in Industry related activity\n	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	21	105	33.33%
2. Very Good	15	60	23.81%
3. Good	23	69	36.51%
4. Average	4	8	6.35%
5. Poor	0	0	0.00%
Total	63	242	76.83%

6. Ability to solve Practice sheets independently	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	18	90	29.51%
2. Very Good	18	72	29.51%
3. Good	22	66	36.07%
4. Average	3	6	4.92%
5. Poor	0	0	0.00%
Total	61	234	76.72%

7. Adequate coverage of syllabus	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	23	115	37.70%
2. Very Good	18	72	29.51%

7. Adequate coverage of syllabus	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
3. Good	18	54	29.51%
4. Average	2	4	3.28%
5. Poor	0	0	0.00%
Total	61	245	80.33%

8. Teacher's Class control & discipline, punctuality \nand regularity in conducting classes	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	24	120	39.34%
2. Very Good	17	68	27.87%
3. Good	18	54	29.51%
4. Average	1	2	1.64%
5. Poor	1	1	1.64%
Total	61	245	80.33%

9. Use of LCD Projector, multimedia, animations, \ngraphics, audio-visual contents etc. in teaching	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	23	115	36.51%
2. Very Good	17	68	26.98%
3. Good	20	60	31.75%
4. Average	2	4	3.17%
5. Poor	1	1	1.59%
Total	63	248	78.73%

10. Teacher's encouragement to interactios	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	20	100	33.33%
2. Very Good	13	52	21.67%
3. Good	25	75	41.67%
4. Average	2	4	3.33%
5. Poor	0	0	0.00%
Total	60	231	77.00%

11. Teacher's accessibility to students for solving \nquiries and problems.	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	23	115	38.33%
2. Very Good	13	52	21.67%
3. Good	21	63	35.00%
4. Average	2	4	3.33%
5. Poor	1	1	1.67%
Total	60	235	78.33%

12. Remarks (if any)\n
No data

Comments:

SI No.	Stakeholder	Remarks
1	Response 1	Good
2	Response 2	.
3	Response 3	Good
4	Response 4	Good
5	Response 5	good
6	Response 6	Good
7	Response 7	Good
8	Response 8	No comments
9	Response 9	Good
10	Response 10	Good
11	Response 11	Good
12	Response 12	Good
13	Response 13	Good
14	Response 14	good
15	Response 15	good
16	Response 16	Good
17	Response 17	Good
18	Response 18	Good
19	Response 19	Everything okay
20	Response 20	Nice
21	Response 21	good
22	Response 22	Excellent
23	Response 23	Good
24	Response 24	V. GOOD
25	Response 25	Excellent
26	Response 26	Good
27	Response 27	.
28	Response 28	Good
29	Response 29	Good
30	Response 30	Good
31	Response 31	No comments
32	Response 32	Sir teaching is easy and understandable, clear all the concept and doubts
33	Response 33	very good
34	Response 34	Good
35	Response 35	Good
36	Response 36	????

SI No.	Stakeholder	Remarks
37	Response 37	Excellent
38	Response 38
39	Response 39	average
40	Response 40	no
41	Response 41	very good
42	Response 42	..
43	Response 43	very good
44	Response 44	good
45	Response 45	Good
46	Response 46	concepts are almost clear
47	Response 47	good
48	Response 48	awesome
49	Response 49	Good
50	Response 50	Good
51	Response 51	Overall things are good!!
52	Response 52	good
53	Response 53	Excellent ????
54	Response 54	Ok
55	Response 55	Excellent
56	Response 56	Ok
57	Response 57	...
58	Response 58	Sir is helpful and guide us to participate in every competition or event held in the department and sir's teaching technique is good he clear all the concepts in a easy way
59	Response 59	good
60	Response 60	clear all concept very effectively

Summary Report

Number of people Responded / Total number of Responders is **60 / 69** People.

Questions	Total Response Count	Weighted Response Percent
Understanding of fundamental concepts	238	78.03%
Your ability to analyze engineering problems and \nprovide/ design solutions wherever possible.	235	77.05%
Your applicability of taught concepts in Activity \nbased learning/ skill set training	240	78.69%
Exposure to modern tools viz. softwares, \nsimulations, etc. and use of demo models.\n	233	77.67%
Involvement in Industry related activity\n	242	76.83%
Ability to solve Practice sheets independently	234	76.72%
Adequate coverage of syllabus	245	80.33%
Teacher's Class control & discipline, punctuality \nand regularity in conducting classes	245	80.33%
Use of LCD Projector, multimedia, animations, \ngraphics, audio-visual contents etc. in teaching	248	78.73%
Teacher's encouragement to interactios	231	77.00%
Teacher's accessibility to students for solving \nqueries and problems.	235	78.33%
Total Feedback	2626	78.15%

Survey Details - Detailed & Summary Report

Survey: 23-24 ODD III Sem M3 FB-II	Survey Type: Fresh Survey
Survey For: Student Satisfactory	Program: B.Tech.Artificial Intelligence & Data Science in Artificial Intelligence & Data Science

Detailed Report



1. Understanding of fundamental concepts	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	33	165	51.56%
2. Very Good	11	44	17.19%
3. Good	17	51	26.56%
4. Average	2	4	3.13%
5. Poor	1	1	1.56%
Total	64	265	82.81%

2. Your ability to analyze engineering problems and \nprovide/ design solutions wherever possible.	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	38	190	60.32%
2. Very Good	7	28	11.11%
3. Good	17	51	26.98%
4. Average	1	2	1.59%
5. Poor	0	0	0.00%
Total	63	271	86.03%

3. Your applicability of taught concepts in Activity \nbased learning/ skill set training	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	40	200	63.49%
2. Very Good	11	44	17.46%
3. Good	11	33	17.46%
4. Average	0	0	0.00%
5. Poor	1	1	1.59%
Total	63	278	88.25%

4. Exposure to modern tools viz. softwares, \nsimulations, etc. and use of demo models.\n	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	34	170	53.13%
2. Very Good	10	40	15.63%
3. Good	16	48	25.00%
4. Average	2	4	3.13%
5. Poor	2	2	3.13%
Total	64	264	82.50%

5. Involvement in Industry related activity\n	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	31	155	49.21%
2. Very Good	13	52	20.63%
3. Good	18	54	28.57%
4. Average	0	0	0.00%
5. Poor	1	1	1.59%
Total	63	262	83.17%

6. Ability to solve Practice sheets independently	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	33	165	54.10%
2. Very Good	11	44	18.03%
3. Good	14	42	22.95%
4. Average	1	2	1.64%
5. Poor	2	2	3.28%
Total	61	255	83.61%

7. Adequate coverage of syllabus	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	35	175	56.45%
2. Very Good	10	40	16.13%

7. Adequate coverage of syllabus	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
3. Good	14	42	22.58%
4. Average	1	2	1.61%
5. Poor	2	2	3.23%
Total	62	261	84.19%

8. Teacher's Class control & discipline, punctuality \nand regularity in conducting classes	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	35	175	56.45%
2. Very Good	12	48	19.35%
3. Good	14	42	22.58%
4. Average	0	0	0.00%
5. Poor	1	1	1.61%
Total	62	266	85.81%

9. Use of LCD Projector, multimedia, animations, \ngraphics, audio-visual contents etc. in teaching	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	33	165	54.10%
2. Very Good	9	36	14.75%
3. Good	14	42	22.95%
4. Average	2	4	3.28%
5. Poor	3	3	4.92%
Total	61	250	81.97%

10. Teacher's encouragement to interactios	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	36	180	58.06%
2. Very Good	9	36	14.52%
3. Good	16	48	25.81%
4. Average	0	0	0.00%
5. Poor	1	1	1.61%
Total	62	265	85.48%

11. Teacher's accessibility to students for solving \nquiries and problems.	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	33	165	51.56%
2. Very Good	12	48	18.75%
3. Good	17	51	26.56%
4. Average	1	2	1.56%
5. Poor	1	1	1.56%
Total	64	267	83.44%

12. Remarks (if any)\n
No data

Comments:

SI No.	Stakeholder	Remarks
1	Response 1	Good
2	Response 2	Excellent sir
3	Response 3	Good
4	Response 4	Good
5	Response 5	Good
6	Response 6	good
7	Response 7	No comments
8	Response 8	Good
9	Response 9	Good
10	Response 10	Very good
11	Response 11	Good
12	Response 12	Good
13	Response 13	Very good
14	Response 14	good
15	Response 15	good
16	Response 16	Good
17	Response 17	Good
18	Response 18	Excellent
19	Response 19	All perfect
20	Response 20	Nice
21	Response 21	good
22	Response 22	Excellent
23	Response 23	Good ????
24	Response 24	Very GOOD
25	Response 25	.
26	Response 26	Excellent
27	Response 27	Good
28	Response 28	Good
29	Response 29	No comments
30	Response 30	Sir teaching is easy and understandable, clear all the concept and doubts
31	Response 31	very good
32	Response 32	Excellent
33	Response 33	Good
34	Response 34	Very good
35	Response 35	Excellent
36	Response 36	????

SI No.	Stakeholder	Remarks
37	Response 37	Ok
38	Response 38
39	Response 39	excellent
40	Response 40	no
41	Response 41
42	Response 42	very good
43	Response 43	good
44	Response 44	good
45	Response 45	Good
46	Response 46	we were able to solve maths problems
47	Response 47	excellent
48	Response 48	awesome
49	Response 49	Excellent
50	Response 50	Good
51	Response 51	Good
52	Response 52	good
53	Response 53	Very good
54	Response 54	Ok
55	Response 55	..
56	Response 56	Ok
57	Response 57	Best maths faculty
58	Response 58	Sir guides us and clear all our concepts in a easy way
59	Response 59	good
60	Response 60	clear all concept very effectively
61	Response 61	Amazing teaching skills!!!

Summary Report


Number of people Responded / Total number of Responders is **61 / 69** People.

Questions	Total Response Count	Weighted Response Percent
Understanding of fundamental concepts	265	82.81%
Your ability to analyze engineering problems and \nprovide/ design solutions wherever possible.	271	86.03%
Your applicability of taught concepts in Activity \nbased learning/ skill set training	278	88.25%
Exposure to modern tools viz. softwares, \nsimulations, etc. and use of demo models.\n	264	82.50%
Involvement in Industry related activity\n	262	83.17%
Ability to solve Practice sheets independently	255	83.61%
Adequate coverage of syllabus	261	84.19%
Teacher's Class control & discipline, punctuality \nand regularity in conducting classes	266	85.81%
Use of LCD Projector, multimedia, animations, \ngraphics, audio-visual contents etc. in teaching	250	81.97%
Teacher's encouragement to interactios	265	85.48%
Teacher's accessibility to students for solving \nqueries and problems.	267	83.44%
Total Feedback	2904	84.30%

Survey Details - Detailed & Summary Report

Survey: 23-24 ODD III Sem PP-Lab FB-II	Survey Type: Fresh Survey
Survey For: Student Satisfactory	Program: B.Tech.Artificial Intelligence & Data Science in Artificial Intelligence & Data Science

Detailed Report



1. Effectiveness of Pre-Lab sessions	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	18	90	28.13%
2. Very Good	20	80	31.25%
3. Good	21	63	32.81%
4. Average	2	4	3.13%
5. Poor	3	3	4.69%
Total	64	240	75.00%

2. In depth understanding of \nExperiments/Practicals	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	21	105	32.81%
2. Very Good	18	72	28.13%
3. Good	22	66	34.38%
4. Average	1	2	1.56%
5. Poor	2	2	3.13%
Total	64	247	77.19%

3. Ability to reach conclusion based upon \nobservations and interpret results	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	17	85	26.98%
2. Very Good	21	84	33.33%
3. Good	20	60	31.75%
4. Average	3	6	4.76%
5. Poor	2	2	3.17%
Total	63	237	75.24%

4. Ability to co-relate theory with the practical \nand realization of its applicability in real \nworld.	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	17	85	26.56%
2. Very Good	16	64	25.00%
3. Good	28	84	43.75%
4. Average	2	4	3.13%
5. Poor	1	1	1.56%
Total	64	238	74.38%

5. Teachers' control & discipline in Lab, \npunctuality and regularity in conducting \npracticals	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	18	90	28.57%
2. Very Good	20	80	31.75%
3. Good	22	66	34.92%
4. Average	2	4	3.17%
5. Poor	1	1	1.59%
Total	63	241	76.51%

6. Adequacy and clarity in illustration	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	15	75	23.08%
2. Very Good	18	72	27.69%
3. Good	27	81	41.54%
4. Average	3	6	4.62%
5. Poor	2	2	3.08%
Total	65	236	72.62%

7. Experimental set-ups are operational & well \nmaintained	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	15	75	23.44%
2. Very Good	20	80	31.25%

7. Experimental set-ups are operational & well maintained	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
3. Good	26	78	40.63%
4. Average	2	4	3.13%
5. Poor	1	1	1.56%
Total	64	238	74.38%

8. Explaining the difficulties raised by the students	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	16	80	25.00%
2. Very Good	18	72	28.13%
3. Good	24	72	37.50%
4. Average	5	10	7.81%
5. Poor	1	1	1.56%
Total	64	235	73.44%

9. Promptness in assessment of submissions	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	17	85	27.87%
2. Very Good	21	84	34.43%
3. Good	19	57	31.15%
4. Average	3	6	4.92%
5. Poor	1	1	1.64%
Total	61	233	76.39%

10. Use of modern tools, hardware & simulation software etc.	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	18	90	27.27%
2. Very Good	19	76	28.79%
3. Good	23	69	34.85%
4. Average	3	6	4.55%
5. Poor	3	3	4.55%
Total	66	244	73.94%

11. Motivation to explore, apply and develop new applications	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	19	95	29.23%
2. Very Good	21	84	32.31%
3. Good	19	57	29.23%
4. Average	4	8	6.15%
5. Poor	2	2	3.08%
Total	65	246	75.69%

12. Remarks (If any)
No data

Comments:

Sl No.	Stakeholder	Remarks
1	Response 1	Good

SI No.	Stakeholder	Remarks
2	Response 2	.
3	Response 3	Good
4	Response 4	good
5	Response 5	Good
6	Response 6	Good
7	Response 7	Good
8	Response 8	very good
9	Response 9	Excellent
10	Response 10	Good
11	Response 11	good
12	Response 12	Not good
13	Response 13	No comments
14	Response 14	Good
15	Response 15	Good
16	Response 16	Good
17	Response 17	Good
18	Response 18	Good
19	Response 19	ok
20	Response 20	Excellent????
21	Response 21	Good
22	Response 22	good
23	Response 23	Excellent
24	Response 24	Good
25	Response 25	No Comments
26	Response 26	Good
27	Response 27	Good
28	Response 28	Good
29	Response 29	.
30	Response 30	Good
31	Response 31	Good
32	Response 32	Ma'am teaching is easy and understandable, clear all the concept and doubts , help in solving any problem
33	Response 33	No comments
34	Response 34	very good
35	Response 35	Good
36	Response 36	Good

SI No.	Stakeholder	Remarks
37	Response 37	Good
38	Response 38
39	Response 39	no
40	Response 40	good
41	Response 41	very good
42	Response 42	..
43	Response 43	good
44	Response 44	...
45	Response 45	Good
46	Response 46	Able to do practical
47	Response 47	good
48	Response 48	System need change
49	Response 49	Good
50	Response 50	Excellent
51	Response 51	Overall things are good!!
52	Response 52	Good
53	Response 53	Need to improve
54	Response 54	Ok
55	Response 55	Good
56	Response 56	Ok
57	Response 57	...
58	Response 58	Ma'am teaching technique is good everyone understand the concept very easily
59	Response 59	good
60	Response 60	clear all concept very effectively

Summary Report


Number of people Responded / Total number of Responders is **60 / 69** People.

Questions	Total Response Count	Weighted Response Percent
Effectiveness of Pre-Lab sessions	240	75.00%
In depth understanding of \nExperiments/Practicals	247	77.19%
Ability to reach conclusion based upon \nobservations and interpret results	237	75.24%
Ability to co-relate theory with the practical \nand realization of its applicability in real \nworld.	238	74.38%
Teachers' control & discipline in Lab, \npunctuality and regularity in conducting \npracticals	241	76.51%
Adequacy and clarity in illustration	236	72.62%
Experimental set-ups are operational & well \nmaintained	238	74.38%
Explaining the difficulties raised by the \nstudents	235	73.44%
Promptness in assessment of submissions	233	76.39%
Use of modern tools, hardware & simulation \nsoftware etc.	244	73.94%
Motivation to explore, apply and develop \nnew applications	246	75.69%
Total Feedback	2635	74.96%

Survey Details - Detailed & Summary Report

Survey: 23-24 ODD V Sem DA FB-I	Survey Type: Fresh Survey
Survey For: Student Satisfactory	Program: B.Tech.Artificial Intelligence & Data Science in Artificial Intelligence & Data Science

Detailed Report



1. Understanding of fundamental concepts	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	41	205	57.75%
2. Very Good	11	44	15.49%
3. Good	16	48	22.54%
4. Average	3	6	4.23%
5. Poor	0	0	0.00%
Total	71	303	85.35%

2. Your ability to analyze engineering problems and \nprovide/ design solutions wherever possible.	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	36	180	50.70%
2. Very Good	12	48	16.90%
3. Good	18	54	25.35%
4. Average	3	6	4.23%
5. Poor	2	2	2.82%
Total	71	290	81.69%

3. Your applicability of taught concepts in Activity \nbased learning/ skill set training	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	35	175	50.00%
2. Very Good	11	44	15.71%
3. Good	20	60	28.57%
4. Average	3	6	4.29%
5. Poor	1	1	1.43%
Total	70	286	81.71%

4. Exposure to modern tools viz. softwares, \nsimulations, etc. and use of demo models.\n	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	39	195	54.93%
2. Very Good	12	48	16.90%
3. Good	17	51	23.94%
4. Average	2	4	2.82%
5. Poor	1	1	1.41%
Total	71	299	84.23%

5. Involvement in Industry related activity\n	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	30	150	42.25%
2. Very Good	16	64	22.54%
3. Good	16	48	22.54%
4. Average	5	10	7.04%
5. Poor	4	4	5.63%
Total	71	276	77.75%

6. Ability to solve Practice sheets independently	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	30	150	42.86%
2. Very Good	14	56	20.00%
3. Good	22	66	31.43%
4. Average	3	6	4.29%
5. Poor	1	1	1.43%
Total	70	279	79.71%

7. Adequate coverage of syllabus	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	32	160	45.07%
2. Very Good	13	52	18.31%

7. Adequate coverage of syllabus	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
3. Good	20	60	28.17%
4. Average	3	6	4.23%
5. Poor	3	3	4.23%
Total	71	281	79.15%

8. Teacher's Class control & discipline, punctuality \nand regularity in conducting classes	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	33	165	45.83%
2. Very Good	17	68	23.61%
3. Good	17	51	23.61%
4. Average	4	8	5.56%
5. Poor	1	1	1.39%
Total	72	293	81.39%

9. Use of LCD Projector, multimedia, animations, \ngraphics, audio-visual contents etc. in teaching	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	31	155	44.29%
2. Very Good	16	64	22.86%
3. Good	16	48	22.86%
4. Average	4	8	5.71%
5. Poor	3	3	4.29%
Total	70	278	79.43%

10. Teacher's encouragement to interactios	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	30	150	42.25%
2. Very Good	13	52	18.31%
3. Good	21	63	29.58%
4. Average	4	8	5.63%
5. Poor	3	3	4.23%
Total	71	276	77.75%

11. Teacher's accessibility to students for solving \nquiries and problems.	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	33	165	46.48%
2. Very Good	15	60	21.13%
3. Good	21	63	29.58%
4. Average	2	4	2.82%
5. Poor	0	0	0.00%
Total	71	292	82.25%

12. Remarks (if any)\n
No data

Comments:

SI No.	Stakeholder	Remarks
1	Response 1	-
2	Response 2	teaching
3	Response 3	Nice
4	Response 4	Nothing
5	Response 5	No
6	Response 6	.
7	Response 7	Ok
8	Response 8	G
9	Response 9	.
10	Response 10	good
11	Response 11	Ok
12	Response 12	Good Good
13	Response 13	NA
14	Response 14	GOOD
15	Response 15	.
16	Response 16	Not good teacher
17	Response 17	Na
18	Response 18	Satisfied
19	Response 19	Satisfied
20	Response 20	.
21	Response 21	--
22	Response 22	--
23	Response 23	N
24	Response 24	Excellent
25	Response 25	.
26	Response 26	.
27	Response 27	.
28	Response 28	N
29	Response 29	Overall good
30	Response 30	---
31	Response 31	.
32	Response 32	.
33	Response 33	*
34	Response 34	Good
35	Response 35	.
36	Response 36	Satisfied

SI No.	Stakeholder	Remarks
37	Response 37	Done
38	Response 38	—
39	Response 39	take more practical
40	Response 40	/n
41	Response 41	N
42	Response 42	No
43	Response 43	Good
44	Response 44	NA
45	Response 45	...
46	Response 46	.
47	Response 47	\n
48	Response 48	P
49	Response 49	None
50	Response 50	Good
51	Response 51	..
52	Response 52	None
53	Response 53	No Comments
54	Response 54	...
55	Response 55	good
56	Response 56	.
57	Response 57	.
58	Response 58	None
59	Response 59	N
60	Response 60	Delivery of contents is good
61	Response 61	.
62	Response 62	nil
63	Response 63	.
64	Response 64	No
65	Response 65	Good
66	Response 66	Excellent
67	Response 67	-
68	Response 68	No
69	Response 69	/n

Summary Report

Number of people Responded / Total number of Responders is **69 / 69** People.

Questions	Total Response Count	Weighted Response Percent
Understanding of fundamental concepts	303	85.35%
Your ability to analyze engineering problems and \nprovide/ design solutions wherever possible.	290	81.69%
Your applicability of taught concepts in Activity \nbased learning/ skill set training	286	81.71%
Exposure to modern tools viz. softwares, \nsimulations, etc. and use of demo models.\n	299	84.23%
Involvement in Industry related activity\n	276	77.75%
Ability to solve Practice sheets independently	279	79.71%
Adequate coverage of syllabus	281	79.15%
Teacher's Class control & discipline, punctuality \nand regularity in conducting classes	293	81.39%
Use of LCD Projector, multimedia, animations, \ngraphics, audio-visual contents etc. in teaching	278	79.43%
Teacher's encouragement to interactios	276	77.75%
Teacher's accessibility to students for solving \nqueries and problems.	292	82.25%
Total Feedback	3153	80.95%

Survey Details - Detailed & Summary Report

Survey: 23-24 ODD V Sem DA Lab FB-I	Survey Type: Fresh Survey
Survey For: Student Satisfactory	Program: B.Tech.Artificial Intelligence & Data Science in Artificial Intelligence & Data Science

Detailed Report



1. Effectiveness of Pre-Lab sessions	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	34	170	47.89%
2. Very Good	15	60	21.13%
3. Good	19	57	26.76%
4. Average	2	4	2.82%
5. Poor	1	1	1.41%
Total	71	292	82.25%

2. In depth understanding of Experiments/Practicals	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	27	135	38.03%
2. Very Good	19	76	26.76%
3. Good	20	60	28.17%
4. Average	3	6	4.23%
5. Poor	2	2	2.82%
Total	71	279	78.59%

3. Ability to reach conclusion based upon observations and interpret results	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	29	145	42.03%
2. Very Good	14	56	20.29%
3. Good	21	63	30.43%
4. Average	3	6	4.35%
5. Poor	2	2	2.90%
Total	69	272	78.84%

4. Ability to co-relate theory with the practical and realization of its applicability in real world.	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	28	140	40.58%
2. Very Good	20	80	28.99%
3. Good	13	39	18.84%
4. Average	4	8	5.80%
5. Poor	4	4	5.80%
Total	69	271	78.55%

5. Teachers' control & discipline in Lab, punctuality and regularity in conducting practicals	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	28	140	40.58%
2. Very Good	17	68	24.64%
3. Good	20	60	28.99%
4. Average	2	4	2.90%
5. Poor	2	2	2.90%
Total	69	274	79.42%

6. Adequacy and clarity in illustration	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	27	135	38.03%
2. Very Good	18	72	25.35%
3. Good	18	54	25.35%
4. Average	5	10	7.04%
5. Poor	3	3	4.23%
Total	71	274	77.18%

7. Experimental set-ups are operational & well maintained	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	29	145	42.03%
2. Very Good	14	56	20.29%

7. Experimental set-ups are operational & well maintained	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
3. Good	20	60	28.99%
4. Average	4	8	5.80%
5. Poor	2	2	2.90%
Total	69	271	78.55%

8. Explaining the difficulties raised by the students	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	26	130	37.14%
2. Very Good	16	64	22.86%
3. Good	22	66	31.43%
4. Average	3	6	4.29%
5. Poor	3	3	4.29%
Total	70	269	76.86%

9. Promptness in assessment of submissions	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	28	140	40.58%
2. Very Good	17	68	24.64%
3. Good	19	57	27.54%
4. Average	2	4	2.90%
5. Poor	3	3	4.35%
Total	69	272	78.84%

10. Use of modern tools, hardware & simulation software etc.	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	31	155	44.29%
2. Very Good	13	52	18.57%
3. Good	20	60	28.57%
4. Average	3	6	4.29%
5. Poor	3	3	4.29%
Total	70	276	78.86%

11. Motivation to explore, apply and develop new applications	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	33	165	47.14%
2. Very Good	14	56	20.00%
3. Good	19	57	27.14%
4. Average	3	6	4.29%
5. Poor	1	1	1.43%
Total	70	285	81.43%

12. Remarks (If any)
No data

Comments:

Sl No.	Stakeholder	Remarks
1	Response 1	-

SI No.	Stakeholder	Remarks
2	Response 2	good
3	Response 3	No
4	Response 4	Nothing
5	Response 5	.
6	Response 6	Okok
7	Response 7	H
8	Response 8	No
9	Response 9	.
10	Response 10	good
11	Response 11	Good
12	Response 12	Ok
13	Response 13	NA
14	Response 14	GOOD
15	Response 15	.
16	Response 16	Worst teacher
17	Response 17	Na
18	Response 18	.
19	Response 19	Satisfied
20	Response 20	Satisfactory
21	Response 21	N
22	Response 22	--
23	Response 23	Excellent
24	Response 24	.
25	Response 25	.
26	Response 26	N
27	Response 27	Overall good
28	Response 28	Satisfied
29	Response 29	---
30	Response 30	.
31	Response 31	*
32	Response 32	.
33	Response 33	..
34	Response 34	Satisfied
35	Response 35	Good
36	Response 36	—
37	Response 37	Take more practicals

SI No.	Stakeholder	Remarks
38	Response 38	/n
39	Response 39	No
40	Response 40	N
41	Response 41	Good
42	Response 42	NA
43	Response 43	..
44	Response 44	.
45	Response 45	\n
46	Response 46	P
47	Response 47	None
48	Response 48	Good
49	Response 49
50	Response 50	None
51	Response 51	No Comments
52	Response 52	...
53	Response 53	good
54	Response 54	.
55	Response 55	None
56	Response 56	..
57	Response 57	Delivery of contents is good
58	Response 58	.
59	Response 59	nil
60	Response 60	.
61	Response 61	GOOD
62	Response 62	No
63	Response 63	Good
64	Response 64	Good
65	Response 65	No
66	Response 66	-
67	Response 67	/N
68	Response 68	.
69	Response 69	.

Summary Report

Number of people Responded / Total number of Responders is **69 / 69** People.

Questions	Total Response Count	Weighted Response Percent
Effectiveness of Pre-Lab sessions	292	82.25%
In depth understanding of \nExperiments/Practicals	279	78.59%
Ability to reach conclusion based upon \nobservations and interpret results	272	78.84%
Ability to co-relate theory with the practical \nand realization of its applicability in real \nworld.	271	78.55%
Teachers' control & discipline in Lab, \npunctuality and regularity in conducting \npracticals	274	79.42%
Adequacy and clarity in illustration	274	77.18%
Experimental set-ups are operational & well \nmaintained	271	78.55%
Explaining the difficulties raised by the \nstudents	269	76.86%
Promptness in assessment of submissions	272	78.84%
Use of modern tools, hardware & simulation \nsoftware etc.	276	78.86%
Motivation to explore, apply and develop \nnew applications	285	81.43%
Total Feedback	3035	79.04%

Survey Details - Detailed & Summary Report

Survey: 23-24 ODD V Sem DA Lab FB-I	Survey Type: Fresh Survey
Survey For: Student Satisfactory	Program: B.Tech.Artificial Intelligence & Data Science in Artificial Intelligence & Data Science

Detailed Report



1. Effectiveness of Pre-Lab sessions	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	34	170	47.89%
2. Very Good	15	60	21.13%
3. Good	19	57	26.76%
4. Average	2	4	2.82%
5. Poor	1	1	1.41%
Total	71	292	82.25%

2. In depth understanding of Experiments/Practicals	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	27	135	38.03%
2. Very Good	19	76	26.76%
3. Good	20	60	28.17%
4. Average	3	6	4.23%
5. Poor	2	2	2.82%
Total	71	279	78.59%

3. Ability to reach conclusion based upon observations and interpret results	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	29	145	42.03%
2. Very Good	14	56	20.29%
3. Good	21	63	30.43%
4. Average	3	6	4.35%
5. Poor	2	2	2.90%
Total	69	272	78.84%

4. Ability to co-relate theory with the practical and realization of its applicability in real world.	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	28	140	40.58%
2. Very Good	20	80	28.99%
3. Good	13	39	18.84%
4. Average	4	8	5.80%
5. Poor	4	4	5.80%
Total	69	271	78.55%

5. Teachers' control & discipline in Lab, punctuality and regularity in conducting practicals	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	28	140	40.58%
2. Very Good	17	68	24.64%
3. Good	20	60	28.99%
4. Average	2	4	2.90%
5. Poor	2	2	2.90%
Total	69	274	79.42%

6. Adequacy and clarity in illustration	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	27	135	38.03%
2. Very Good	18	72	25.35%
3. Good	18	54	25.35%
4. Average	5	10	7.04%
5. Poor	3	3	4.23%
Total	71	274	77.18%

7. Experimental set-ups are operational & well maintained	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	29	145	42.03%
2. Very Good	14	56	20.29%

7. Experimental set-ups are operational & well maintained	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
3. Good	20	60	28.99%
4. Average	4	8	5.80%
5. Poor	2	2	2.90%
Total	69	271	78.55%

8. Explaining the difficulties raised by the students	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	26	130	37.14%
2. Very Good	16	64	22.86%
3. Good	22	66	31.43%
4. Average	3	6	4.29%
5. Poor	3	3	4.29%
Total	70	269	76.86%

9. Promptness in assessment of submissions	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	28	140	40.58%
2. Very Good	17	68	24.64%
3. Good	19	57	27.54%
4. Average	2	4	2.90%
5. Poor	3	3	4.35%
Total	69	272	78.84%

10. Use of modern tools, hardware & simulation software etc.	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	31	155	44.29%
2. Very Good	13	52	18.57%
3. Good	20	60	28.57%
4. Average	3	6	4.29%
5. Poor	3	3	4.29%
Total	70	276	78.86%

11. Motivation to explore, apply and develop new applications	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	33	165	47.14%
2. Very Good	14	56	20.00%
3. Good	19	57	27.14%
4. Average	3	6	4.29%
5. Poor	1	1	1.43%
Total	70	285	81.43%

12. Remarks (If any)
No data

Comments:

Sl No.	Stakeholder	Remarks
1	Response 1	-

SI No.	Stakeholder	Remarks
2	Response 2	good
3	Response 3	No
4	Response 4	Nothing
5	Response 5	.
6	Response 6	Okok
7	Response 7	H
8	Response 8	No
9	Response 9	.
10	Response 10	good
11	Response 11	Good
12	Response 12	Ok
13	Response 13	NA
14	Response 14	GOOD
15	Response 15	.
16	Response 16	Worst teacher
17	Response 17	Na
18	Response 18	.
19	Response 19	Satisfied
20	Response 20	Satisfactory
21	Response 21	N
22	Response 22	--
23	Response 23	Excellent
24	Response 24	.
25	Response 25	.
26	Response 26	N
27	Response 27	Overall good
28	Response 28	Satisfied
29	Response 29	---
30	Response 30	.
31	Response 31	*
32	Response 32	.
33	Response 33	..
34	Response 34	Satisfied
35	Response 35	Good
36	Response 36	—
37	Response 37	Take more practicals

SI No.	Stakeholder	Remarks
38	Response 38	/n
39	Response 39	No
40	Response 40	N
41	Response 41	Good
42	Response 42	NA
43	Response 43	..
44	Response 44	.
45	Response 45	\n
46	Response 46	P
47	Response 47	None
48	Response 48	Good
49	Response 49
50	Response 50	None
51	Response 51	No Comments
52	Response 52	...
53	Response 53	good
54	Response 54	.
55	Response 55	None
56	Response 56	..
57	Response 57	Delivery of contents is good
58	Response 58	.
59	Response 59	nil
60	Response 60	.
61	Response 61	GOOD
62	Response 62	No
63	Response 63	Good
64	Response 64	Good
65	Response 65	No
66	Response 66	-
67	Response 67	/N
68	Response 68	.
69	Response 69	.

Summary Report


Number of people Responded / Total number of Responders is **69 / 69** People.

Questions	Total Response Count	Weighted Response Percent
Effectiveness of Pre-Lab sessions	292	82.25%
In depth understanding of \nExperiments/Practicals	279	78.59%
Ability to reach conclusion based upon \nobservations and interpret results	272	78.84%
Ability to co-relate theory with the practical \nand realization of its applicability in real \nworld.	271	78.55%
Teachers' control & discipline in Lab, \npunctuality and regularity in conducting \npracticals	274	79.42%
Adequacy and clarity in illustration	274	77.18%
Experimental set-ups are operational & well \nmaintained	271	78.55%
Explaining the difficulties raised by the \nstudents	269	76.86%
Promptness in assessment of submissions	272	78.84%
Use of modern tools, hardware & simulation \nsoftware etc.	276	78.86%
Motivation to explore, apply and develop \nnew applications	285	81.43%
Total Feedback	3035	79.04%

Survey Details - Detailed & Summary Report

Survey: 23-24 ODD V Sem DAA FB-I	Survey Type: Fresh Survey
Survey For: Student Satisfactory	Program: B.Tech.Artificial Intelligence & Data Science in Artificial Intelligence & Data Science

Detailed Report



1. Understanding of fundamental concepts	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	21	105	30.43%
2. Very Good	21	84	30.43%
3. Good	18	54	26.09%
4. Average	5	10	7.25%
5. Poor	4	4	5.80%
Total	69	257	74.49%

2. Your ability to analyze engineering problems and \nprovide/ design solutions wherever possible.	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	23	115	33.33%
2. Very Good	13	52	18.84%
3. Good	24	72	34.78%
4. Average	6	12	8.70%
5. Poor	3	3	4.35%
Total	69	254	73.62%

3. Your applicability of taught concepts in Activity \nbased learning/ skill set training	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	23	115	33.33%
2. Very Good	17	68	24.64%
3. Good	17	51	24.64%
4. Average	5	10	7.25%
5. Poor	7	7	10.14%
Total	69	251	72.75%

4. Exposure to modern tools viz. softwares, \nsimulations, etc. and use of demo models.\n	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	22	110	31.88%
2. Very Good	17	68	24.64%
3. Good	19	57	27.54%
4. Average	6	12	8.70%
5. Poor	5	5	7.25%
Total	69	252	73.04%

5. Involvement in Industry related activity\n	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	19	95	27.14%
2. Very Good	17	68	24.29%
3. Good	21	63	30.00%
4. Average	4	8	5.71%
5. Poor	9	9	12.86%
Total	70	243	69.43%

6. Ability to solve Practice sheets independently	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	17	85	23.94%
2. Very Good	17	68	23.94%
3. Good	24	72	33.80%
4. Average	9	18	12.68%
5. Poor	4	4	5.63%
Total	71	247	69.58%

7. Adequate coverage of syllabus	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	17	85	24.64%
2. Very Good	19	76	27.54%

7. Adequate coverage of syllabus	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
3. Good	24	72	34.78%
4. Average	5	10	7.25%
5. Poor	4	4	5.80%
Total	69	247	71.59%

8. Teacher's Class control & discipline, punctuality \nand regularity in conducting classes	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	24	120	34.29%
2. Very Good	19	76	27.14%
3. Good	18	54	25.71%
4. Average	6	12	8.57%
5. Poor	3	3	4.29%
Total	70	265	75.71%

9. Use of LCD Projector, multimedia, animations, \ngraphics, audio-visual contents etc. in teaching	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	26	130	37.68%
2. Very Good	18	72	26.09%
3. Good	16	48	23.19%
4. Average	7	14	10.14%
5. Poor	2	2	2.90%
Total	69	266	77.10%

10. Teacher's encouragement to interactios	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	25	125	36.23%
2. Very Good	17	68	24.64%
3. Good	18	54	26.09%
4. Average	7	14	10.14%
5. Poor	2	2	2.90%
Total	69	263	76.23%

11. Teacher's accessibility to students for solving \nquiries and problems.	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	23	115	32.86%
2. Very Good	20	80	28.57%
3. Good	19	57	27.14%
4. Average	6	12	8.57%
5. Poor	2	2	2.86%
Total	70	266	76.00%

12. Remarks (if any)\n
No data

Comments:

SI No.	Stakeholder	Remarks
1	Response 1	-
2	Response 2	good
3	Response 3	No
4	Response 4	.
5	Response 5	G
6	Response 6	Nothing
7	Response 7	No comment
8	Response 8	good
9	Response 9	.
10	Response 10	No
11	Response 11	Ok
12	Response 12	Ok
13	Response 13	NA
14	Response 14	GOOD
15	Response 15	.
16	Response 16	Can't understanding teaching .Need to improve.
17	Response 17	Na
18	Response 18	.
19	Response 19	Satisfied
20	Response 20	Satisfactory
21	Response 21	N
22	Response 22	--
23	Response 23	Excellent
24	Response 24	.
25	Response 25	.
26	Response 26	.
27	Response 27	N
28	Response 28	Satisfied
29	Response 29	---
30	Response 30	***
31	Response 31	.
32	Response 32	Overall good
33	Response 33	Yes
34	Response 34	.
35	Response 35	Satisfied
36	Response 36	Good

SI No.	Stakeholder	Remarks
37	Response 37	---
38	Response 38
39	Response 39	/n
40	Response 40	No
41	Response 41	N
42	Response 42	No
43	Response 43	Na
44	Response 44	...
45	Response 45	\n
46	Response 46	.
47	Response 47	K
48	Response 48	None
49	Response 49	good
50	Response 50	...
51	Response 51	None
52	Response 52	Should Teach in understanding way....Not just read and tell the students that you understand or not
53	Response 53
54	Response 54	good
55	Response 55	.
56	Response 56	None
57	Response 57
58	Response 58	Need more perfection in theory
59	Response 59	.
60	Response 60	nil
61	Response 61	.
62	Response 62	NA
63	Response 63	No
64	Response 64	Good
65	Response 65	Good
66	Response 66	No
67	Response 67	-
68	Response 68	/n
69	Response 69	.

Summary Report

Number of people Responded / Total number of Responders is **69 / 69** People.

Questions	Total Response Count	Weighted Response Percent
Understanding of fundamental concepts	257	74.49%
Your ability to analyze engineering problems and \nprovide/ design solutions wherever possible.	254	73.62%
Your applicability of taught concepts in Activity \nbased learning/ skill set training	251	72.75%
Exposure to modern tools viz. softwares, \nsimulations, etc. and use of demo models.\n	252	73.04%
Involvement in Industry related activity\n	243	69.43%
Ability to solve Practice sheets independently	247	69.58%
Adequate coverage of syllabus	247	71.59%
Teacher's Class control & discipline, punctuality \nand regularity in conducting classes	265	75.71%
Use of LCD Projector, multimedia, animations, \ngraphics, audio-visual contents etc. in teaching	266	77.10%
Teacher's encouragement to interactios	263	76.23%
Teacher's accessibility to students for solving \nqueries and problems.	266	76.00%
Total Feedback	2811	73.59%

Survey Details - Detailed & Summary Report

Survey: 23-24 ODD V Sem DAA Lab FB-I	Survey Type: Fresh Survey
Survey For: Student Satisfactory	Program: B.Tech.Artificial Intelligence & Data Science in Artificial Intelligence & Data Science

Detailed Report



1. Effectiveness of Pre-Lab sessions	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	26	130	36.62%
2. Very Good	16	64	22.54%
3. Good	20	60	28.17%
4. Average	5	10	7.04%
5. Poor	4	4	5.63%
Total	71	268	75.49%

2. In depth understanding of Experiments/Practicals	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	23	115	32.86%
2. Very Good	16	64	22.86%
3. Good	21	63	30.00%
4. Average	6	12	8.57%
5. Poor	4	4	5.71%
Total	70	258	73.71%

3. Ability to reach conclusion based upon observations and interpret results	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	28	140	40.58%
2. Very Good	14	56	20.29%
3. Good	19	57	27.54%
4. Average	5	10	7.25%
5. Poor	3	3	4.35%
Total	69	266	77.10%

4. Ability to co-relate theory with the practical and realization of its applicability in real world.	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	25	125	36.23%
2. Very Good	12	48	17.39%
3. Good	24	72	34.78%
4. Average	5	10	7.25%
5. Poor	3	3	4.35%
Total	69	258	74.78%

5. Teachers' control & discipline in Lab, punctuality and regularity in conducting practicals	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	25	125	36.23%
2. Very Good	15	60	21.74%
3. Good	19	57	27.54%
4. Average	6	12	8.70%
5. Poor	4	4	5.80%
Total	69	258	74.78%

6. Adequacy and clarity in illustration	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	20	100	28.99%
2. Very Good	16	64	23.19%
3. Good	20	60	28.99%
4. Average	6	12	8.70%
5. Poor	7	7	10.14%
Total	69	243	70.43%

7. Experimental set-ups are operational & well maintained	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	28	140	40.00%
2. Very Good	13	52	18.57%

7. Experimental set-ups are operational & well maintained	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
3. Good	18	54	25.71%
4. Average	7	14	10.00%
5. Poor	4	4	5.71%
Total	70	264	75.43%

8. Explaining the difficulties raised by the students	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	21	105	30.00%
2. Very Good	15	60	21.43%
3. Good	26	78	37.14%
4. Average	4	8	5.71%
5. Poor	4	4	5.71%
Total	70	255	72.86%

9. Promptness in assessment of submissions	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	22	110	31.88%
2. Very Good	14	56	20.29%
3. Good	25	75	36.23%
4. Average	5	10	7.25%
5. Poor	3	3	4.35%
Total	69	254	73.62%

10. Use of modern tools, hardware & simulation software etc.	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	23	115	33.33%
2. Very Good	10	40	14.49%
3. Good	26	78	37.68%
4. Average	5	10	7.25%
5. Poor	5	5	7.25%
Total	69	248	71.88%

11. Motivation to explore, apply and develop new applications	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	25	125	36.23%
2. Very Good	13	52	18.84%
3. Good	22	66	31.88%
4. Average	6	12	8.70%
5. Poor	3	3	4.35%
Total	69	258	74.78%

12. Remarks (If any)
No data

Comments:

Sl No.	Stakeholder	Remarks
1	Response 1	-

SI No.	Stakeholder	Remarks
2	Response 2	excellent
3	Response 3	No
4	Response 4	.
5	Response 5	H
6	Response 6	good
7	Response 7	No feedback
8	Response 8	.
9	Response 9	Nothing to say
10	Response 10	No
11	Response 11	Good
12	Response 12	Ok
13	Response 13	NA
14	Response 14	GOOD
15	Response 15	.
16	Response 16	Not good in teaching
17	Response 17	.
18	Response 18	Satisfied
19	Response 19	Satisfactory
20	Response 20	N
21	Response 21	--
22	Response 22	Excellent
23	Response 23	.
24	Response 24	.
25	Response 25	Satisfied
26	Response 26	N
27	Response 27	---
28	Response 28	*
29	Response 29	Overall good
30	Response 30	.
31	Response 31	..
32	Response 32	.
33	Response 33	Satisfied
34	Response 34	Good
35	Response 35	---
36	Response 36
37	Response 37	/n

SI No.	Stakeholder	Remarks
38	Response 38	No
39	Response 39	N
40	Response 40	Good
41	Response 41	NA
42	Response 42	.
43	Response 43	.
44	Response 44	\n
45	Response 45	9
46	Response 46	None
47	Response 47	Good
48	Response 48	...
49	Response 49	None
50	Response 50	Sometimes it,s ok
51	Response 51	..
52	Response 52	good
53	Response 53	.
54	Response 54	Na
55	Response 55	None
56	Response 56	..
57	Response 57
58	Response 58	.
59	Response 59	nil
60	Response 60	.
61	Response 61	NA
62	Response 62	No
63	Response 63	Good
64	Response 64	Good
65	Response 65	No
66	Response 66	-
67	Response 67	/N
68	Response 68	.
69	Response 69	.

Summary Report

Number of people Responded / Total number of Responders is **69 / 69** People.

Questions	Total Response Count	Weighted Response Percent
Effectiveness of Pre-Lab sessions	268	75.49%
In depth understanding of \nExperiments/Practicals	258	73.71%
Ability to reach conclusion based upon \nobservations and interpret results	266	77.10%
Ability to co-relate theory with the practical \nand realization of its applicability in real \nworld.	258	74.78%
Teachers' control & discipline in Lab, \npunctuality and regularity in conducting \npracticals	258	74.78%
Adequacy and clarity in illustration	243	70.43%
Experimental set-ups are operational & well \nmaintained	264	75.43%
Explaining the difficulties raised by the \nstudents	255	72.86%
Promptness in assessment of submissions	254	73.62%
Use of modern tools, hardware & simulation \nsoftware etc.	248	71.88%
Motivation to explore, apply and develop \nnew applications	258	74.78%
Total Feedback	2830	74.08%

Survey Details - Detailed & Summary Report

Survey: 23-24 ODD V Sem EFE FB-I	Survey Type: Fresh Survey
Survey For: Student Satisfactory	Program: B.Tech.Artificial Intelligence & Data Science in Artificial Intelligence & Data Science

Detailed Report



1. Understanding of fundamental concepts	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	21	105	30.00%
2. Very Good	11	44	15.71%
3. Good	29	87	41.43%
4. Average	5	10	7.14%
5. Poor	4	4	5.71%
Total	70	250	71.43%

2. Your ability to analyze engineering problems and \nprovide/ design solutions wherever possible.	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	27	135	39.13%
2. Very Good	7	28	10.14%
3. Good	25	75	36.23%
4. Average	6	12	8.70%
5. Poor	4	4	5.80%
Total	69	254	73.62%

3. Your applicability of taught concepts in Activity \nbased learning/ skill set training	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	21	105	30.43%
2. Very Good	10	40	14.49%
3. Good	28	84	40.58%
4. Average	8	16	11.59%
5. Poor	2	2	2.90%
Total	69	247	71.59%

4. Exposure to modern tools viz. softwares, \nsimulations, etc. and use of demo models.\n	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	24	120	34.78%
2. Very Good	9	36	13.04%
3. Good	25	75	36.23%
4. Average	7	14	10.14%
5. Poor	4	4	5.80%
Total	69	249	72.17%

5. Involvement in Industry related activity\n	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	20	100	28.99%
2. Very Good	11	44	15.94%
3. Good	23	69	33.33%
4. Average	9	18	13.04%
5. Poor	6	6	8.70%
Total	69	237	68.70%

6. Ability to solve Practice sheets independently	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	20	100	28.99%
2. Very Good	15	60	21.74%
3. Good	25	75	36.23%
4. Average	6	12	8.70%
5. Poor	3	3	4.35%
Total	69	250	72.46%

7. Adequate coverage of syllabus	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	18	90	25.71%
2. Very Good	14	56	20.00%

7. Adequate coverage of syllabus	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
3. Good	25	75	35.71%
4. Average	8	16	11.43%
5. Poor	5	5	7.14%
Total	70	242	69.14%

8. Teacher's Class control & discipline, punctuality \nand regularity in conducting classes	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	19	95	27.14%
2. Very Good	13	52	18.57%
3. Good	25	75	35.71%
4. Average	8	16	11.43%
5. Poor	5	5	7.14%
Total	70	243	69.43%

9. Use of LCD Projector, multimedia, animations, \ngraphics, audio-visual contents etc. in teaching	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	19	95	27.54%
2. Very Good	17	68	24.64%
3. Good	21	63	30.43%
4. Average	7	14	10.14%
5. Poor	5	5	7.25%
Total	69	245	71.01%

10. Teacher's encouragement to interactios	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	21	105	30.43%
2. Very Good	16	64	23.19%
3. Good	21	63	30.43%
4. Average	8	16	11.59%
5. Poor	3	3	4.35%
Total	69	251	72.75%

11. Teacher's accessibility to students for solving \nquiries and problems.	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	22	110	31.88%
2. Very Good	13	52	18.84%
3. Good	25	75	36.23%
4. Average	6	12	8.70%
5. Poor	3	3	4.35%
Total	69	252	73.04%

12. Remarks (if any)\n
No data

Comments:

SI No.	Stakeholder	Remarks
1	Response 1	-
2	Response 2	No
3	Response 3	good
4	Response 4	H
5	Response 5	good
6	Response 6	.
7	Response 7	Excellent
8	Response 8	Fine
9	Response 9	.
10	Response 10	Ok
11	Response 11	Ok
12	Response 12	No
13	Response 13	GOOD
14	Response 14	NA
15	Response 15	.
16	Response 16	Not good in teaching students with interactive way . Need improvement.
17	Response 17	.
18	Response 18	Satisfied
19	Response 19	N
20	Response 20	Satisfactory
21	Response 21	--
22	Response 22	Satisfied
23	Response 23	Excellent
24	Response 24	.
25	Response 25	.
26	Response 26	N
27	Response 27	Good overall
28	Response 28	.
29	Response 29	.
30	Response 30	.
31	Response 31	*
32	Response 32	--
33	Response 33	..
34	Response 34	.
35	Response 35	Satisfied
36	Response 36	Good

SI No.	Stakeholder	Remarks
37	Response 37	_____
38	Response 38
39	Response 39	/n
40	Response 40	N
41	Response 41	No
42	Response 42	Good
43	Response 43	NA
44	Response 44	...
45	Response 45	.
46	Response 46	\n
47	Response 47	I
48	Response 48	None
49	Response 49	Good
50	Response 50	...
51	Response 51	None
52	Response 52	Sound doesn't reach to us
53	Response 53	...
54	Response 54	poor
55	Response 55	.
56	Response 56	Na
57	Response 57	None
58	Response 58	.
59	Response 59
60	Response 60	.
61	Response 61	nil
62	Response 62	.
63	Response 63	NA
64	Response 64	No
65	Response 65	The disturbance is unavoidable
66	Response 66	Good
67	Response 67	No
68	Response 68	-
69	Response 69	/N

Summary Report


Number of people Responded / Total number of Responders is **69 / 69** People.

Questions	Total Response Count	Weighted Response Percent
Understanding of fundamental concepts	250	71.43%
Your ability to analyze engineering problems and \nprovide/ design solutions wherever possible.	254	73.62%
Your applicability of taught concepts in Activity \nbased learning/ skill set training	247	71.59%
Exposure to modern tools viz. softwares, \nsimulations, etc. and use of demo models.\n	249	72.17%
Involvement in Industry related activity\n	237	68.70%
Ability to solve Practice sheets independently	250	72.46%
Adequate coverage of syllabus	242	69.14%
Teacher's Class control & discipline, punctuality \nand regularity in conducting classes	243	69.43%
Use of LCD Projector, multimedia, animations, \ngraphics, audio-visual contents etc. in teaching	245	71.01%
Teacher's encouragement to interactios	251	72.75%
Teacher's accessibility to students for solving \nqueries and problems.	252	73.04%
Total Feedback	2720	71.39%

Survey Details - Detailed & Summary Report

Survey: 23-24 ODD V Sem SEPM FB-I	Survey Type: Fresh Survey
Survey For: Student Satisfactory	Program: B.Tech.Artificial Intelligence & Data Science in Artificial Intelligence & Data Science

Detailed Report



1. Understanding of fundamental concepts	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	19	95	27.54%
2. Very Good	13	52	18.84%
3. Good	24	72	34.78%
4. Average	9	18	13.04%
5. Poor	4	4	5.80%
Total	69	241	69.86%

2. Your ability to analyze engineering problems and \nprovide/ design solutions wherever possible.	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	21	105	30.43%
2. Very Good	11	44	15.94%
3. Good	25	75	36.23%
4. Average	7	14	10.14%
5. Poor	5	5	7.25%
Total	69	243	70.43%

3. Your applicability of taught concepts in Activity \nbased learning/ skill set training	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	20	100	28.99%
2. Very Good	12	48	17.39%
3. Good	24	72	34.78%
4. Average	7	14	10.14%
5. Poor	6	6	8.70%
Total	69	240	69.57%

4. Exposure to modern tools viz. softwares, \nsimulations, etc. and use of demo models.\n	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	20	100	28.57%
2. Very Good	11	44	15.71%
3. Good	25	75	35.71%
4. Average	9	18	12.86%
5. Poor	5	5	7.14%
Total	70	242	69.14%

5. Involvement in Industry related activity\n	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	16	80	22.86%
2. Very Good	14	56	20.00%
3. Good	26	78	37.14%
4. Average	8	16	11.43%
5. Poor	6	6	8.57%
Total	70	236	67.43%

6. Ability to solve Practice sheets independently	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	20	100	28.99%
2. Very Good	9	36	13.04%
3. Good	26	78	37.68%
4. Average	11	22	15.94%
5. Poor	3	3	4.35%
Total	69	239	69.28%

7. Adequate coverage of syllabus	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	20	100	28.99%
2. Very Good	14	56	20.29%

7. Adequate coverage of syllabus	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
3. Good	22	66	31.88%
4. Average	9	18	13.04%
5. Poor	4	4	5.80%
Total	69	244	70.72%

8. Teacher's Class control & discipline, punctuality \nand regularity in conducting classes	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	20	100	28.99%
2. Very Good	11	44	15.94%
3. Good	25	75	36.23%
4. Average	8	16	11.59%
5. Poor	5	5	7.25%
Total	69	240	69.57%

9. Use of LCD Projector, multimedia, animations, \ngraphics, audio-visual contents etc. in teaching	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	20	100	28.99%
2. Very Good	12	48	17.39%
3. Good	23	69	33.33%
4. Average	9	18	13.04%
5. Poor	5	5	7.25%
Total	69	240	69.57%

10. Teacher's encouragement to interactios	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	19	95	27.54%
2. Very Good	10	40	14.49%
3. Good	25	75	36.23%
4. Average	9	18	13.04%
5. Poor	6	6	8.70%
Total	69	234	67.83%

11. Teacher's accessibility to students for solving \nqueries and problems.	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	19	95	27.54%
2. Very Good	14	56	20.29%
3. Good	22	66	31.88%
4. Average	8	16	11.59%
5. Poor	6	6	8.70%
Total	69	239	69.28%

12. Remarks (if any)\n
No data

Comments:

SI No.	Stakeholder	Remarks
1	Response 1	-
2	Response 2	good
3	Response 3	No
4	Response 4	H
5	Response 5	.
6	Response 6	Nothing to say
7	Response 7	Can't say
8	Response 8	.
9	Response 9	good
10	Response 10	Ok
11	Response 11	Ok
12	Response 12	No
13	Response 13	GOOD
14	Response 14	NA
15	Response 15	.
16	Response 16	Need to improve more in teaching.
17	Response 17	Satisfied
18	Response 18	.
19	Response 19	Satisfied
20	Response 20	N
21	Response 21	Satisfactory
22	Response 22	.
23	Response 23	.
24	Response 24	Excellent
25	Response 25	.
26	Response 26	Overall good
27	Response 27	--
28	Response 28	.
29	Response 29	N
30	Response 30	.
31	Response 31	***
32	Response 32	---
33	Response 33	.
34	Response 34	Good
35	Response 35	Satisfied
36	Response 36	Good

SI No.	Stakeholder	Remarks
37	Response 37	_____
38	Response 38	Maam should tell the uses of the diagram we make in practical,
39	Response 39	/n
40	Response 40	N
41	Response 41	No
42	Response 42	Good
43	Response 43	NA
44	Response 44	...
45	Response 45	.
46	Response 46	\n
47	Response 47	Js
48	Response 48	None
49	Response 49	Good
50	Response 50	'''
51	Response 51	None
52	Response 52	Teacher just tell to read the students and expect the proper explanation from them....even if the teacher doesn't explain it properly...teacher just read it like book and explain only those sentences in other way like turn and twist...means we can't get the proper concept of them...
53	Response 53	...
54	Response 54	poor
55	Response 55	.
56	Response 56	None
57	Response 57	Na
58	Response 58	..
59	Response 59
60	Response 60	.
61	Response 61	nil
62	Response 62	.
63	Response 63	NA
64	Response 64	Nothing
65	Response 65	No
66	Response 66	Good
67	Response 67	Nope
68	Response 68	-
69	Response 69	/N

Summary Report

Number of people Responded / Total number of Responders is **69 / 69** People.

Questions	Total Response Count	Weighted Response Percent
Understanding of fundamental concepts	241	69.86%
Your ability to analyze engineering problems and \nprovide/ design solutions wherever possible.	243	70.43%
Your applicability of taught concepts in Activity \nbased learning/ skill set training	240	69.57%
Exposure to modern tools viz. softwares, \nsimulations, etc. and use of demo models.\n	242	69.14%
Involvement in Industry related activity\n	236	67.43%
Ability to solve Practice sheets independently	239	69.28%
Adequate coverage of syllabus	244	70.72%
Teacher's Class control & discipline, punctuality \nand regularity in conducting classes	240	69.57%
Use of LCD Projector, multimedia, animations, \ngraphics, audio-visual contents etc. in teaching	240	69.57%
Teacher's encouragement to interactios	234	67.83%
Teacher's accessibility to students for solving \nqueries and problems.	239	69.28%
Total Feedback	2638	69.33%

Survey Details - Detailed & Summary Report

Survey: 23-24 ODD V Sem SEPM Lab FB-I	Survey Type: Fresh Survey
Survey For: Student Satisfactory	Program: B.Tech.Artificial Intelligence & Data Science in Artificial Intelligence & Data Science

Detailed Report



1. Effectiveness of Pre-Lab sessions	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	18	90	25.35%
2. Very Good	18	72	25.35%
3. Good	22	66	30.99%
4. Average	7	14	9.86%
5. Poor	6	6	8.45%
Total	71	248	69.86%

2. In depth understanding of \nExperiments/Practicals	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	21	105	30.00%
2. Very Good	12	48	17.14%
3. Good	24	72	34.29%
4. Average	8	16	11.43%
5. Poor	5	5	7.14%
Total	70	246	70.29%

3. Ability to reach conclusion based upon \nobservations and interpret results	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	18	90	26.09%
2. Very Good	17	68	24.64%
3. Good	23	69	33.33%
4. Average	7	14	10.14%
5. Poor	4	4	5.80%
Total	69	245	71.01%

4. Ability to co-relate theory with the practical \nand realization of its applicability in real \nworld.	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	22	110	31.88%
2. Very Good	13	52	18.84%
3. Good	23	69	33.33%
4. Average	7	14	10.14%
5. Poor	4	4	5.80%
Total	69	249	72.17%

5. Teachers' control & discipline in Lab, \npunctuality and regularity in conducting \npracticals	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	21	105	30.43%
2. Very Good	16	64	23.19%
3. Good	23	69	33.33%
4. Average	5	10	7.25%
5. Poor	4	4	5.80%
Total	69	252	73.04%

6. Adequacy and clarity in illustration	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	15	75	21.74%
2. Very Good	18	72	26.09%
3. Good	25	75	36.23%
4. Average	6	12	8.70%
5. Poor	5	5	7.25%
Total	69	239	69.28%

7. Experimental set-ups are operational & well \nmaintained	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	19	95	26.76%
2. Very Good	11	44	15.49%

7. Experimental set-ups are operational & well maintained	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
3. Good	30	90	42.25%
4. Average	9	18	12.68%
5. Poor	2	2	2.82%
Total	71	249	70.14%

8. Explaining the difficulties raised by the students	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	24	120	34.29%
2. Very Good	11	44	15.71%
3. Good	22	66	31.43%
4. Average	7	14	10.00%
5. Poor	6	6	8.57%
Total	70	250	71.43%

9. Promptness in assessment of submissions	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	20	100	28.99%
2. Very Good	8	32	11.59%
3. Good	29	87	42.03%
4. Average	9	18	13.04%
5. Poor	3	3	4.35%
Total	69	240	69.57%

10. Use of modern tools, hardware & simulation software etc.	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	22	110	31.88%
2. Very Good	10	40	14.49%
3. Good	25	75	36.23%
4. Average	8	16	11.59%
5. Poor	4	4	5.80%
Total	69	245	71.01%

11. Motivation to explore, apply and develop new applications	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	20	100	28.99%
2. Very Good	15	60	21.74%
3. Good	24	72	34.78%
4. Average	5	10	7.25%
5. Poor	5	5	7.25%
Total	69	247	71.59%

12. Remarks (If any)
No data

Comments:

Sl No.	Stakeholder	Remarks
1	Response 1	-

SI No.	Stakeholder	Remarks
2	Response 2	good
3	Response 3	No
4	Response 4	G
5	Response 5	Nothing to do
6	Response 6	.
7	Response 7	Can't say
8	Response 8	.
9	Response 9	good
10	Response 10	Good
11	Response 11	Ok
12	Response 12	GOOD
13	Response 13	No
14	Response 14	No
15	Response 15	.
16	Response 16	Need more improvement in teaching.
17	Response 17	Satisfied
18	Response 18	.
19	Response 19	.
20	Response 20	Satisfied
21	Response 21	N
22	Response 22	Satisfactory
23	Response 23	Excellent
24	Response 24	--
25	Response 25	.
26	Response 26	.
27	Response 27	.
28	Response 28	Overall good
29	Response 29	N
30	Response 30	.
31	Response 31	----
32	Response 32	**
33	Response 33	.
34	Response 34	..
35	Response 35	Satisfied
36	Response 36	Good
37	Response 37	_____

SI No.	Stakeholder	Remarks
38	Response 38	maam should tell the diagram and usecases we should and what is need for that particular practical
39	Response 39	/n
40	Response 40	N
41	Response 41	No
42	Response 42	Good
43	Response 43	NA
44	Response 44	...
45	Response 45	.
46	Response 46	\n
47	Response 47	I
48	Response 48	None
49	Response 49	Good
50	Response 50
51	Response 51	None
52	Response 52	I Think Teacher Should explain the student how to use that software because it is something new to student
53	Response 53	...
54	Response 54	Average
55	Response 55	.
56	Response 56	None
57	Response 57	Na
58	Response 58	.
59	Response 59
60	Response 60	.
61	Response 61	nil
62	Response 62	.
63	Response 63	NA
64	Response 64	Nothing
65	Response 65	No
66	Response 66	Good
67	Response 67	No
68	Response 68	-
69	Response 69	/n

Summary Report


Number of people Responded / Total number of Responders is **69 / 69** People.

Questions	Total Response Count	Weighted Response Percent
Effectiveness of Pre-Lab sessions	248	69.86%
In depth understanding of \nExperiments/Practicals	246	70.29%
Ability to reach conclusion based upon \nobservations and interpret results	245	71.01%
Ability to co-relate theory with the practical \nand realization of its applicability in real \nworld.	249	72.17%
Teachers' control & discipline in Lab, \npunctuality and regularity in conducting \npracticals	252	73.04%
Adequacy and clarity in illustration	239	69.28%
Experimental set-ups are operational & well \nmaintained	249	70.14%
Explaining the difficulties raised by the \nstudents	250	71.43%
Promptness in assessment of submissions	240	69.57%
Use of modern tools, hardware & simulation \nsoftware etc.	245	71.01%
Motivation to explore, apply and develop \nnew applications	247	71.59%
Total Feedback	2710	70.85%

Survey Details - Detailed & Summary Report

Survey: 23-24 ODD V Sem SS-II FB-I	Survey Type: Fresh Survey
Survey For: Student Satisfactory	Program: B.Tech.Artificial Intelligence & Data Science in Artificial Intelligence & Data Science

Detailed Report



1. In depth understanding of Practical's.	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	24	120	33.33%
2. Very Good	21	84	29.17%
3. Good	22	66	30.56%
4. Average	3	6	4.17%
5. Poor	2	2	2.78%
Total	72	278	77.22%

2. Able to differentiate between listening and active listening?	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	29	145	41.43%
2. Very Good	23	92	32.86%
3. Good	14	42	20.00%
4. Average	2	4	2.86%
5. Poor	2	2	2.86%
Total	70	285	81.43%

3. Availability of course material as per the syllabus.	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	28	140	38.36%
2. Very Good	23	92	31.51%
3. Good	17	51	23.29%
4. Average	2	4	2.74%
5. Poor	3	3	4.11%
Total	73	290	79.45%

4. Your applicability of taught concepts in Activity based learning/skill set training.	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	30	150	42.86%
2. Very Good	19	76	27.14%
3. Good	18	54	25.71%
4. Average	2	4	2.86%
5. Poor	1	1	1.43%
Total	70	285	81.43%

5. Teachers' control, punctuality and regularity in conducting practical's.	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	24	120	34.29%
2. Very Good	24	96	34.29%
3. Good	16	48	22.86%
4. Average	2	4	2.86%
5. Poor	4	4	5.71%
Total	70	272	77.71%

6. Adequacy and clarity in illustrations.	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	25	125	35.71%
2. Very Good	19	76	27.14%
3. Good	22	66	31.43%
4. Average	3	6	4.29%
5. Poor	1	1	1.43%
Total	70	274	78.29%

7. Adequate coverage of the syllabus.	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	27	135	38.57%
2. Very Good	22	88	31.43%

7. Adequate coverage of the syllabus.	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
3. Good	18	54	25.71%
4. Average	2	4	2.86%
5. Poor	1	1	1.43%
Total	70	282	80.57%

8. Explaining the difficulties raised by the students.	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	24	120	33.80%
2. Very Good	20	80	28.17%
3. Good	22	66	30.99%
4. Average	3	6	4.23%
5. Poor	2	2	2.82%
Total	71	274	77.18%

9. Use of LCD Projector, multimedia, animations, graphics, audio-visual contents, software's etc. in teaching.	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	25	125	35.71%
2. Very Good	22	88	31.43%
3. Good	18	54	25.71%
4. Average	2	4	2.86%
5. Poor	3	3	4.29%
Total	70	274	78.29%

10. Teacher's encouragement in interaction.	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	31	155	44.29%
2. Very Good	18	72	25.71%
3. Good	17	51	24.29%
4. Average	3	6	4.29%
5. Poor	1	1	1.43%
Total	70	285	81.43%

Summary Report

Number of people Responded / Total number of Responders is **69 / 69** People.

Questions	Total Response Count	Weighted Response Percent
In depth understanding of Practical's.	278	77.22%
Able to differentiate between listening and active listening?	285	81.43%
Availability of course material as per the syllabus.	290	79.45%
Your applicability of taught concepts in Activity based learning/skill set training.	285	81.43%
Teachers' control, punctuality and regularity in conducting practical's.	272	77.71%
Adequacy and clarity in illustrations.	274	78.29%
Adequate coverage of the syllabus.	282	80.57%
Explaining the difficulties raised by the students.	274	77.18%
Use of LCD Projector, multimedia, animations, graphics, audio-visual contents, software's etc. in teaching.	274	78.29%
Teacher's encouragement in interaction.	285	81.43%
Total Feedback	2799	79.29%

Survey Details - Detailed & Summary Report

Survey: 23-24 ODD V Sem TOC FB-I	Survey Type: Fresh Survey
Survey For: Student Satisfactory	Program: B.Tech.Artificial Intelligence & Data Science in Artificial Intelligence & Data Science

Detailed Report



1. Understanding of fundamental concepts	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	20	100	28.57%
2. Very Good	15	60	21.43%
3. Good	29	87	41.43%
4. Average	2	4	2.86%
5. Poor	4	4	5.71%
Total	70	255	72.86%

2. Your ability to analyze engineering problems and \nprovide/ design solutions wherever possible.	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	21	105	30.00%
2. Very Good	14	56	20.00%
3. Good	28	84	40.00%
4. Average	3	6	4.29%
5. Poor	4	4	5.71%
Total	70	255	72.86%

3. Your applicability of taught concepts in Activity \nbased learning/ skill set training	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	19	95	27.54%
2. Very Good	15	60	21.74%
3. Good	28	84	40.58%
4. Average	3	6	4.35%
5. Poor	4	4	5.80%
Total	69	249	72.17%

4. Exposure to modern tools viz. softwares, \nsimulations, etc. and use of demo models.\n	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	20	100	28.99%
2. Very Good	16	64	23.19%
3. Good	27	81	39.13%
4. Average	3	6	4.35%
5. Poor	3	3	4.35%
Total	69	254	73.62%

5. Involvement in Industry related activity\n	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	21	105	30.00%
2. Very Good	14	56	20.00%
3. Good	31	93	44.29%
4. Average	2	4	2.86%
5. Poor	2	2	2.86%
Total	70	260	74.29%

6. Ability to solve Practice sheets independently	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	17	85	24.64%
2. Very Good	18	72	26.09%
3. Good	25	75	36.23%
4. Average	5	10	7.25%
5. Poor	4	4	5.80%
Total	69	246	71.30%

7. Adequate coverage of syllabus	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	19	95	27.54%
2. Very Good	17	68	24.64%

7. Adequate coverage of syllabus	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
3. Good	27	81	39.13%
4. Average	2	4	2.90%
5. Poor	4	4	5.80%
Total	69	252	73.04%

8. Teacher's Class control & discipline, punctuality \nand regularity in conducting classes	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	21	105	30.43%
2. Very Good	16	64	23.19%
3. Good	25	75	36.23%
4. Average	2	4	2.90%
5. Poor	5	5	7.25%
Total	69	253	73.33%

9. Use of LCD Projector, multimedia, animations, \ngraphics, audio-visual contents etc. in teaching	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	23	115	32.39%
2. Very Good	17	68	23.94%
3. Good	26	78	36.62%
4. Average	1	2	1.41%
5. Poor	4	4	5.63%
Total	71	267	75.21%

10. Teacher's encouragement to interactios	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	21	105	30.43%
2. Very Good	15	60	21.74%
3. Good	27	81	39.13%
4. Average	1	2	1.45%
5. Poor	5	5	7.25%
Total	69	253	73.33%

11. Teacher's accessibility to students for solving \nqueries and problems.	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	23	115	33.33%
2. Very Good	14	56	20.29%
3. Good	25	75	36.23%
4. Average	4	8	5.80%
5. Poor	3	3	4.35%
Total	69	257	74.49%

12. Remarks (if any)\n
No data

Comments:

SI No.	Stakeholder	Remarks
1	Response 1	-
2	Response 2	good
3	Response 3	No
4	Response 4	G
5	Response 5	Nothing to say
6	Response 6	.
7	Response 7	Can't explain
8	Response 8	good
9	Response 9	.
10	Response 10	No
11	Response 11	Good
12	Response 12	Ok
13	Response 13	GOOD
14	Response 14	No
15	Response 15	.
16	Response 16	All good
17	Response 17	Satisfied
18	Response 18	.
19	Response 19	.
20	Response 20	-+
21	Response 21	Satisfied
22	Response 22	N
23	Response 23	Satisfactory
24	Response 24	.
25	Response 25	Excellent
26	Response 26	.
27	Response 27	.
28	Response 28	.
29	Response 29	Overall good
30	Response 30	**
31	Response 31	---
32	Response 32	.
33	Response 33	..
34	Response 34	Satisfied
35	Response 35	Good
36	Response 36	-----

SI No.	Stakeholder	Remarks
37	Response 37
38	Response 38	/n
39	Response 39	N
40	Response 40	No
41	Response 41	Good
42	Response 42	NA
43	Response 43	..
44	Response 44	.
45	Response 45	\n
46	Response 46	J
47	Response 47	None
48	Response 48	Good
49	Response 49	...
50	Response 50	N
51	Response 51	None
52	Response 52	No Comments
53	Response 53	...
54	Response 54	good
55	Response 55	.
56	Response 56	None
57	Response 57	Na
58	Response 58	.
59	Response 59	N
60	Response 60	Overall good
61	Response 61	.
62	Response 62	nil
63	Response 63	.
64	Response 64	Overall excellent experience
65	Response 65	No
66	Response 66	Good
67	Response 67	No
68	Response 68	-
69	Response 69	?N

Summary Report


Number of people Responded / Total number of Responders is **69 / 69** People.

Questions	Total Response Count	Weighted Response Percent
Understanding of fundamental concepts	255	72.86%
Your ability to analyze engineering problems and provide design solutions wherever possible.	255	72.86%
Your applicability of taught concepts in Activity based learning/ skill set training	249	72.17%
Exposure to modern tools viz. softwares, simulations, etc. and use of demo models.	254	73.62%
Involvement in Industry related activity	260	74.29%
Ability to solve Practice sheets independently	246	71.30%
Adequate coverage of syllabus	252	73.04%
Teacher's Class control & discipline, punctuality and regularity in conducting classes	253	73.33%
Use of LCD Projector, multimedia, animations, graphics, audio-visual contents etc. in teaching	267	75.21%
Teacher's encouragement to interactios	253	73.33%
Teacher's accessibility to students for solving queries and problems.	257	74.49%
Total Feedback	2801	73.32%

Survey Details - Detailed & Summary Report

Survey: 23-24 ODD V Sem WD FB-I	Survey Type: Fresh Survey
Survey For: Student Satisfactory	Program: B.Tech.Artificial Intelligence & Data Science in Artificial Intelligence & Data Science

Detailed Report



1. Understanding of fundamental concepts	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	10	50	23.26%
2. Very Good	12	48	27.91%
3. Good	15	45	34.88%
4. Average	6	12	13.95%
5. Poor	0	0	0.00%
Total	43	155	72.09%

2. Your ability to analyze engineering problems and \nprovide/ design solutions wherever possible.	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	8	40	19.05%
2. Very Good	13	52	30.95%
3. Good	14	42	33.33%
4. Average	7	14	16.67%
5. Poor	0	0	0.00%
Total	42	148	70.48%

3. Your applicability of taught concepts in Activity \nbased learning/ skill set training	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	12	60	28.57%
2. Very Good	11	44	26.19%
3. Good	12	36	28.57%
4. Average	7	14	16.67%
5. Poor	0	0	0.00%
Total	42	154	73.33%

4. Exposure to modern tools viz. softwares, \nsimulations, etc. and use of demo models.\n	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	8	40	19.05%
2. Very Good	12	48	28.57%
3. Good	16	48	38.10%
4. Average	5	10	11.90%
5. Poor	1	1	2.38%
Total	42	147	70.00%

5. Involvement in Industry related activity\n	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	9	45	21.43%
2. Very Good	10	40	23.81%
3. Good	12	36	28.57%
4. Average	11	22	26.19%
5. Poor	0	0	0.00%
Total	42	143	68.10%

6. Ability to solve Practice sheets independently	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	7	35	16.28%
2. Very Good	9	36	20.93%
3. Good	16	48	37.21%
4. Average	10	20	23.26%
5. Poor	1	1	2.33%
Total	43	140	65.12%

7. Adequate coverage of syllabus	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	8	40	19.05%
2. Very Good	11	44	26.19%

7. Adequate coverage of syllabus	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
3. Good	15	45	35.71%
4. Average	8	16	19.05%
5. Poor	0	0	0.00%
Total	42	145	69.05%

8. Teacher's Class control & discipline, punctuality \nand regularity in conducting classes	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	12	60	27.27%
2. Very Good	11	44	25.00%
3. Good	16	48	36.36%
4. Average	4	8	9.09%
5. Poor	1	1	2.27%
Total	44	161	73.18%

9. Use of LCD Projector, multimedia, animations, \ngraphics, audio-visual contents etc. in teaching	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	9	45	20.93%
2. Very Good	17	68	39.53%
3. Good	11	33	25.58%
4. Average	6	12	13.95%
5. Poor	0	0	0.00%
Total	43	158	73.49%

10. Teacher's encouragement to interactios	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	9	45	21.43%
2. Very Good	13	52	30.95%
3. Good	15	45	35.71%
4. Average	4	8	9.52%
5. Poor	1	1	2.38%
Total	42	151	71.90%

11. Teacher's accessibility to students for solving \nquiries and problems.	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	8	40	19.05%
2. Very Good	14	56	33.33%
3. Good	15	45	35.71%
4. Average	5	10	11.90%
5. Poor	0	0	0.00%
Total	42	151	71.90%

12. Remarks (if any)\n
No data

Comments:

SI No.	Stakeholder	Remarks
1	Response 1	.
2	Response 2	NA
3	Response 3	.
4	Response 4	Aussam
5	Response 5	.
6	Response 6	No
7	Response 7	Good. Subject
8	Response 8	No comments
9	Response 9	good
10	Response 10	Good
11	Response 11	No
12	Response 12	.
13	Response 13	.
14	Response 14	-
15	Response 15	Yes
16	Response 16	No any doubt/problem
17	Response 17	No
18	Response 18	No
19	Response 19	.
20	Response 20	No
21	Response 21	NA
22	Response 22	n
23	Response 23	no
24	Response 24	Everything is alright
25	Response 25	.
26	Response 26	NA
27	Response 27	NA
28	Response 28	.
29	Response 29	.
30	Response 30	N
31	Response 31	Give other technical knowledge about other framework
32	Response 32	-
33	Response 33	.
34	Response 34	N
35	Response 35	no
36	Response 36	B

SI No.	Stakeholder	Remarks
37	Response 37	.
38	Response 38	Not being from a software background keeping up with the course is not that simple.
39	Response 39	n
40	Response 40	No comments
41	Response 41	No
42	Response 42	Good

Summary Report

Number of people Responded / Total number of Responders is **42 / 69** People.

Questions	Total Response Count	Weighted Response Percent
Understanding of fundamental concepts	155	72.09%
Your ability to analyze engineering problems and \nprovide/ design solutions wherever possible.	148	70.48%
Your applicability of taught concepts in Activity \nbased learning/ skill set training	154	73.33%
Exposure to modern tools viz. softwares, \nsimulations, etc. and use of demo models.\n	147	70.00%
Involvement in Industry related activity\n	143	68.10%
Ability to solve Practice sheets independently	140	65.12%
Adequate coverage of syllabus	145	69.05%
Teacher's Class control & discipline, punctuality \nand regularity in conducting classes	161	73.18%
Use of LCD Projector, multimedia, animations, \ngraphics, audio-visual contents etc. in teaching	158	73.49%
Teacher's encouragement to interactios	151	71.90%
Teacher's accessibility to students for solving \nqueries and problems.	151	71.90%
Total Feedback	1653	70.79%

Survey Details - Detailed & Summary Report

Survey: 23-24 ODD V Sem DA FB-II	Survey Type: Fresh Survey
Survey For: Student Satisfactory	Program: B.Tech.Artificial Intelligence & Data Science in Artificial Intelligence & Data Science

Detailed Report



1. Understanding of fundamental concepts	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	35	175	49.30%
2. Very Good	13	52	18.31%
3. Good	20	60	28.17%
4. Average	3	6	4.23%
5. Poor	0	0	0.00%
Total	71	293	82.54%

2. Your ability to analyze engineering problems and \nprovide/ design solutions wherever possible.	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	38	190	54.29%
2. Very Good	13	52	18.57%
3. Good	16	48	22.86%
4. Average	2	4	2.86%
5. Poor	1	1	1.43%
Total	70	295	84.29%

3. Your applicability of taught concepts in Activity \nbased learning/ skill set training	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	37	185	52.86%
2. Very Good	12	48	17.14%
3. Good	19	57	27.14%
4. Average	2	4	2.86%
5. Poor	0	0	0.00%
Total	70	294	84.00%

4. Exposure to modern tools viz. softwares, \nsimulations, etc. and use of demo models.\n	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	34	170	48.57%
2. Very Good	14	56	20.00%
3. Good	18	54	25.71%
4. Average	2	4	2.86%
5. Poor	2	2	2.86%
Total	70	286	81.71%

5. Involvement in Industry related activity\n	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	34	170	48.57%
2. Very Good	14	56	20.00%
3. Good	18	54	25.71%
4. Average	3	6	4.29%
5. Poor	1	1	1.43%
Total	70	287	82.00%

6. Ability to solve Practice sheets independently	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	38	190	53.52%
2. Very Good	11	44	15.49%
3. Good	18	54	25.35%
4. Average	3	6	4.23%
5. Poor	1	1	1.41%
Total	71	295	83.10%

7. Adequate coverage of syllabus	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	31	155	44.29%
2. Very Good	14	56	20.00%

7. Adequate coverage of syllabus	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
3. Good	19	57	27.14%
4. Average	4	8	5.71%
5. Poor	2	2	2.86%
Total	70	278	79.43%

8. Teacher's Class control & discipline, punctuality \nand regularity in conducting classes	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	34	170	48.57%
2. Very Good	10	40	14.29%
3. Good	21	63	30.00%
4. Average	3	6	4.29%
5. Poor	2	2	2.86%
Total	70	281	80.29%

9. Use of LCD Projector, multimedia, animations, \ngraphics, audio-visual contents etc. in teaching	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	36	180	51.43%
2. Very Good	13	52	18.57%
3. Good	18	54	25.71%
4. Average	3	6	4.29%
5. Poor	0	0	0.00%
Total	70	292	83.43%

10. Teacher's encouragement to interactios	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	30	150	42.86%
2. Very Good	11	44	15.71%
3. Good	23	69	32.86%
4. Average	3	6	4.29%
5. Poor	3	3	4.29%
Total	70	272	77.71%

11. Teacher's accessibility to students for solving \nquiries and problems.	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	33	165	47.14%
2. Very Good	14	56	20.00%
3. Good	17	51	24.29%
4. Average	3	6	4.29%
5. Poor	3	3	4.29%
Total	70	281	80.29%

12. Remarks (if any)\n
No data

Comments:

SI No.	Stakeholder	Remarks
1	Response 1	Good
2	Response 2	Good
3	Response 3	.
4	Response 4	Nice
5	Response 5	N
6	Response 6	Nice teaching
7	Response 7	.
8	Response 8	--
9	Response 9	.
10	Response 10	.
11	Response 11	Nothing
12	Response 12	Good
13	Response 13	.
14	Response 14	.
15	Response 15	.
16	Response 16	.
17	Response 17	.
18	Response 18	None
19	Response 19	.
20	Response 20	Jgg
21	Response 21
22	Response 22	Good
23	Response 23	Satisfactory
24	Response 24	Good
25	Response 25	.
26	Response 26	No
27	Response 27	___
28	Response 28	.
29	Response 29	Satisfied
30	Response 30	Good
31	Response 31	Good
32	Response 32	None
33	Response 33	\n
34	Response 34	Good
35	Response 35	nil
36	Response 36	Good

SI No.	Stakeholder	Remarks
37	Response 37	No
38	Response 38	-
39	Response 39	Good
40	Response 40	Satisfied
41	Response 41	...
42	Response 42	NA
43	Response 43	Satisfactory
44	Response 44
45	Response 45	.
46	Response 46
47	Response 47	.
48	Response 48	Ok
49	Response 49	/n
50	Response 50	.
51	Response 51	good
52	Response 52	n
53	Response 53	N
54	Response 54	No
55	Response 55	Na
56	Response 56	None
57	Response 57
58	Response 58
59	Response 59	..
60	Response 60	Excellent
61	Response 61	.
62	Response 62	.
63	Response 63	---
64	Response 64	Excellent
65	Response 65	No Comments
66	Response 66	excellent
67	Response 67	n
68	Response 68	.
69	Response 69	No

Summary Report

Number of people Responded / Total number of Responders is **69 / 69** People.

Questions	Total Response Count	Weighted Response Percent
Understanding of fundamental concepts	293	82.54%
Your ability to analyze engineering problems and \nprovide/ design solutions wherever possible.	295	84.29%
Your applicability of taught concepts in Activity \nbased learning/ skill set training	294	84.00%
Exposure to modern tools viz. softwares, \nsimulations, etc. and use of demo models.\n	286	81.71%
Involvement in Industry related activity\n	287	82.00%
Ability to solve Practice sheets independently	295	83.10%
Adequate coverage of syllabus	278	79.43%
Teacher's Class control & discipline, punctuality \nand regularity in conducting classes	281	80.29%
Use of LCD Projector, multimedia, animations, \ngraphics, audio-visual contents etc. in teaching	292	83.43%
Teacher's encouragement to interactios	272	77.71%
Teacher's accessibility to students for solving \nqueries and problems.	281	80.29%
Total Feedback	3154	81.71%

Survey Details - Detailed & Summary Report

Survey: 23-24 ODD V Sem DA Lab FB-II	Survey Type: Fresh Survey
Survey For: Student Satisfactory	Program: B.Tech.Artificial Intelligence & Data Science in Artificial Intelligence & Data Science

Detailed Report



1. Effectiveness of Pre-Lab sessions	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	34	170	47.22%
2. Very Good	16	64	22.22%
3. Good	19	57	26.39%
4. Average	1	2	1.39%
5. Poor	2	2	2.78%
Total	72	295	81.94%

2. In depth understanding of Experiments/Practicals	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	31	155	44.29%
2. Very Good	16	64	22.86%
3. Good	20	60	28.57%
4. Average	3	6	4.29%
5. Poor	0	0	0.00%
Total	70	285	81.43%

3. Ability to reach conclusion based upon observations and interpret results	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	33	165	47.14%
2. Very Good	17	68	24.29%
3. Good	17	51	24.29%
4. Average	2	4	2.86%
5. Poor	1	1	1.43%
Total	70	289	82.57%

4. Ability to co-relate theory with the practical and realization of its applicability in real world.	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	34	170	48.57%
2. Very Good	16	64	22.86%
3. Good	17	51	24.29%
4. Average	1	2	1.43%
5. Poor	2	2	2.86%
Total	70	289	82.57%

5. Teachers' control & discipline in Lab, punctuality and regularity in conducting practicals	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	33	165	46.48%
2. Very Good	15	60	21.13%
3. Good	20	60	28.17%
4. Average	1	2	1.41%
5. Poor	2	2	2.82%
Total	71	289	81.41%

6. Adequacy and clarity in illustration	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	34	170	47.22%
2. Very Good	16	64	22.22%
3. Good	17	51	23.61%
4. Average	3	6	4.17%
5. Poor	2	2	2.78%
Total	72	293	81.39%

7. Experimental set-ups are operational & well maintained	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	38	190	54.29%
2. Very Good	12	48	17.14%

7. Experimental set-ups are operational & well maintained	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
3. Good	19	57	27.14%
4. Average	1	2	1.43%
5. Poor	0	0	0.00%
Total	70	297	84.86%

8. Explaining the difficulties raised by the students	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	37	185	52.86%
2. Very Good	13	52	18.57%
3. Good	17	51	24.29%
4. Average	2	4	2.86%
5. Poor	1	1	1.43%
Total	70	293	83.71%

9. Promptness in assessment of submissions	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	34	170	48.57%
2. Very Good	14	56	20.00%
3. Good	20	60	28.57%
4. Average	1	2	1.43%
5. Poor	1	1	1.43%
Total	70	289	82.57%

10. Use of modern tools, hardware & simulation software etc.	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	34	170	48.57%
2. Very Good	16	64	22.86%
3. Good	18	54	25.71%
4. Average	2	4	2.86%
5. Poor	0	0	0.00%
Total	70	292	83.43%

11. Motivation to explore, apply and develop new applications	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	35	175	49.30%
2. Very Good	17	68	23.94%
3. Good	17	51	23.94%
4. Average	1	2	1.41%
5. Poor	1	1	1.41%
Total	71	297	83.66%

12. Remarks (If any)
No data

Comments:

Sl No.	Stakeholder	Remarks
1	Response 1	Good

SI No.	Stakeholder	Remarks
2	Response 2	Good
3	Response 3	Nice
4	Response 4	N
5	Response 5	Need to teach students in more understandabl way for practical works.
6	Response 6	.
7	Response 7	--
8	Response 8	.
9	Response 9	Good
10	Response 10	.
11	Response 11	Nothing
12	Response 12	.
13	Response 13	.
14	Response 14	Fgh
15	Response 15	None
16	Response 16	.
17	Response 17	.
18	Response 18	.
19	Response 19
20	Response 20	.
21	Response 21	Good
22	Response 22	Satisfactory
23	Response 23	Good
24	Response 24	.
25	Response 25	Satisfied
26	Response 26	Good
27	Response 27	No
28	Response 28	Good
29	Response 29	.
30	Response 30	.
31	Response 31	nil
32	Response 32	Good
33	Response 33	Good
34	Response 34	None
35	Response 35	No
36	Response 36	Good
37	Response 37	-

SI No.	Stakeholder	Remarks
38	Response 38	Satisfied
39	Response 39	...
40	Response 40	NA
41	Response 41	—
42	Response 42	..
43	Response 43	Satisfactory
44	Response 44	Good
45	Response 45
46	Response 46	.
47	Response 47	Ok
48	Response 48	.
49	Response 49	/n
50	Response 50	good
51	Response 51	n
52	Response 52	\n
53	Response 53	N
54	Response 54	No
55	Response 55	Na
56	Response 56	None
57	Response 57
58	Response 58	..
59	Response 59	***
60	Response 60	GOOD
61	Response 61	---
62	Response 62	.
63	Response 63	Excellent
64	Response 64	No Comments
65	Response 65	excellent
66	Response 66	n
67	Response 67	.
68	Response 68	No
69	Response 69	.

Summary Report

Number of people Responded / Total number of Responders is **69 / 69** People.

Questions	Total Response Count	Weighted Response Percent
Effectiveness of Pre-Lab sessions	295	81.94%
In depth understanding of \nExperiments/Practicals	285	81.43%
Ability to reach conclusion based upon \nobservations and interpret results	289	82.57%
Ability to co-relate theory with the practical \nand realization of its applicability in real \nworld.	289	82.57%
Teachers' control & discipline in Lab, \npunctuality and regularity in conducting \npracticals	289	81.41%
Adequacy and clarity in illustration	293	81.39%
Experimental set-ups are operational & well \nmaintained	297	84.86%
Explaining the difficulties raised by the \nstudents	293	83.71%
Promptness in assessment of submissions	289	82.57%
Use of modern tools, hardware & simulation \nsoftware etc.	292	83.43%
Motivation to explore, apply and develop \nnew applications	297	83.66%
Total Feedback	3208	82.68%

Survey Details - Detailed & Summary Report

Survey: 23-24 ODD V Sem DAA FB-II	Survey Type: Fresh Survey
Survey For: Student Satisfactory	Program: B.Tech.Artificial Intelligence & Data Science in Artificial Intelligence & Data Science

Detailed Report



1. Understanding of fundamental concepts	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	22	110	31.43%
2. Very Good	21	84	30.00%
3. Good	25	75	35.71%
4. Average	1	2	1.43%
5. Poor	1	1	1.43%
Total	70	272	77.71%

2. Your ability to analyze engineering problems and \nprovide/ design solutions wherever possible.	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	28	140	40.00%
2. Very Good	20	80	28.57%
3. Good	20	60	28.57%
4. Average	1	2	1.43%
5. Poor	1	1	1.43%
Total	70	283	80.86%

3. Your applicability of taught concepts in Activity \nbased learning/ skill set training	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	32	160	46.38%
2. Very Good	13	52	18.84%
3. Good	21	63	30.43%
4. Average	3	6	4.35%
5. Poor	0	0	0.00%
Total	69	281	81.45%

4. Exposure to modern tools viz. softwares, \nsimulations, etc. and use of demo models.\n	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	25	125	36.23%
2. Very Good	14	56	20.29%
3. Good	26	78	37.68%
4. Average	4	8	5.80%
5. Poor	0	0	0.00%
Total	69	267	77.39%

5. Involvement in Industry related activity\n	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	30	150	43.48%
2. Very Good	12	48	17.39%
3. Good	22	66	31.88%
4. Average	3	6	4.35%
5. Poor	2	2	2.90%
Total	69	272	78.84%

6. Ability to solve Practice sheets independently	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	25	125	36.23%
2. Very Good	15	60	21.74%
3. Good	25	75	36.23%
4. Average	4	8	5.80%
5. Poor	0	0	0.00%
Total	69	268	77.68%

7. Adequate coverage of syllabus	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	27	135	38.57%
2. Very Good	12	48	17.14%

7. Adequate coverage of syllabus	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
3. Good	25	75	35.71%
4. Average	5	10	7.14%
5. Poor	1	1	1.43%
Total	70	269	76.86%

8. Teacher's Class control & discipline, punctuality \nand regularity in conducting classes	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	28	140	40.58%
2. Very Good	16	64	23.19%
3. Good	21	63	30.43%
4. Average	2	4	2.90%
5. Poor	2	2	2.90%
Total	69	273	79.13%

9. Use of LCD Projector, multimedia, animations, \ngraphics, audio-visual contents etc. in teaching	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	33	165	46.48%
2. Very Good	15	60	21.13%
3. Good	22	66	30.99%
4. Average	1	2	1.41%
5. Poor	0	0	0.00%
Total	71	293	82.54%

10. Teacher's encouragement to interactios	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	30	150	43.48%
2. Very Good	16	64	23.19%
3. Good	19	57	27.54%
4. Average	3	6	4.35%
5. Poor	1	1	1.45%
Total	69	278	80.58%

11. Teacher's accessibility to students for solving \nquiries and problems.	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	26	130	37.68%
2. Very Good	15	60	21.74%
3. Good	26	78	37.68%
4. Average	1	2	1.45%
5. Poor	1	1	1.45%
Total	69	271	78.55%

12. Remarks (if any)\n
No data

Comments:

SI No.	Stakeholder	Remarks
1	Response 1	Good
2	Response 2	Nice
3	Response 3	N
4	Response 4	Need to teach students in more better way
5	Response 5	.
6	Response 6	Good
7	Response 7	--
8	Response 8	.
9	Response 9	.
10	Response 10	.
11	Response 11	Good
12	Response 12	...
13	Response 13	Fgh
14	Response 14	None
15	Response 15	Good
16	Response 16	.
17	Response 17	.
18	Response 18	.
19	Response 19	Good
20	Response 20	.
21	Response 21
22	Response 22	.
23	Response 23	Good
24	Response 24	.
25	Response 25	Satisfied
26	Response 26	No
27	Response 27	.
28	Response 28	nil
29	Response 29	Good
30	Response 30	None
31	Response 31	Satisfied
32	Response 32	Good
33	Response 33	No
34	Response 34	Satisfactory
35	Response 35	Good
36	Response 36	None

SI No.	Stakeholder	Remarks
37	Response 37	-
38	Response 38	...
39	Response 39	----
40	Response 40	NA
41	Response 41
42	Response 42	Satisfactory
43	Response 43	Good
44	Response 44
45	Response 45	.
46	Response 46	Ok
47	Response 47	.
48	Response 48	/n
49	Response 49	n
50	Response 50	good
51	Response 51	\n
52	Response 52	N
53	Response 53	No
54	Response 54	None
55	Response 55
56	Response 56	..
57	Response 57	..
58	Response 58	NA
59	Response 59	---
60	Response 60	.
61	Response 61	Excellent
62	Response 62	no Comments
63	Response 63	excellent
64	Response 64	n
65	Response 65	NA
66	Response 66	.
67	Response 67	No
68	Response 68	Good
69	Response 69	.

Summary Report

Number of people Responded / Total number of Responders is **69 / 69** People.

Questions	Total Response Count	Weighted Response Percent
Understanding of fundamental concepts	272	77.71%
Your ability to analyze engineering problems and \nprovide/ design solutions wherever possible.	283	80.86%
Your applicability of taught concepts in Activity \nbased learning/ skill set training	281	81.45%
Exposure to modern tools viz. softwares, \nsimulations, etc. and use of demo models.\n	267	77.39%
Involvement in Industry related activity\n	272	78.84%
Ability to solve Practice sheets independently	268	77.68%
Adequate coverage of syllabus	269	76.86%
Teacher's Class control & discipline, punctuality \nand regularity in conducting classes	273	79.13%
Use of LCD Projector, multimedia, animations, \ngraphics, audio-visual contents etc. in teaching	293	82.54%
Teacher's encouragement to interactios	278	80.58%
Teacher's accessibility to students for solving \nqueries and problems.	271	78.55%
Total Feedback	3027	79.24%

Survey Details - Detailed & Summary Report

Survey: 23-24 ODD V Sem DAA Lab FB-II	Survey Type: Fresh Survey
Survey For: Student Satisfactory	Program: B.Tech.Artificial Intelligence & Data Science in Artificial Intelligence & Data Science

Detailed Report



1. Effectiveness of Pre-Lab sessions	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	31	155	44.29%
2. Very Good	15	60	21.43%
3. Good	18	54	25.71%
4. Average	4	8	5.71%
5. Poor	2	2	2.86%
Total	70	279	79.71%

2. In depth understanding of Experiments/Practicals	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	24	120	34.78%
2. Very Good	11	44	15.94%
3. Good	26	78	37.68%
4. Average	6	12	8.70%
5. Poor	2	2	2.90%
Total	69	256	74.20%

3. Ability to reach conclusion based upon observations and interpret results	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	26	130	37.68%
2. Very Good	13	52	18.84%
3. Good	25	75	36.23%
4. Average	2	4	2.90%
5. Poor	3	3	4.35%
Total	69	264	76.52%

4. Ability to co-relate theory with the practical and realization of its applicability in real world.	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	28	140	40.00%
2. Very Good	12	48	17.14%
3. Good	26	78	37.14%
4. Average	3	6	4.29%
5. Poor	1	1	1.43%
Total	70	273	78.00%

5. Teachers' control & discipline in Lab, punctuality and regularity in conducting practicals	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	31	155	44.93%
2. Very Good	13	52	18.84%
3. Good	22	66	31.88%
4. Average	2	4	2.90%
5. Poor	1	1	1.45%
Total	69	278	80.58%

6. Adequacy and clarity in illustration	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	28	140	40.58%
2. Very Good	12	48	17.39%
3. Good	26	78	37.68%
4. Average	2	4	2.90%
5. Poor	1	1	1.45%
Total	69	271	78.55%

7. Experimental set-ups are operational & well maintained	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	28	140	40.00%
2. Very Good	14	56	20.00%

7. Experimental set-ups are operational & well maintained	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
3. Good	23	69	32.86%
4. Average	3	6	4.29%
5. Poor	2	2	2.86%
Total	70	273	78.00%

8. Explaining the difficulties raised by the students	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	23	115	33.33%
2. Very Good	14	56	20.29%
3. Good	25	75	36.23%
4. Average	4	8	5.80%
5. Poor	3	3	4.35%
Total	69	257	74.49%

9. Promptness in assessment of submissions	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	24	120	34.78%
2. Very Good	16	64	23.19%
3. Good	23	69	33.33%
4. Average	5	10	7.25%
5. Poor	1	1	1.45%
Total	69	264	76.52%

10. Use of modern tools, hardware & simulation software etc.	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	26	130	37.68%
2. Very Good	15	60	21.74%
3. Good	23	69	33.33%
4. Average	3	6	4.35%
5. Poor	2	2	2.90%
Total	69	267	77.39%

11. Motivation to explore, apply and develop new applications	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	29	145	42.03%
2. Very Good	14	56	20.29%
3. Good	20	60	28.99%
4. Average	4	8	5.80%
5. Poor	2	2	2.90%
Total	69	271	78.55%

12. Remarks (If any)
No data

Comments:

Sl No.	Stakeholder	Remarks
1	Response 1	Good

SI No.	Stakeholder	Remarks
2	Response 2	N
3	Response 3	Not understood practicals needed to teach more .
4	Response 4	.
5	Response 5	Nice
6	Response 6	--
7	Response 7	.
8	Response 8	.
9	Response 9	HHs
10	Response 10	Good
11	Response 11	.
12	Response 12	Nothing
13	Response 13	.
14	Response 14	.
15	Response 15	None
16	Response 16	GOOD
17	Response 17
18	Response 18	.
19	Response 19	.
20	Response 20	Good
21	Response 21	.
22	Response 22	.
23	Response 23	Satisfied
24	Response 24	Satisfied
25	Response 25	No
26	Response 26	Good
27	Response 27	nil
28	Response 28	.
29	Response 29	Good
30	Response 30	None
31	Response 31	.
32	Response 32	Satisfactory
33	Response 33	None
34	Response 34	Good
35	Response 35	No
36	Response 36	—
37	Response 37	Good

SI No.	Stakeholder	Remarks
38	Response 38	-
39	Response 39
40	Response 40	NA
41	Response 41	Satisfactory
42	Response 42	...
43	Response 43	Good
44	Response 44
45	Response 45	.
46	Response 46	.
47	Response 47	Ok
48	Response 48	/n
49	Response 49	n
50	Response 50	good
51	Response 51	\n
52	Response 52	N
53	Response 53	No
54	Response 54	Bone
55	Response 55
56	Response 56	...
57	Response 57	..
58	Response 58	NA
59	Response 59	---
60	Response 60	.
61	Response 61	Excellent
62	Response 62	No Comments
63	Response 63	Excellent
64	Response 64	n
65	Response 65	Na
66	Response 66	.
67	Response 67	No
68	Response 68	G
69	Response 69	.

Summary Report

Number of people Responded / Total number of Responders is **69 / 69** People.

Questions	Total Response Count	Weighted Response Percent
Effectiveness of Pre-Lab sessions	279	79.71%
In depth understanding of \nExperiments/Practicals	256	74.20%
Ability to reach conclusion based upon \nobservations and interpret results	264	76.52%
Ability to co-relate theory with the practical \nand realization of its applicability in real \nworld.	273	78.00%
Teachers' control & discipline in Lab, \npunctuality and regularity in conducting \npracticals	278	80.58%
Adequacy and clarity in illustration	271	78.55%
Experimental set-ups are operational & well \nmaintained	273	78.00%
Explaining the difficulties raised by the \nstudents	257	74.49%
Promptness in assessment of submissions	264	76.52%
Use of modern tools, hardware & simulation \nsoftware etc.	267	77.39%
Motivation to explore, apply and develop \nnew applications	271	78.55%
Total Feedback	2953	77.51%

Survey Details - Detailed & Summary Report

Survey: 23-24 ODD V Sem EFE FB-II	Survey Type: Fresh Survey
Survey For: Student Satisfactory	Program: B.Tech.Artificial Intelligence & Data Science in Artificial Intelligence & Data Science

Detailed Report



1. Understanding of fundamental concepts	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	21	105	30.43%
2. Very Good	17	68	24.64%
3. Good	26	78	37.68%
4. Average	2	4	2.90%
5. Poor	3	3	4.35%
Total	69	258	74.78%

2. Your ability to analyze engineering problems and nprovide/ design solutions wherever possible.	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	25	125	35.71%
2. Very Good	18	72	25.71%
3. Good	20	60	28.57%
4. Average	4	8	5.71%
5. Poor	3	3	4.29%
Total	70	268	76.57%

3. Your applicability of taught concepts in Activity nbased learning/ skill set training	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	22	110	30.99%
2. Very Good	19	76	26.76%
3. Good	25	75	35.21%
4. Average	4	8	5.63%
5. Poor	1	1	1.41%
Total	71	270	76.06%

4. Exposure to modern tools viz. softwares, nsimulations, etc. and use of demo models.n	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	26	130	37.68%
2. Very Good	14	56	20.29%
3. Good	23	69	33.33%
4. Average	3	6	4.35%
5. Poor	3	3	4.35%
Total	69	264	76.52%

5. Involvement in Industry related activityyn	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	25	125	36.23%
2. Very Good	17	68	24.64%
3. Good	21	63	30.43%
4. Average	3	6	4.35%
5. Poor	3	3	4.35%
Total	69	265	76.81%

6. Ability to solve Practice sheets independently	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	22	110	31.88%
2. Very Good	17	68	24.64%
3. Good	24	72	34.78%
4. Average	3	6	4.35%
5. Poor	3	3	4.35%
Total	69	259	75.07%

7. Adequate coverage of syllabus	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	23	115	33.33%
2. Very Good	13	52	18.84%

7. Adequate coverage of syllabus	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
3. Good	27	81	39.13%
4. Average	5	10	7.25%
5. Poor	1	1	1.45%
Total	69	259	75.07%

8. Teacher's Class control & discipline, punctuality and regularity in conducting classes	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	21	105	30.43%
2. Very Good	18	72	26.09%
3. Good	24	72	34.78%
4. Average	2	4	2.90%
5. Poor	4	4	5.80%
Total	69	257	74.49%

9. Use of LCD Projector, multimedia, animations, ngraphics, audio-visual contents etc. in teaching	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	24	120	34.78%
2. Very Good	14	56	20.29%
3. Good	25	75	36.23%
4. Average	5	10	7.25%
5. Poor	1	1	1.45%
Total	69	262	75.94%

10. Teacher's encouragement to interactios	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	24	120	34.78%
2. Very Good	15	60	21.74%
3. Good	22	66	31.88%
4. Average	2	4	2.90%
5. Poor	6	6	8.70%
Total	69	256	74.20%

11. Teacher's accessibility to students for solving nqueries and problems.	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	24	120	34.78%
2. Very Good	16	64	23.19%
3. Good	21	63	30.43%
4. Average	4	8	5.80%
5. Poor	4	4	5.80%
Total	69	259	75.07%

12. Remarks (if any)n
No data

Comments:

SI No.	Stakeholder	Remarks
1	Response 1	Good
2	Response 2	N
3	Response 3	.
4	Response 4	Need to improve in teaching
5	Response 5	Nice
6	Response 6	--
7	Response 7	.
8	Response 8	.
9	Response 9	Gshs
10	Response 10	.
11	Response 11	.
12	Response 12	Good
13	Response 13	.
14	Response 14	.
15	Response 15	.
16	Response 16	Nothing
17	Response 17	.
18	Response 18
19	Response 19	Satisfactory
20	Response 20	None
21	Response 21	.
22	Response 22	Good
23	Response 23	Satisfied
24	Response 24	.
25	Response 25	Satisfied
26	Response 26	nil
27	Response 27	Good
28	Response 28	No
29	Response 29	Good
30	Response 30	None
31	Response 31	.
32	Response 32	None
33	Response 33	NA
34	Response 34	—
35	Response 35	Good
36	Response 36	Good

SI No.	Stakeholder	Remarks
37	Response 37	-
38	Response 38
39	Response 39	No
40	Response 40	Satisfactory
41	Response 41
42	Response 42	Good
43	Response 43	No
44	Response 44	.
45	Response 45	.
46	Response 46	Ok
47	Response 47	/n
48	Response 48	good
49	Response 49	n
50	Response 50	N
51	Response 51	/n
52	Response 52	No
53	Response 53	None
54	Response 54
55	Response 55	...
56	Response 56	..
57	Response 57	NA
58	Response 58	.
59	Response 59	----
60	Response 60	Excellent
61	Response 61	No Comments
62	Response 62	Excellent
63	Response 63	n
64	Response 64	Noii
65	Response 65	.
66	Response 66	Good
67	Response 67	No
68	Response 68	F
69	Response 69	.

Summary Report


Number of people Responded / Total number of Responders is **69 / 69** People.

Questions	Total Response Count	Weighted Response Percent
Understanding of fundamental concepts	258	74.78%
Your ability to analyze engineering problems and provide/ design solutions wherever possible.	268	76.57%
Your applicability of taught concepts in Activity based learning/ skill set training	270	76.06%
Exposure to modern tools viz. softwares, simulations, etc. and use of demo models.	264	76.52%
Involvement in Industry related activity	265	76.81%
Ability to solve Practice sheets independently	259	75.07%
Adequate coverage of syllabus	259	75.07%
Teacher's Class control & discipline, punctuality and regularity in conducting classes	257	74.49%
Use of LCD Projector, multimedia, animations, graphics, audio-visual contents etc. in teaching	262	75.94%
Teacher's encouragement to interact	256	74.20%
Teacher's accessibility to students for solving queries and problems.	259	75.07%
Total Feedback	2877	75.51%

Survey Details - Detailed & Summary Report

Survey: 23-24 ODD V Sem SEPM FB-II	Survey Type: Fresh Survey
Survey For: Student Satisfactory	Program: B.Tech.Artificial Intelligence & Data Science in Artificial Intelligence & Data Science

Detailed Report



1. Understanding of fundamental concepts	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	21	105	30.43%
2. Very Good	18	72	26.09%
3. Good	24	72	34.78%
4. Average	6	12	8.70%
5. Poor	0	0	0.00%
Total	69	261	75.65%

2. Your ability to analyze engineering problems and \nprovide/ design solutions wherever possible.	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	25	125	35.71%
2. Very Good	17	68	24.29%
3. Good	23	69	32.86%
4. Average	5	10	7.14%
5. Poor	0	0	0.00%
Total	70	272	77.71%

3. Your applicability of taught concepts in Activity \nbased learning/ skill set training	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	27	135	38.57%
2. Very Good	17	68	24.29%
3. Good	19	57	27.14%
4. Average	7	14	10.00%
5. Poor	0	0	0.00%
Total	70	274	78.29%

4. Exposure to modern tools viz. softwares, \nsimulations, etc. and use of demo models.\n	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	23	115	33.33%
2. Very Good	18	72	26.09%
3. Good	22	66	31.88%
4. Average	6	12	8.70%
5. Poor	0	0	0.00%
Total	69	265	76.81%

5. Involvement in Industry related activity\n	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	20	100	28.99%
2. Very Good	18	72	26.09%
3. Good	27	81	39.13%
4. Average	3	6	4.35%
5. Poor	1	1	1.45%
Total	69	260	75.36%

6. Ability to solve Practice sheets independently	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	26	130	37.68%
2. Very Good	17	68	24.64%
3. Good	19	57	27.54%
4. Average	6	12	8.70%
5. Poor	1	1	1.45%
Total	69	268	77.68%

7. Adequate coverage of syllabus	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	24	120	34.78%
2. Very Good	14	56	20.29%

7. Adequate coverage of syllabus	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
3. Good	25	75	36.23%
4. Average	6	12	8.70%
5. Poor	0	0	0.00%
Total	69	263	76.23%

8. Teacher's Class control & discipline, punctuality \nand regularity in conducting classes	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	23	115	32.86%
2. Very Good	18	72	25.71%
3. Good	22	66	31.43%
4. Average	7	14	10.00%
5. Poor	0	0	0.00%
Total	70	267	76.29%

9. Use of LCD Projector, multimedia, animations, \ngraphics, audio-visual contents etc. in teaching	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	27	135	38.57%
2. Very Good	11	44	15.71%
3. Good	23	69	32.86%
4. Average	8	16	11.43%
5. Poor	1	1	1.43%
Total	70	265	75.71%

10. Teacher's encouragement to interactios	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	26	130	37.14%
2. Very Good	15	60	21.43%
3. Good	22	66	31.43%
4. Average	5	10	7.14%
5. Poor	2	2	2.86%
Total	70	268	76.57%

11. Teacher's accessibility to students for solving \nquiries and problems.	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	23	115	32.86%
2. Very Good	15	60	21.43%
3. Good	26	78	37.14%
4. Average	5	10	7.14%
5. Poor	1	1	1.43%
Total	70	264	75.43%

12. Remarks (if any)\n
No data

Comments:

SI No.	Stakeholder	Remarks
1	Response 1	Good
2	Response 2	N
3	Response 3	.
4	Response 4	Need to improve in teaching .
5	Response 5	Nice
6	Response 6	Good
7	Response 7	.
8	Response 8	--
9	Response 9	Fshhsj
10	Response 10	.
11	Response 11	.
12	Response 12	.
13	Response 13	Good
14	Response 14	Satisfied
15	Response 15	.
16	Response 16	.
17	Response 17	..
18	Response 18	Satisfactory
19	Response 19
20	Response 20	Nothing
21	Response 21	.
22	Response 22	Good
23	Response 23	NA
24	Response 24	.
25	Response 25	Satisfied
26	Response 26	None
27	Response 27	nil
28	Response 28	Good
29	Response 29	No
30	Response 30	.
31	Response 31	Good
32	Response 32	---
33	Response 33	.
34	Response 34	None
35	Response 35	None
36	Response 36	Good

SI No.	Stakeholder	Remarks
37	Response 37	No
38	Response 38	-
39	Response 39	Satisfactory
40	Response 40
41	Response 41	Good
42	Response 42	NO
43	Response 43	.
44	Response 44	good
45	Response 45	N
46	Response 46	.
47	Response 47	/n
48	Response 48	n
49	Response 49	Ok
50	Response 50	\n
51	Response 51	No
52	Response 52	None
53	Response 53
54	Response 54	...
55	Response 55	..
56	Response 56	NA
57	Response 57	.
58	Response 58	---
59	Response 59	Excellent
60	Response 60	No Comments
61	Response 61	Excellent
62	Response 62	n
63	Response 63	No
64	Response 64	.
65	Response 65	N/A
66	Response 66	NA
67	Response 67	No
68	Response 68	F
69	Response 69	.

Summary Report

Number of people Responded / Total number of Responders is **69 / 69** People.

Questions	Total Response Count	Weighted Response Percent
Understanding of fundamental concepts	261	75.65%
Your ability to analyze engineering problems and \nprovide/ design solutions wherever possible.	272	77.71%
Your applicability of taught concepts in Activity \nbased learning/ skill set training	274	78.29%
Exposure to modern tools viz. softwares, \nsimulations, etc. and use of demo models.\n	265	76.81%
Involvement in Industry related activity\n	260	75.36%
Ability to solve Practice sheets independently	268	77.68%
Adequate coverage of syllabus	263	76.23%
Teacher's Class control & discipline, punctuality \nand regularity in conducting classes	267	76.29%
Use of LCD Projector, multimedia, animations, \ngraphics, audio-visual contents etc. in teaching	265	75.71%
Teacher's encouragement to interactios	268	76.57%
Teacher's accessibility to students for solving \nqueries and problems.	264	75.43%
Total Feedback	2927	76.52%

Survey Details - Detailed & Summary Report

Survey: 23-24 ODD V Sem SEPM Lab FB-II	Survey Type: Fresh Survey
Survey For: Student Satisfactory	Program: B.Tech.Artificial Intelligence & Data Science in Artificial Intelligence & Data Science

Detailed Report



1. Effectiveness of Pre-Lab sessions	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	26	130	37.68%
2. Very Good	20	80	28.99%
3. Good	17	51	24.64%
4. Average	4	8	5.80%
5. Poor	2	2	2.90%
Total	69	271	78.55%

2. In depth understanding of Experiments/Practicals	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	24	120	33.80%
2. Very Good	17	68	23.94%
3. Good	27	81	38.03%
4. Average	3	6	4.23%
5. Poor	0	0	0.00%
Total	71	275	77.46%

3. Ability to reach conclusion based upon observations and interpret results	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	23	115	33.33%
2. Very Good	16	64	23.19%
3. Good	23	69	33.33%
4. Average	5	10	7.25%
5. Poor	2	2	2.90%
Total	69	260	75.36%

4. Ability to co-relate theory with the practical and realization of its applicability in real world.	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	28	140	40.58%
2. Very Good	13	52	18.84%
3. Good	22	66	31.88%
4. Average	4	8	5.80%
5. Poor	2	2	2.90%
Total	69	268	77.68%

5. Teachers' control & discipline in Lab, punctuality and regularity in conducting practicals	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	25	125	35.71%
2. Very Good	17	68	24.29%
3. Good	22	66	31.43%
4. Average	5	10	7.14%
5. Poor	1	1	1.43%
Total	70	270	77.14%

6. Adequacy and clarity in illustration	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	21	105	30.43%
2. Very Good	17	68	24.64%
3. Good	25	75	36.23%
4. Average	3	6	4.35%
5. Poor	3	3	4.35%
Total	69	257	74.49%

7. Experimental set-ups are operational & well maintained	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	22	110	31.88%
2. Very Good	17	68	24.64%

7. Experimental set-ups are operational & well maintained	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
3. Good	24	72	34.78%
4. Average	6	12	8.70%
5. Poor	0	0	0.00%
Total	69	262	75.94%

8. Explaining the difficulties raised by the students	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	23	115	33.33%
2. Very Good	15	60	21.74%
3. Good	26	78	37.68%
4. Average	3	6	4.35%
5. Poor	2	2	2.90%
Total	69	261	75.65%

9. Promptness in assessment of submissions	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	24	120	34.78%
2. Very Good	20	80	28.99%
3. Good	22	66	31.88%
4. Average	3	6	4.35%
5. Poor	0	0	0.00%
Total	69	272	78.84%

10. Use of modern tools, hardware & simulation software etc.	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	23	115	33.33%
2. Very Good	13	52	18.84%
3. Good	23	69	33.33%
4. Average	7	14	10.14%
5. Poor	3	3	4.35%
Total	69	253	73.33%

11. Motivation to explore, apply and develop new applications	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	21	105	30.43%
2. Very Good	15	60	21.74%
3. Good	29	87	42.03%
4. Average	4	8	5.80%
5. Poor	0	0	0.00%
Total	69	260	75.36%

12. Remarks (If any)
No data

Comments:

Sl No.	Stakeholder	Remarks
1	Response 1	Good

SI No.	Stakeholder	Remarks
2	Response 2	N
3	Response 3	.
4	Response 4	Need to improve in teaching
5	Response 5	Good
6	Response 6	Nice
7	Response 7	Satisfied
8	Response 8	Hsusuu
9	Response 9	.
10	Response 10	--
11	Response 11	.
12	Response 12	Good
13	Response 13	.
14	Response 14	.
15	Response 15	.
16	Response 16	NA
17	Response 17	Satisfactory
18	Response 18	Good
19	Response 19
20	Response 20	..
21	Response 21	nil
22	Response 22	—
23	Response 23	Good
24	Response 24	.
25	Response 25	Satisfied
26	Response 26	Nothing
27	Response 27	No
28	Response 28	.
29	Response 29	None
30	Response 30	Good
31	Response 31	.
32	Response 32	.
33	Response 33	Good
34	Response 34	None
35	Response 35	None
36	Response 36	.
37	Response 37	Good

SI No.	Stakeholder	Remarks
38	Response 38	-
39	Response 39	No
40	Response 40	Satisfactory
41	Response 41
42	Response 42	Goodd
43	Response 43
44	Response 44	good
45	Response 45	N
46	Response 46	.
47	Response 47	.
48	Response 48	/n
49	Response 49	n
50	Response 50	Ok
51	Response 51	\n
52	Response 52	No
53	Response 53	None
54	Response 54	...
55	Response 55	**
56	Response 56	NA
57	Response 57	.
58	Response 58	----
59	Response 59	Excellent
60	Response 60	No Comments
61	Response 61	Excellent
62	Response 62	n
63	Response 63	NA
64	Response 64	.
65	Response 65	No comment
66	Response 66	No comments
67	Response 67	No
68	Response 68	F
69	Response 69	.

Summary Report


Number of people Responded / Total number of Responders is **69 / 69** People.

Questions	Total Response Count	Weighted Response Percent
Effectiveness of Pre-Lab sessions	271	78.55%
In depth understanding of \nExperiments/Practicals	275	77.46%
Ability to reach conclusion based upon \nobservations and interpret results	260	75.36%
Ability to co-relate theory with the practical \nand realization of its applicability in real \nworld.	268	77.68%
Teachers' control & discipline in Lab, \npunctuality and regularity in conducting \npracticals	270	77.14%
Adequacy and clarity in illustration	257	74.49%
Experimental set-ups are operational & well \nmaintained	262	75.94%
Explaining the difficulties raised by the \nstudents	261	75.65%
Promptness in assessment of submissions	272	78.84%
Use of modern tools, hardware & simulation \nsoftware etc.	253	73.33%
Motivation to explore, apply and develop \nnew applications	260	75.36%
Total Feedback	2909	76.35%

Survey Details - Detailed & Summary Report

Survey: 23-24 ODD V Sem SS-II FB-II	Survey Type: Fresh Survey
Survey For: Student Satisfactory	Program: B.Tech.Artificial Intelligence & Data Science in Artificial Intelligence & Data Science

Detailed Report



1. In depth understanding of Practical's.	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	27	135	39.13%
2. Very Good	19	76	27.54%
3. Good	18	54	26.09%
4. Average	4	8	5.80%
5. Poor	1	1	1.45%
Total	69	274	79.42%

2. Able to differentiate between listening and active listening?	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	31	155	44.93%
2. Very Good	11	44	15.94%
3. Good	20	60	28.99%
4. Average	4	8	5.80%
5. Poor	3	3	4.35%
Total	69	270	78.26%

3. Availability of course material as per the syllabus.	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	27	135	39.13%
2. Very Good	16	64	23.19%
3. Good	19	57	27.54%
4. Average	3	6	4.35%
5. Poor	4	4	5.80%
Total	69	266	77.10%

4. Your applicability of taught concepts in Activity based learning/skill set training.	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	23	115	33.33%
2. Very Good	16	64	23.19%
3. Good	24	72	34.78%
4. Average	3	6	4.35%
5. Poor	3	3	4.35%
Total	69	260	75.36%

5. Teachers' control, punctuality and regularity in conducting practical's.	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	31	155	44.29%
2. Very Good	12	48	17.14%
3. Good	20	60	28.57%
4. Average	4	8	5.71%
5. Poor	3	3	4.29%
Total	70	274	78.29%

6. Adequacy and clarity in illustrations.	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	28	140	40.58%
2. Very Good	15	60	21.74%
3. Good	22	66	31.88%
4. Average	2	4	2.90%
5. Poor	2	2	2.90%
Total	69	272	78.84%

7. Adequate coverage of the syllabus.	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	27	135	39.13%
2. Very Good	15	60	21.74%

7. Adequate coverage of the syllabus.	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
3. Good	19	57	27.54%
4. Average	5	10	7.25%
5. Poor	3	3	4.35%
Total	69	265	76.81%

8. Explaining the difficulties raised by the students.	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	25	125	36.23%
2. Very Good	14	56	20.29%
3. Good	24	72	34.78%
4. Average	3	6	4.35%
5. Poor	3	3	4.35%
Total	69	262	75.94%

9. Use of LCD Projector, multimedia, animations, graphics, audio-visual contents, software's etc. in teaching.	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	29	145	41.43%
2. Very Good	18	72	25.71%
3. Good	18	54	25.71%
4. Average	4	8	5.71%
5. Poor	1	1	1.43%
Total	70	280	80.00%

10. Teacher's encouragement in interaction.	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	30	150	43.48%
2. Very Good	17	68	24.64%
3. Good	17	51	24.64%
4. Average	3	6	4.35%
5. Poor	2	2	2.90%
Total	69	277	80.29%

Summary Report

Number of people Responded / Total number of Responders is **69 / 69** People.

Questions	Total Response Count	Weighted Response Percent
In depth understanding of Practical's.	274	79.42%
Able to differentiate between listening and active listening?	270	78.26%
Availability of course material as per the syllabus.	266	77.10%
Your applicability of taught concepts in Activity based learning/skill set training.	260	75.36%
Teachers' control, punctuality and regularity in conducting practical's.	274	78.29%
Adequacy and clarity in illustrations.	272	78.84%
Adequate coverage of the syllabus.	265	76.81%
Explaining the difficulties raised by the students.	262	75.94%
Use of LCD Projector, multimedia, animations, graphics, audio-visual contents, software's etc. in teaching.	280	80.00%
Teacher's encouragement in interaction.	277	80.29%
Total Feedback	2700	78.03%

Survey Details - Detailed & Summary Report

Survey: 23-24 ODD V Sem TOC FB-II	Survey Type: Fresh Survey
Survey For: Student Satisfactory	Program: B.Tech.Artificial Intelligence & Data Science in Artificial Intelligence & Data Science

Detailed Report



1. Understanding of fundamental concepts	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	26	130	37.68%
2. Very Good	21	84	30.43%
3. Good	19	57	27.54%
4. Average	3	6	4.35%
5. Poor	0	0	0.00%
Total	69	277	80.29%

2. Your ability to analyze engineering problems and \nprovide/ design solutions wherever possible.	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	26	130	37.68%
2. Very Good	20	80	28.99%
3. Good	20	60	28.99%
4. Average	3	6	4.35%
5. Poor	0	0	0.00%
Total	69	276	80.00%

3. Your applicability of taught concepts in Activity \nbased learning/ skill set training	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	24	120	34.78%
2. Very Good	23	92	33.33%
3. Good	18	54	26.09%
4. Average	3	6	4.35%
5. Poor	1	1	1.45%
Total	69	273	79.13%

4. Exposure to modern tools viz. softwares, \nsimulations, etc. and use of demo models.\n	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	24	120	34.29%
2. Very Good	19	76	27.14%
3. Good	23	69	32.86%
4. Average	4	8	5.71%
5. Poor	0	0	0.00%
Total	70	273	78.00%

5. Involvement in Industry related activity\n	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	24	120	34.78%
2. Very Good	15	60	21.74%
3. Good	26	78	37.68%
4. Average	4	8	5.80%
5. Poor	0	0	0.00%
Total	69	266	77.10%

6. Ability to solve Practice sheets independently	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	24	120	34.78%
2. Very Good	17	68	24.64%
3. Good	26	78	37.68%
4. Average	1	2	1.45%
5. Poor	1	1	1.45%
Total	69	269	77.97%

7. Adequate coverage of syllabus	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	28	140	40.58%
2. Very Good	17	68	24.64%

7. Adequate coverage of syllabus	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
3. Good	21	63	30.43%
4. Average	2	4	2.90%
5. Poor	1	1	1.45%
Total	69	276	80.00%

8. Teacher's Class control & discipline, punctuality \nand regularity in conducting classes	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	29	145	42.03%
2. Very Good	16	64	23.19%
3. Good	20	60	28.99%
4. Average	2	4	2.90%
5. Poor	2	2	2.90%
Total	69	275	79.71%

9. Use of LCD Projector, multimedia, animations, \ngraphics, audio-visual contents etc. in teaching	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	28	140	40.58%
2. Very Good	17	68	24.64%
3. Good	22	66	31.88%
4. Average	2	4	2.90%
5. Poor	0	0	0.00%
Total	69	278	80.58%

10. Teacher's encouragement to interactios	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	26	130	37.68%
2. Very Good	18	72	26.09%
3. Good	23	69	33.33%
4. Average	1	2	1.45%
5. Poor	1	1	1.45%
Total	69	274	79.42%

11. Teacher's accessibility to students for solving \nqueries and problems.	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	27	135	39.13%
2. Very Good	18	72	26.09%
3. Good	20	60	28.99%
4. Average	2	4	2.90%
5. Poor	2	2	2.90%
Total	69	273	79.13%

12. Remarks (if any)\n
No data

Comments:

SI No.	Stakeholder	Remarks
1	Response 1	Good
2	Response 2	N
3	Response 3	.
4	Response 4	Good
5	Response 5	.
6	Response 6	Good
7	Response 7	Satisfied
8	Response 8	Nice
9	Response 9	.
10	Response 10	Isididi
11	Response 11	.
12	Response 12	.
13	Response 13	.
14	Response 14	NA
15	Response 15	--
16	Response 16	Good
17	Response 17	.
18	Response 18	Satisfactory
19	Response 19	—
20	Response 20	Good
21	Response 21
22	Response 22	B..
23	Response 23	nil
24	Response 24	Good
25	Response 25	Satisfied
26	Response 26	.
27	Response 27	No
28	Response 28	Nothing
29	Response 29	Good
30	Response 30	.
31	Response 31	None
32	Response 32	.
33	Response 33	.
34	Response 34	None
35	Response 35	None
36	Response 36	Good

SI No.	Stakeholder	Remarks
37	Response 37	-
38	Response 38	No
39	Response 39	Satisfactory
40	Response 40
41	Response 41	Good
42	Response 42
43	Response 43	GOOD
44	Response 44	All good
45	Response 45	N
46	Response 46	Ok
47	Response 47	.
48	Response 48	.
49	Response 49	n
50	Response 50	/n
51	Response 51	\n
52	Response 52	No
53	Response 53	Na
54	Response 54	None
55	Response 55	...
56	Response 56	**
57	Response 57	----
58	Response 58	Excellent
59	Response 59	No Comments
60	Response 60	excellent
61	Response 61	n
62	Response 62	.
63	Response 63	Overall learning experience is very good.
64	Response 64	No comments
65	Response 65	Very good teaching all concepts are understood.
66	Response 66	NA
67	Response 67	No
68	Response 68	G
69	Response 69	.

Summary Report

Number of people Responded / Total number of Responders is **69 / 69** People.

Questions	Total Response Count	Weighted Response Percent
Understanding of fundamental concepts	277	80.29%
Your ability to analyze engineering problems and \nprovide/ design solutions wherever possible.	276	80.00%
Your applicability of taught concepts in Activity \nbased learning/ skill set training	273	79.13%
Exposure to modern tools viz. softwares, \nsimulations, etc. and use of demo models.\n	273	78.00%
Involvement in Industry related activity\n	266	77.10%
Ability to solve Practice sheets independently	269	77.97%
Adequate coverage of syllabus	276	80.00%
Teacher's Class control & discipline, punctuality \nand regularity in conducting classes	275	79.71%
Use of LCD Projector, multimedia, animations, \ngraphics, audio-visual contents etc. in teaching	278	80.58%
Teacher's encouragement to interactios	274	79.42%
Teacher's accessibility to students for solving \nqueries and problems.	273	79.13%
Total Feedback	3010	79.21%

Survey Details - Detailed & Summary Report

Survey: 23-24 ODD V Sem WD FB-II	Survey Type: Fresh Survey
Survey For: Student Satisfactory	Program: B.Tech.Artificial Intelligence & Data Science in Artificial Intelligence & Data Science

Detailed Report



1. Understanding of fundamental concepts	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	13	65	24.53%
2. Very Good	12	48	22.64%
3. Good	24	72	45.28%
4. Average	3	6	5.66%
5. Poor	1	1	1.89%
Total	53	192	72.45%

2. Your ability to analyze engineering problems and \nprovide/ design solutions wherever possible.	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	16	80	30.19%
2. Very Good	12	48	22.64%
3. Good	19	57	35.85%
4. Average	5	10	9.43%
5. Poor	1	1	1.89%
Total	53	196	73.96%

3. Your applicability of taught concepts in Activity \nbased learning/ skill set training	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	13	65	24.53%
2. Very Good	12	48	22.64%
3. Good	23	69	43.40%
4. Average	4	8	7.55%
5. Poor	1	1	1.89%
Total	53	191	72.08%

4. Exposure to modern tools viz. softwares, \nsimulations, etc. and use of demo models.\n	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	12	60	22.64%
2. Very Good	11	44	20.75%
3. Good	22	66	41.51%
4. Average	5	10	9.43%
5. Poor	3	3	5.66%
Total	53	183	69.06%

5. Involvement in Industry related activity\n	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	12	60	22.64%
2. Very Good	14	56	26.42%
3. Good	22	66	41.51%
4. Average	4	8	7.55%
5. Poor	1	1	1.89%
Total	53	191	72.08%

6. Ability to solve Practice sheets independently	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	14	70	26.42%
2. Very Good	13	52	24.53%
3. Good	21	63	39.62%
4. Average	3	6	5.66%
5. Poor	2	2	3.77%
Total	53	193	72.83%

7. Adequate coverage of syllabus	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	13	65	25.00%
2. Very Good	9	36	17.31%

7. Adequate coverage of syllabus	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
3. Good	24	72	46.15%
4. Average	5	10	9.62%
5. Poor	1	1	1.92%
Total	52	184	70.77%

8. Teacher's Class control & discipline, punctuality \nand regularity in conducting classes	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	16	80	30.77%
2. Very Good	15	60	28.85%
3. Good	17	51	32.69%
4. Average	3	6	5.77%
5. Poor	1	1	1.92%
Total	52	198	76.15%

9. Use of LCD Projector, multimedia, animations, \ngraphics, audio-visual contents etc. in teaching	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	17	85	32.69%
2. Very Good	12	48	23.08%
3. Good	18	54	34.62%
4. Average	4	8	7.69%
5. Poor	1	1	1.92%
Total	52	196	75.38%

10. Teacher's encouragement to interactios	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	16	80	29.63%
2. Very Good	14	56	25.93%
3. Good	17	51	31.48%
4. Average	6	12	11.11%
5. Poor	1	1	1.85%
Total	54	200	74.07%

11. Teacher's accessibility to students for solving \nquiries and problems.	Responses	Weighted Responses	Percent
1. Excellent	18	90	33.96%
2. Very Good	10	40	18.87%
3. Good	20	60	37.74%
4. Average	4	8	7.55%
5. Poor	1	1	1.89%
Total	53	199	75.09%

12. Remarks (if any)\n
No data

Comments:

SI No.	Stakeholder	Remarks
1	Response 1	.
2	Response 2	-
3	Response 3	Good
4	Response 4	nothing
5	Response 5	.
6	Response 6	No
7	Response 7	...
8	Response 8	Good
9	Response 9	.
10	Response 10	.
11	Response 11	NA
12	Response 12	No
13	Response 13	Good
14	Response 14	.
15	Response 15	.
16	Response 16	Good
17	Response 17	-
18	Response 18	No
19	Response 19	Na
20	Response 20	none
21	Response 21	NA
22	Response 22	Subject was very informative
23	Response 23	-
24	Response 24	No
25	Response 25	Ma'am always encouraged interaction in class & solved queries then & there.
26	Response 26	****
27	Response 27	.
28	Response 28	All Good
29	Response 29	All good.
30	Response 30	*
31	Response 31	.
32	Response 32	No comments
33	Response 33	NA
34	Response 34	-
35	Response 35	.
36	Response 36	Good. Must focus more on theoretical part too.

SI No.	Stakeholder	Remarks
37	Response 37	Understandable Explanation of concepts by faculty!
38	Response 38	No
39	Response 39	n
40	Response 40	.
41	Response 41	.
42	Response 42	No
43	Response 43	.
44	Response 44	The teaching method is soo good.
45	Response 45	.
46	Response 46	.
47	Response 47	None
48	Response 48	.
49	Response 49	.
50	Response 50	---
51	Response 51	No
52	Response 52	Nice

Summary Report

Number of people Responded / Total number of Responders is **52 / 69** People.

Questions	Total Response Count	Weighted Response Percent
Understanding of fundamental concepts	192	72.45%
Your ability to analyze engineering problems and \nprovide/ design solutions wherever possible.	196	73.96%
Your applicability of taught concepts in Activity \nbased learning/ skill set training	191	72.08%
Exposure to modern tools viz. softwares, \nsimulations, etc. and use of demo models.\n	183	69.06%
Involvement in Industry related activity\n	191	72.08%
Ability to solve Practice sheets independently	193	72.83%
Adequate coverage of syllabus	184	70.77%
Teacher's Class control & discipline, punctuality \nand regularity in conducting classes	198	76.15%
Use of LCD Projector, multimedia, animations, \ngraphics, audio-visual contents etc. in teaching	196	75.38%
Teacher's encouragement to interactios	200	74.07%
Teacher's accessibility to students for solving \nqueries and problems.	199	75.09%
Total Feedback	2123	73.08%



**S. B. JAIN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, MANAGEMENT
& RESEARCH, NAGPUR.**

(An Autonomous Institute, Affiliated to RTMNU, Nagpur)

DEPARTMENT OF EMERGING TECHNOLOGIES (AI&ML and AI&DS)

Become an excellent center for Emerging Technologies in Computer Science to create competent professionals.



IV SEM (AI&DS)


SESSION 2023-24 (EVEN)

FEEDBACK - I SURVEY ANALYSIS REPORT

Sr. No.	Subject Name	Subject Teacher	Total Students	No. of Students Responded	Theory / Practical	Feedback Percentage	Rating
1	Discrete Mathematics & Graph Theory	Dr. Himanshu Roy	68	68	Theory	81.43%	Very Good
2	Object Oriented Programming	Mr. Ravi Asati	68	68	Theory	71.13%	Very Good
3	Fundamentals of Artificial Intelligence & Data Science	Mr. Nikhil Sakhare	68	68	Theory	79.17%	Very Good
4	Operating System	Mr. Ashish Golghate	68	68	Theory	73.32%	Very Good
5	Data Visualization (Open Elective Course)	Mr. Ashish Nanotkar	68	68	Theory	79.88%	Very Good
6	Soft Skills-I Lab	Mrs. Sheenam Khan	68	68	Practical	74.00%	Very Good
7	Data Science Lab	Mr. Ashish Nanotkar	68	68	Practical	66.18%	Good
8	Object Oriented Programming Lab	Mr. Ravi Asati	68	68	Practical	68.28%	Good
9	Profesional Skills Lab (Data Visualization)	Mr. Ashish Nanotkar	68	68	Practical	69.33%	Good


20/03/2024
Mr. Ashish P. Nanotkar

Feedback Incharge


Dr. Hemant Turkar

HEAD

Dept. of Emerging Technologies

(AI & ML and AI & DS)


S.B. Jain Inst. of Tech. Mgmt. & Research,
Nagpur-441501



Survey Details - Detailed & Summary Graphs

Survey: 2023-24 EVEN IV SEM DS ALL SUBJECT PR FB-1 - (PCCAD403P) Object Oriented Programming Lab	Survey Type: Fresh Survey
Survey For: Bulk Student Satisfactory	Program: B.Tech.Artificial Intelligence & Data Science in Artificial Intelligence & Data Science

Detailed Graph






Survey Details - Detailed & Summary Graphs

Survey: 2023-24 EVEN IV SEM DS ALL SUBJECT PR FB-1 - (PCCAD405P) Data Science Lab	Survey Type: Fresh Survey
Survey For: Bulk Student Satisfactory	Program: B.Tech.Artificial Intelligence & Data Science in Artificial Intelligence & Data Science


Detailed Graph



Survey Details - Detailed & Summary Graphs

Survey: 2023-24 EVEN IV SEM DS ALL SUBJECT PR FB-1 - (PCCAD406P) Professional Skills Lab(Data Visualisation)	Survey Type: Fresh Survey
Survey For: Bulk Student Satisfactory	Program: B.Tech.Artificial Intelligence & Data Science in Artificial Intelligence & Data Science

Detailed Graph






Survey Details - Detailed & Summary Graphs

Survey: 2023-24 EVEN IV SEM SS-I FB-I - (HSMCAD401P) Soft skills-I	Survey Type: Fresh Survey
Survey For: Bulk Student Satisfactory	Program: B.Tech.Artificial Intelligence & Data Science in Artificial Intelligence & Data Science

Detailed Graph



Survey Details - Detailed & Summary Graphs

Survey: 2023-24 EVEN IV SEM DS ALL SUBJECT TH FB-1 - (OECAD401T) Open Elective-I(Data Visualisation)	Survey Type: Fresh Survey
Survey For: Bulk Student Satisfactory	Program: B.Tech.Artificial Intelligence & Data Science in Artificial Intelligence & Data Science

Detailed Graph



Survey Details - Detailed & Summary Graphs

Survey: 2023-24 EVEN IV SEM DS ALL SUBJECT TH FB-1 - (PCCAD401T) Discrete Mathematics & Graph Theory	Survey Type: Fresh Survey
Survey For: Bulk Student Satisfactory	Program: B.Tech.Artificial Intelligence & Data Science in Artificial Intelligence & Data Science

Detailed Graph






Survey Details - Detailed & Summary Graphs

Survey: 2023-24 EVEN IV SEM DS ALL SUBJECT TH FB-1 - (PCCAD402T) Operating System	Survey Type: Fresh Survey
Survey For: Bulk Student Satisfactory	Program: B.Tech.Artificial Intelligence & Data Science in Artificial Intelligence & Data Science


Detailed Graph



Survey Details - Detailed & Summary Graphs

Survey: 2023-24 EVEN IV SEM DS ALL SUBJECT TH FB-1 - (PCCAD403T) Object Oriented Programming	Survey Type: Fresh Survey
Survey For: Bulk Student Satisfactory	Program: B.Tech.Artificial Intelligence & Data Science in Artificial Intelligence & Data Science

Detailed Graph





Survey Details - Detailed & Summary Graphs

Survey: 2023-24 EVEN IV SEM DS ALL SUBJECT TH FB-1 - (PCCAD404T) Fundamentals of Artificial Intelligence & Data Science	Survey Type: Fresh Survey
Survey For: Bulk Student Satisfactory	Program: B.Tech.Artificial Intelligence & Data Science in Artificial Intelligence & Data Science


Detailed Graph



Survey Details - Detailed & Summary Graphs

Survey: 2023-24 EVEN IV SEM SS-I PR FB-2 - (HSMCAD401P) Soft skills-I	Survey Type: Fresh Survey
Survey For: Bulk Student Satisfactory	Program: B.Tech.Artificial Intelligence & Data Science in Artificial Intelligence & Data Science

Detailed Graph



Survey Details - Detailed & Summary Graphs

Survey: FB-2 PR - IV AIDS - (PCCAD403P) Object Oriented Programming Lab	Survey Type: Fresh Survey
Survey For: Bulk Student Satisfactory	Program: B.Tech.Artificial Intelligence & Data Science in Artificial Intelligence & Data Science

Detailed Graph





Summary Report


Number of people Responded / Total number of Responders is **68 / 68** People.

Questions	Total Response Count	Weighted Response Percent
1 . Effectiveness of Pre-Lab sessions	261	74.57%
2 . In depth understanding of Experiments / Practicals	253	72.29%
3 . Ability to reach conclusion based upon observations and interpret results	256	74.20%
4 . Ability to co-relate theory with the practical and realization of its applicability in real world.	248	71.88%
5 . Teachers' control & discipline in Lab, punctuality and regularity in conducting practicals	259	74.00%
6 . Adequacy and clarity in illustration	254	73.62%
7 . Experimental set-ups are operational & well maintained	253	73.33%
8 . Explaining the difficulties raised by the students	250	72.46%
9 . Promptness in assessment of submissions	250	73.53%
10 . Use of modern tools, hardware & simulation software etc.	254	74.71%
11 . Motivation to explore, apply and develop new applications	251	73.82%
Total Feedback	2789	73.49%

Survey Details - Detailed & Summary Graphs

Survey: FB-2 PR - IV AIDS - (PCCAD405P) Data Science Lab	Survey Type: Fresh Survey
Survey For: Bulk Student Satisfactory	Program: B.Tech.Artificial Intelligence & Data Science in Artificial Intelligence & Data Science


Detailed Graph



Survey Details - Detailed & Summary Graphs

Survey: FB-2 PR - IV AIDS - (PCCAD406P) Professional Skills Lab(Data Visualisation)	Survey Type: Fresh Survey
Survey For: Bulk Student Satisfactory	Program: B.Tech.Artificial Intelligence & Data Science in Artificial Intelligence & Data Science

Detailed Graph






Survey Details - Detailed & Summary Graphs

Survey: 2023-24 EVEN IV SEM ALL SUBJECT TH FB-2 - (OECAD401T) Open Elective-I(Data Visualisation)	Survey Type: Fresh Survey
Survey For: Bulk Student Satisfactory	Program: B.Tech.Artificial Intelligence & Data Science in Artificial Intelligence & Data Science

Detailed Graph



Survey Details - Detailed & Summary Graphs

Survey: 2023-24 EVEN IV SEM ALL SUBJECT TH FB-2 - (PCCAD401T) Discrete Mathematics & Graph Theory	Survey Type: Fresh Survey
Survey For: Bulk Student Satisfactory	Program: B.Tech.Artificial Intelligence & Data Science in Artificial Intelligence & Data Science

Detailed Graph



Survey Details - Detailed & Summary Graphs

Survey: 2023-24 EVEN IV SEM ALL SUBJECT TH FB-2 - (PCCAD402T) Operating System	Survey Type: Fresh Survey
Survey For: Bulk Student Satisfactory	Program: B.Tech.Artificial Intelligence & Data Science in Artificial Intelligence & Data Science


Detailed Graph



Survey Details - Detailed & Summary Graphs

Survey: 2023-24 EVEN IV SEM ALL SUBJECT TH FB-2 - (PCCAD403T) Object Oriented Programming	Survey Type: Fresh Survey
Survey For: Bulk Student Satisfactory	Program: B.Tech.Artificial Intelligence & Data Science in Artificial Intelligence & Data Science

Detailed Graph





Survey Details - Detailed & Summary Graphs

Survey: 2023-24 EVEN IV SEM ALL SUBJECT TH FB-2 - (PCCAD404T) Fundamentals of Artificial Intelligence & Data Science	Survey Type: Fresh Survey
Survey For: Bulk Student Satisfactory	Program: B.Tech.Artificial Intelligence & Data Science in Artificial Intelligence & Data Science

Detailed Graph





**S. B. JAIN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, MANAGEMENT
& RESEARCH, NAGPUR**

(An Autonomous Institute, Affiliated to RIMNU, Nagpur)

DEPARTMENT OF EMERGING TECHNOLOGIES (AI&ML and AI&DS)

Become an excellent center for Emerging Technologies in Computer Science to create competent professionals.



VI SEM (AI&DS)

SESSION 2023-24 (EVEN)

FEEDBACK - I SURVEY ANALYSIS REPORT

Sr. No.	Subject Name	Subject Teacher	Total Students	No. of Students Responded	Theory / Practical	Feedback Percentage	Rating
1	Computer Design	Mrs. Komal Parate	69	67	Theory	70.46%	Very Good
2	Business Analytics (Open Elective Course)	Mr. Ashish Golghate	69	48	Theory	80.85%	Very Good
3	Basics of Machine & Deep Learning	Ms. Kalyani Pendke	69	67	Theory	71.37%	Very Good
4	Big Data Analytics & Business Intelligence	Mr. Hrushikesh Panchabudhe	69	69	Theory	74.71%	Very Good
5	Digital Image Processing	Mr. Hemant Turkar	42	41	Theory	72.90%	Very Good
6	Cyber Security	Mr. Nikhil Sakhare	27	26	Theory	75.80%	Very Good
7	Digital Image Processing Lab	Mr. Hemant Turkar	42	39	Practical	74.06%	Very Good
8	Cyber Security Lab	Mr. Nikhil Sakhare	27	26	Practical	79.10%	Very Good
9	Soft Skills-III Lab	Mr. Arpit Gupta	69	66	Practical	75.29%	Very Good
10	Basics of Machine & Deep Learning Lab	Ms. Kalyani Pendke	69	67	Practical	72.30%	Very Good
11	Big Data Analytics & Business Intelligence Lab	Mr. Hrushikesh Panchabudhe	69	69	Practical	75.18%	Very Good

Mr. Ashish P. Nanotkar
Feedback Incharge

Dr. Hemant Turkar
HEAD


Dept. of Emerging Technologies
(AI & ML and AI & DS)
S. B. Jain Inst. of Tech. Mgmt. & Resear.
Nagpur-441501



Survey Details - Detailed & Summary Graphs

Survey: 2023-24 EVEN VI SEM MINI PROJECT FB-1 - (PROJAD601) MINI PROJECT	Survey Type: Fresh Survey
Survey For: Bulk Student Satisfactory	Program: B.Tech.Artificial Intelligence & Data Science in Artificial Intelligence & Data Science

Detailed Graph






Survey Details - Detailed & Summary Graphs

Survey: 2023-24 EVEN VI SEM SS-III PR FB-1 - (HSMCAD601P) SOFT SKILLS-III	Survey Type: Fresh Survey
Survey For: Bulk Student Satisfactory	Program: B.Tech.Artificial Intelligence & Data Science in Artificial Intelligence & Data Science

Detailed Graph





Survey Details - Detailed & Summary Graphs

Survey: 2023-24 EVEN VI SEM ALL SUBJECT PR FB-1 - (PCCAD602P) BASICS OF MACHINE & DEEP LEARNING LAB	Survey Type: Fresh Survey
Survey For: Bulk Student Satisfactory	Program: B.Tech.Artificial Intelligence & Data Science in Artificial Intelligence & Data Science

Detailed Graph






Survey Details - Detailed & Summary Graphs

Survey: 2023-24 EVEN VI SEM ALL SUBJECT PR FB-1 - (PECAD601P) DIGITAL IMAGE PROCESSING LAB	Survey Type: Fresh Survey
Survey For: Bulk Student Satisfactory	Program: B.Tech.Artificial Intelligence & Data Science in Artificial Intelligence & Data Science

Detailed Graph





Survey Details - Detailed & Summary Graphs

Survey: 2023-24 EVEN VI SEM ALL SUBJECT PR FB-1 - (PECAD602P) CYBER SECURITY LAB	Survey Type: Fresh Survey
Survey For: Bulk Student Satisfactory	Program: B.Tech.Artificial Intelligence & Data Science in Artificial Intelligence & Data Science

Detailed Graph





Survey Details - Detailed & Summary Graphs

Survey: 2023-24 EVEN VI SEM ALL SUBJECT PR FB-1 - (PECAD606P) BIG DATA ANALYTICS & BUSINESS INTELLIGENCE LAB	Survey Type: Fresh Survey
Survey For: Bulk Student Satisfactory	Program: B.Tech.Artificial Intelligence & Data Science in Artificial Intelligence & Data Science


Detailed Graph



Survey Details - Detailed & Summary Graphs

Survey: 2023-24 EVEN VI SEM ALL SUBJECT TH FB-1 - (OECAD601T) BUSINESS ANALYTICS	Survey Type: Fresh Survey
Survey For: Bulk Student Satisfactory	Program: B.Tech.Artificial Intelligence & Data Science in Artificial Intelligence & Data Science

Detailed Graph





Survey Details - Detailed & Summary Graphs

Survey: 2023-24 EVEN VI SEM ALL SUBJECT TH FB-1 - (PCCAD601T) COMPILER DESIGN	Survey Type: Fresh Survey
Survey For: Bulk Student Satisfactory	Program: B.Tech.Artificial Intelligence & Data Science in Artificial Intelligence & Data Science

Detailed Graph






Survey Details - Detailed & Summary Graphs

Survey: 2023-24 EVEN VI SEM ALL SUBJECT TH FB-1 - (PCCAD602T) BASICS OF MACHINE & DEEP LEARNING	Survey Type: Fresh Survey
Survey For: Bulk Student Satisfactory	Program: B.Tech.Artificial Intelligence & Data Science in Artificial Intelligence & Data Science

Detailed Graph





Survey Details - Detailed & Summary Graphs

Survey: 2023-24 EVEN VI SEM ALL SUBJECT TH FB-1 - (PECAD601T) DIGITAL IMAGE PROCESSING	Survey Type: Fresh Survey
Survey For: Bulk Student Satisfactory	Program: B.Tech.Artificial Intelligence & Data Science in Artificial Intelligence & Data Science

Detailed Graph





Survey Details - Detailed & Summary Graphs

Survey: 2023-24 EVEN VI SEM ALL SUBJECT TH FB-1 - (PECAD602T) CYBER SECURITY	Survey Type: Fresh Survey
Survey For: Bulk Student Satisfactory	Program: B.Tech.Artificial Intelligence & Data Science in Artificial Intelligence & Data Science

Detailed Graph






Survey Details - Detailed & Summary Graphs

Survey: 2023-24 EVEN VI SEM ALL SUBJECT TH FB-1 - (PECAD606T) BIG DATA ANALYTICS & BUSINESS INTELLIGENCE	Survey Type: Fresh Survey
Survey For: Bulk Student Satisfactory	Program: B.Tech.Artificial Intelligence & Data Science in Artificial Intelligence & Data Science

Detailed Graph






Survey Details - Detailed & Summary Graphs

Survey: 2023-24 EVEN VI SEM MINI PROJECT FB-2 - (PROJAD601) MINI PROJECT	Survey Type: Fresh Survey
Survey For: Bulk Student Satisfactory	Program: B.Tech.Artificial Intelligence & Data Science in Artificial Intelligence & Data Science


Detailed Graph



Survey Details - Detailed & Summary Graphs

Survey: 2023-24 EVEN VI SEM SS-III PR FB-2 - (HSMCAD601P) SOFT SKILLS-III	Survey Type: Fresh Survey
Survey For: Bulk Student Satisfactory	Program: B.Tech.Artificial Intelligence & Data Science in Artificial Intelligence & Data Science

Detailed Graph






Survey Details - Detailed & Summary Graphs

Survey: 2023-24 EVEN VI SEM ALL SUBJECT PR FB-2 - (PCCAD602P) BASICS OF MACHINE & DEEP LEARNING LAB	Survey Type: Fresh Survey
Survey For: Bulk Student Satisfactory	Program: B.Tech.Artificial Intelligence & Data Science in Artificial Intelligence & Data Science

Detailed Graph





Survey Details - Detailed & Summary Graphs

Survey: 2023-24 EVEN VI SEM ALL SUBJECT PR FB-2 - (PECAD601P) DIGITAL IMAGE PROCESSING LAB	Survey Type: Fresh Survey
Survey For: Bulk Student Satisfactory	Program: B.Tech.Artificial Intelligence & Data Science in Artificial Intelligence & Data Science

Detailed Graph





Survey Details - Detailed & Summary Graphs

Survey: 2023-24 EVEN VI SEM ALL SUBJECT PR FB-2 - (PECAD602P) CYBER SECURITY LAB	Survey Type: Fresh Survey
Survey For: Bulk Student Satisfactory	Program: B.Tech.Artificial Intelligence & Data Science in Artificial Intelligence & Data Science

Detailed Graph






Survey Details - Detailed & Summary Graphs

Survey: 2023-24 EVEN VI SEM ALL SUBJECT PR FB-2 - (PECAD606P) BIG DATA ANALYTICS & BUSINESS INTELLIGENCE LAB	Survey Type: Fresh Survey
Survey For: Bulk Student Satisfactory	Program: B.Tech.Artificial Intelligence & Data Science in Artificial Intelligence & Data Science

Detailed Graph



Survey Details - Detailed & Summary Graphs

Survey: 2023-24 EVEN VI SEM ALL SUBJECT TH FB-2 - (OECAD601T) BUSINESS ANALYTICS	Survey Type: Fresh Survey
Survey For: Bulk Student Satisfactory	Program: B.Tech.Artificial Intelligence & Data Science in Artificial Intelligence & Data Science

Detailed Graph



Survey Details - Detailed & Summary Graphs

Survey: 2023-24 EVEN VI SEM ALL SUBJECT TH FB-2 - (PCCAD601T) COMPILER DESIGN	Survey Type: Fresh Survey
Survey For: Bulk Student Satisfactory	Program: B.Tech.Artificial Intelligence & Data Science in Artificial Intelligence & Data Science


Detailed Graph



Survey Details - Detailed & Summary Graphs

Survey: 2023-24 EVEN VI SEM ALL SUBJECT TH FB-2 - (PCCAD602T) BASICS OF MACHINE & DEEP LEARNING	Survey Type: Fresh Survey
Survey For: Bulk Student Satisfactory	Program: B.Tech.Artificial Intelligence & Data Science in Artificial Intelligence & Data Science

Detailed Graph






Survey Details - Detailed & Summary Graphs

Survey: 2023-24 EVEN VI SEM ALL SUBJECT TH FB-2 - (PECAD601T) DIGITAL IMAGE PROCESSING	Survey Type: Fresh Survey
Survey For: Bulk Student Satisfactory	Program: B.Tech.Artificial Intelligence & Data Science in Artificial Intelligence & Data Science

Detailed Graph






Survey Details - Detailed & Summary Graphs

Survey: 2023-24 EVEN VI SEM ALL SUBJECT TH FB-2 - (PECAD602T) CYBER SECURITY	Survey Type: Fresh Survey
Survey For: Bulk Student Satisfactory	Program: B.Tech.Artificial Intelligence & Data Science in Artificial Intelligence & Data Science

Detailed Graph





Survey Details - Detailed & Summary Graphs

Survey: 2023-24 EVEN VI SEM ALL SUBJECT TH FB-2 - (PECAD606T) BIG DATA ANALYTICS & BUSINESS INTELLIGENCE	Survey Type: Fresh Survey
Survey For: Bulk Student Satisfactory	Program: B.Tech.Artificial Intelligence & Data Science in Artificial Intelligence & Data Science

Detailed Graph

